



**TOTAL COMPENSATION STRATEGY:
MOVING TOWARD A FLEXIBLE, INTEGRATED
TOTAL COMPENSATION PROGRAM**

OCTOBER 28, 2004

Colorado Department of Personnel & Administration

Jeffrey M. Wells
Executive Director

Jeffrey C. Schutt
Director, Division of Human Resources



DPA

Total Compensation Strategy: Moving Toward a Flexible, Integrated Total Compensation Program

What is Total Compensation?

Total compensation is an employer's human capital investment strategy that considers all aspects of rewards (compensation) in creating a competitive package for the workforce, thereby improving business outcomes. Components include:

- Fixed pay – Base salary;
- Variable pay – Performance pay, incentive pay, discretionary pay, or premiums;
- Group Insurances (health, dental, life, AD&D);
- Leave;
- Retirement;
- Employee Training/Education; and,
- Work-life Program.

State of Colorado Statutory Compensation Philosophy

“It is the policy of the state to provide prevailing total compensation to officers and employees in the state personnel system to ensure the recruitment, motivation, and retention of a qualified and competent work for....” [24-50-104 (1)(a)(I) C.R.S.].

Introduction

The State has been moving toward a flexible total compensation program as part of a streamlined, modern, and efficient human resources system, one that can better respond to the market and meet the needs of a changing workforce. Total compensation is one facet of comprehensive reform that started over a decade ago. The Department has successfully implemented other initiatives to move the State in this direction. These initiatives have included redesigning the State's job evaluation system, creating open salary ranges, implementing performance-based pay, consolidating and reducing State job classes, providing pay premiums, and developing a comprehensive work-life program.

The total compensation pay philosophy has been in law for a number of years and was originally envisioned as a more comprehensive approach. However, it has not been fully implemented due to past contradictions in laws, and fragmented funding and decision-making processes. With very few exceptions, the General Assembly has historically and consistently funded market salary adjustments at prevailing levels based on the salary survey process. The State's contributions to group benefit plans, however, were actual dollar amounts specified in statute and required a proactive legislative action to change. This led to some unintended consequences where, over time, the State's contributions to group benefit plans significantly lagged the market.

During the early 1990s, when pricing in the health insurance market remained relatively stable, less emphasis on the State's contribution to group benefit plans had only a minor

impact on total compensation. However, recent years of double-digit premium increases in the health insurance market and limited funding to the employer contribution to group benefit plans have become detrimental to the State's competitive position, particularly for lower and middle-income employees. This makes it increasingly difficult to recruit new employees and retain newly hired employees. It also leaves the State inadequately prepared for the large number of impending retirements due to our aging workforce.

Fifteen years ago, the Legislative Audit Committee recommended a total compensation approach to achieve the best value for the workforce. Through the years, a number of private auditors have independently suggested that the State evaluate total compensation funding as one cohesive appropriation, instead of elements of a program that require unrelated budgetary allocation processes.

The Total Compensation Reform Act of 2003 (HB03-1316) significantly improved the statutory framework for implementing the State's total compensation philosophy. In only its second year of implementation, the Act is working as envisioned. The implemented FY 2004-05 total compensation recommendation increases the State's contribution to group benefits plans from 42 percent to 56 percent of the market beginning January 1, 2005, meaning that if the market showed comparable employers contributed \$100 dollars toward total premium, the State contributed \$42 and will now contribute \$56. If implemented, the recently released FY 2005-06 recommendation will move the State's contribution to group benefit plans from 56 percent to 60.5 percent of the market six months later on July 1, 2005.

Another critical change is the move away from the State's historical anniversary (step) increases to performance-based awards. This shift is paramount for accountability to the taxpayers because it represents the State's expectation that its employees earn salary increases based on their performance. Meaningful performance-based awards must become the primary way that employees earn upward movement within their salary ranges. This relies upon consistent and adequate funding for performance-based pay.

Strategic Vision

The State's total compensation philosophy and its strategic vision are built upon the following premises:

1. Create the governance for successful implementation;
2. Close the funding gap in benefits;
3. Maintain market-competitive salary ranges;
4. Make performance awards meaningful with consistent and adequate funding, and use them as the way for employees to move upward within their salary ranges; and,

5. Further down the road, create a more flexible total compensation package, in which individual employees can tailor total compensation packages to meet their individual values and needs.

