HINSDALE COUNTY

Hinsdale County's 1957-1959 sales ratio of 23.8 per cent is the 25th among the two-year county ratios in Colorado when arranged from low to high; it is 13.1 per cent (3.6 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent. This county's two-year ratio is based upon 23 conveyances, of which 21 were transfers of urban properties and the remaining 2 were transfers of rural properties. The Hinsdale County sales ratio decreased from the first year of the study to the second (from 25.5 per cent in 1957-1958 to 22.0 per cent in 1958-1959).

In terms of assessed value of property on the tax rolls in 1957, the amount of rural property in Hinsdale County is more than twice that of urban property. This is in contrast to the state as a whole wherein the amount of urban property is almost three times the rural property total.

Variation among the sales ratios for Hinsdale County is larger than the state-wide variation. The average range for the two years combined (19.1 percentage points) within which the middle half of the 1957-1959 sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than the corresponding state-wide figure of 11.0 percentage points.

During the two-year period covered by the study, real estate market activity in the rural areas was relatively lower in Hinsdale County than it was state-wide. This is indicated by the fact that the assessed value of rural property reported on the conveyance certificates in the two years was only a fraction of 1 per cent of the county's total assessed value of property on the tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding proportion for the state as a whole was 9.0 per cent.

Because variation among the ratios is comparatively high on an average and the sample of usable certificates for the county is small, the ratio for this county is regarded as one of the least dependable of the county ratios.

Hinsdale County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total <u>County</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	10 13 23	9 12 21	1 1 2
Average Sales Ratio (%)	•		
1957 - 1958 1958 - 1959 1957 - 1959	25.5 22.0 23.8		
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	16.5 13.6 19.1		
Prop. of Total Ass'd Valueb	100.0	30.2	69.8
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^c			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	1.8 0.7 2.5	5.6 2.2 7.8	0.1 d 0.2

d. Less than 0.1%.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 1 1 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	O 1 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 2 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1
Total Cases	12	1	13
Average Sales Ratio (%)			22.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			2.8 10.8 13.6
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	30.2	69.8	100.0

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 2 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	4 2 2 2 0	0 0 0 0	4 2 2 2 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 2 1 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 2 2 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 2	0 0 0	0 1 1 2
Total Cases	21	2	23
Average Sales Ratio (%)			23.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		 	4.9 14.2 19.1
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	30.2	69.8	100.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

HUERFANO COUNTY

Huerfano County's 1957-1959 sales ratio of 21.3 per cent is the 15th among the two-year county ratios in Colorado when arranged from low to high; it is 22.3 per cent (6.1 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent. The county's two-year sales ratio is based upon 212 conveyances, about two-thirds of which are transfers of urban properties and one-third are transfers of rural properties.

Contrary to the state-wide trend, the Huerfano sales ratio for the second year of the study is sharply larger than it is for the first year; it increased from 19.9 per cent in 1957-1958 to 26.0 per cent in 1958-1959. Both urban and rural areas share in this trend.

In terms of assessed value of property on the tax rolls in 1957, there is approximately an equal division in the county between urban and rural properties. In contrast, in the state as a whole, the amount of urban property is almost three times the amount of rural property. Agricultural land with improvements, the most important class of property in Huerfano County, accounts for 39.9 per cent of its total assessed value.

Variation among the sales ratios for urban properties in the county is considerably larger than the state-wide variation. The average range (27.1 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county's two-year urban ratios fall when arranged from low to high is much larger than the corresponding range for urban areas state-wide (10.2 percentage points). This holds true for each of the two years as well as for the two years combined.

During the two-year period covered by the study, the real estate market in the county's rural areas was relatively more active than it was state-wide. This is reflected in the fact that the assessed value reported on the conveyance certificates for rural properties is 8.3 per cent as large as the total assessed value of properties on the county's tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding proportion state-wide is only 4.2 per cent.

Huerfano County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	<u>County</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958	114	79	35
1958-1959	98	62	36
1957-1959	212	141	71
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958	19.9	26.7	15.7
1958-1959	26.0	37.9	19.4
1957-1959	21.3	28.0	16.9
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958	20.4	22.2	19.3
1958-1959	14.4	19.6	11.8
1957-1959	21.1	27.1	17.3
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	51.9	48.1
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^c			
1957-1958	4.3	3.9	4.8
1958-1959	2.8	2.2	3.5
1957-1959	7.2	6.1	8.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
 Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

Huerfano County: Number of Conveyances by of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of P for the Year 1958-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	2 1 0 4 3	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 4 3	1 0 1 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 4 3 2 2	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 3 3 2	2 1 2 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 3 2 1 3	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 4 2 1 3	0 1 1 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 2 1 2	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 2 1 2	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 2 3	0 2 0 2	0 0 0 4	1 2 2 9	0 0 0
Total Cases	48	10	4	62	11
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.3	38.4		37.9	19.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	7.4 10.6 18.0	13.4 15.4 28.8		9.0 10.6 19.6	3.3 9.9 13.2
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	32.1	0.8	19.0	51.9	39.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ration. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assist by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Size Variation roperty

Land ithout mpts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
3 1 3 7 1	1 2 0 0	5 3 4 8 2	8 4 5 12 5
2 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	4 1 2 2 0	5 5 5 5 2
0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 1 2 0 0	5 5 4 1 3
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 2 1 2
0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 2 10
19	6	36	98
13.3		19.4	26.0
0.8 3.4 4.2		3.1 8.7 11.8	5.3 9.1 14.4
1.4	6.8	48.1	100.0

s fall when arranged from low to high. essed value in the county as reported

		One-Fa
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-1
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 1 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 1 0	
Total Cases	6	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.4	31.
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	8.4 5.6 14.0	2. 4. 7.
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	1.2	2.

a. b.