This vision of a flexible total compensation package allows the State to meet the needs of a diversified workforce of around 31,500 employees (44,000 for benefits). The State's strategic vision lays the groundwork to implement a comprehensive and integrated approach to total compensation in the next five to seven years that will further maximize the State's investment in its workforce.

Creating the Governance

The State's performance pay system and Total Compensation Reform Act have created two new and critical statutory steps to facilitate the implementation of the total compensation strategic vision. This foundation, however, can be improved. While statute conjoins the three primary components of total compensation requiring new funds, it still treats funding of these components as separate pots. One appropriation for all components of total compensation would create greater flexibility and bring the State closer to a flexible total compensation program. Based on Legislative support and professional staff recommendations, the Director could allocate the best mix for the workforce, helping the State maximize its investment in its workforce.

The State has effective statutes, rules and procedures, and policies that support employee training and education, variable pay practices, and work-life programs. However, current leave policy, which puts different kinds of leave in different categories, runs counter to the strategic vision. All forms of paid time off basically represent compensation. It is important to begin looking at leave reform to better align leave policies with other components of total compensation.

The State needs to prepare for the impending loss of the baby boomers and position itself to be an employer of choice for new generations of workers. It must create ways for the changing needs of its employees to be accommodated through flexible compensation choices – empowering employees to make decisions about their compensation. Offering new employees alternative, and more portable, retirement options (as the General Assembly did this past year in passing SB04-257) align with an integrated total compensation strategy that empowers employees and creates greater flexibility.

Closing the Gap in Contributions to Group Benefit Plans

When the FY 2005-06 recommendation is implemented, the State's gap in employer contributions to group benefit plans will be cut by close to a third. The Department's strategy is to close the gap gradually within the next five years. After that, the focus will be on maintaining competitive contribution levels and improving benefits.

Examples of potential enhancements are two pieces of legislation passed in the 2004 session. The Director is to study the feasibility of offering a High Deductible Health Plan (HDHP) that qualifies for a Health Savings Account (SB04-094). In fact, current requests for proposals on group medical plans contain an option for such a health plan, as part of a consumer-driven approach to health care. Legislation was also passed creating more options for retirement plans (SB04-257) that introduce portability, which may be attractive to newer or short-term employees. Another positive has been the passage of SB04-08, which eliminates the requirement of two HMO offerings for each county with over 500 state employees, and greatly enhances the State's ability to consolidate risk and negotiate for health coverage.

On July 1, 2005, the State will move its group insurance plans to a fiscal year cycle. This move better coordinates benefits with the other components of total compensation. Additionally, the Department has conducted a comprehensive analysis on self-funding the State's group medical and dental plans. Not only is self-funding financially feasible without any additional fee to employees, it will also provide much needed control and flexibility. This analysis shows that the risk is well worth the reward, and over time the State will be able to provide better choices and better control premiums.

Maintaining Competitive Salary Ranges

The Department will continue to use professionally sound and valid methodologies to analyze the job evaluation system and survey the market to adjust salary ranges. The job evaluation system is the foundation for determining salaries. Over the past 10 years the Department has eliminated obsolete job classes, consolidated similar classes, and made the system more flexible. The Department will ensure that State jobs, classes, salary ranges, and occupational groups match the market and serve our business needs. Maintaining competitive salary ranges, and making sure employees are paid within those ranges, preserves the structural integrity of the State's base pay system and helps ensure competitive pay.

Providing Meaningful Performance Awards as the Way for an Employee to Move Upward Within a Salary Range

Under the State's former anniversary (step) system, there was no means to differentiate performance and reward accordingly. Employees simply had to be employed on their anniversary dates to receive an automatic salary increase. If nothing else, accountability to the public made this practice unacceptable. The former system, while not ideal, did provide a clear and predictable way for employees to move upward within a salary range. Since the State abolished anniversary increases and implemented its performance pay system, funding levels for performance awards have not met the historical 2.2 percent of payroll as originally intended. This lack of funding has created a situation where successful employees are now falling back or remaining in the same position within their salary ranges. When the State closes the gap in contributions to group benefit plans and is maintaining competitive funding levels, more funds can shift

into meaningful performance awards as the way to move successful employees upward within their salary ranges.

Moving Toward Flexible Total Compensation

The Department's strategy is building toward the basic principle of an integrated total compensation program. That is, recognizing the employee, not the employer, knows the best mix of all total compensation elements including salary, benefits, paid time-off, retirement, etc., that meets his or her needs and values. While the employer sets the basic parameters and controls funding levels, the employee helps create a total compensation package that best meets his or her particular needs and values. The Department will continue to design programs that pursue equity for the workforce as a whole while empowering greater individual choice.

Conclusion

In order to meet the expectations of those entering the workforce and to retain top performing employees, it is imperative for the State to continue down the total compensation path. Benefits are no longer a "fringe" component of a total compensation package, and must be competitive. Comparable employers provide incentives, bonuses, and sophisticated work-life options. A work-life program helps create a high-performing work environment by using flexibility to maximize efficiency and productivity. The State, too, must continue its commitment in these areas in order to create a system responsive to rapidly changing market conditions. The base pay structure must remain market-competitive as the sound basis of the State's pay program and support for the strategic vision. All pay practices need to be continuously evaluated and modified, if needed, in order to support the Department's strategic vision. Paid time off needs to become less fragmented and be integrated as part of the total compensation program. Performance should be the foundation for all salary advancement in the system, and the mechanism for moving employees upwards within salary ranges. Lastly, the Department should continue to create more flexible governance, one that can balance the needs of individuals and the entire workforce.

Tentative Six-Step Timeline

The following tentative timeline provides the broad framework for meeting the State's compensation philosophy, implementing the Department's total compensation strategy, and moving toward a flexible compensation philosophy.

Step 1

- Change procedures to create more statewide consistency in and fine tune the performance management system – completed spring 2004;
- Push to eliminate two-HMO requirement in order to help improve the State's purchasing power for health coverage – passed spring 2004;
- Comprehensive analysis on the return to self-funding – completed spring 2004;
- Take sick leave provisions out of statute and make leave governance consistent for all types of leave;
- Align salary ranges to move with the market and leave no employee below range minimum – implemented 7/1/04;
- Implement performance awards funded at 1.1 percent of payroll – implemented 7/1/04; and,
- Assist with the implementation of SB04-257, which will provide employees greater flexibility in retirement choices – passed spring 04.

Step 2

- Analyze the State's workforce training and education programs, and options for leave reform;
- Continue to move salary ranges with the market and have no employee below range minimum;
- Develop integrated incentive program;
- Recommend performance awards at 1.1 percent of payroll – completed 8/1/04;
- Move group benefits plan year to a fiscal year cycle to align with other total compensation components – reported in the Annual Compensation Report 8/1/04;
- Implement self-funded group medical and dental plans if practical and competitive with market rates;
- Offer an HSA-eligible high deductible health plan; and,
- Increase State contribution to group benefits plans from 42 percent to 56 percent of the market – implemented 7/1/04.

Step 3

- Develop ongoing broker-model plans for statewide training and education;
- Implement alternative retirement options and integrated incentive program;
- Continue to move salary ranges with the market and have no employee below range minimum;

- Recommend building performance awards to a more meaningful percentage of payroll;
- Explore Health Retirement Accounts; and,
- Recommend an increase to employer contribution to group benefit plans that goes to 60.5 percent of the market beginning July 1, 2005 to coincide with move to fiscal year benefits cycle.

Step 4

- Attain State contribution levels to group benefit plans at 100 percent of the market;
- Continue to move salary ranges with the market and have no employee below range minimum; and,
- Recommend building performance awards to a more meaningful percentage of total payroll.

Step 5

- Attain performance awards at a level that will adequately provide a way for employee upward movement within a salary range.
- Continue to move salary ranges with the market and have no employee below range minimum; and,
- Continue to maintain employer contributions to group benefit plans at 100 percent of the market.

Step 6

- Explore options for allowing individual employee tailoring of a total compensation package.
- Continue to move salary ranges with the market and have no employee below range minimum;
- Continue to recommend performance awards at a level that will provide a way for employee upward movement within a salary range; and,
- Continue to maintain employer contributions to group benefit plans at 100 percent of the market.

Contact Jeff Schutt - October 28, 2004