Range in percentage points within whic Assessed value $\underline{\text{in}} \ \underline{1957}$ by class of pro

Huerfano County: Number of Conveyances by of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

mily D	wellings b	y Age Cla	ss (years)	<u> </u>	C	Vacant	Al
<u>8</u>	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Urban Land	Oth <u>Urb</u>
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 2 1	1 2 0 4 2	3 3 0 7 3	1 0 0 0 0	3 0 3 0 1	
0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1 1	0 2 6 3 3	2 7 1 1	3 9 7 6 7	0 0 2 0 0	0 1 0 1 2	
1 0 2 2 1	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 3	2 3 1 2 1	7 4 4 5 5	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	
1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	1 5 3 2 2	0 1 0 0	4 6 3 2 2	1 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	
0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	1 1 2 8	1 0 1 2	2 1 5 11	0 1 0 3	0 2 0 3	
9	6	53	3 5	109	10	21	
0	41.7	34.0	24.2	29.6	25.5	30.6	
4 9 3	14.7 15.8 30.5	9.8 12.8 22.6	6.4 8.5 14.9	8.1 10.3 18.4	3.0 37.0 40.0	17.1 13.3 30.4	
1	2.3	15.5	11.0	32.1	18.6	0.8	0.

h the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. perty as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported k

Size Variation Property

l er an	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
00000	7 3 3 7 5	2 2 2 3 0	4 3 4 7 1	0 0 1 0	2 3 0 0	8 8 6 11 2	15 11 9 18 7
0000	3 10 9 7 9	2 2 2 0 2	5 0 2 2 1	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	8 2 4 3 3	11 12 13 10 12
0 0 0 0	7 5 6 5 5	0 3 3 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 4 4 0 1	8 9 10 5 6
0 0 0 0 0	5 9 3 2 2	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	6 10 4 2 3
0 0 0 1	2 4 5 18	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	3 4 5 19
1	141	26	32	6	7	71	212
-	28.0	16.4	14.2	23.6	14.2	16.9	21.3
- -	6.2 20.9 27.1	2.1 15.3 17.4	1.7 7.0 8.7	4.6 17.4 22.0	5.1 1.5 6.6	2.4 14.9 17.3	3.9 17.2 21.1
4	51.9	39.9	1.4	5.9	0.9	48.1	100.0

y the assessor to the Legislative Council.

JACKSON COUNTY

Jackson County's sales ratio of 18.5 per cent, based upon data for the two-year period 1957-1959, is the 5th among the two-year county ratios when arranged from low to high; it is 32.5 per cent (8.9 percentage points) below the two-year statewide ratio of 27.4 per cent. The county's two-year ratio is based upon 55 conveyances, of which 40 are urban property transfers and 15 are rural property transfers.

In terms of assessed value of property on the tax rolls in 1957, the amount of rural property in the county is almost four times that of urban property. This is in contrast to the state as a whole wherein the amount of urban property is approximately three times the rural property total.

The real estate market in Jackson County was relatively less active during the two-year period covered by the study than it was state-wide. This is true of both urban and rural properties, but particularly so of rural properties. The assessed value of rural properties sold in the county in the two years is only 0.6 per cent as large as total assessed value of rural properties on the county's tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding proportion for rural properties state-wide is 4.2 per cent.

Because the number of conveyances of rural properties is small and this property group comprises a large proportion of the property in the county, there is some question concerning the accuracy of the sales ratio for Jackson County.

As noted in Part One of the report on the Sales Ratio Study, the average sales ratio for Jackson County for 1958-1959 is subject to the limitation that conveyances of agricultural land with improvements were insufficient for determination of a sales ratio for this important class of property in the county for that year.

Jackson County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	County	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958	27	21	6
1958-1959	28	19	9
1957-1959	55	40	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958	14.1	28.0	12.5
1958-1959	18.7	25.9	12.2
1957-1959	18.5	30.4	16.8
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958	2.9	13.7	2.1
1958-1959	12.4	6.3	15.8
1957-1959	14.0	10.9	14.4
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	20.4	79.6
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^c			
1957-1958	0.8	3.1	0.2
1958-1959	1.1	4.0	0.4
1957-1959	2.0	7.1	0.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
- c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958–1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	4 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 0 1 1 0	2 0 0 2 0	6 0 1 3 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 2 2	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 3 2	0 1 1 1 0	2 2 1 4 2
28 " " 30 30 " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 3 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 2 3 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	11	7	1	19	9	28
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	8.9		25.9	12.2	18.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.4 3.8 6.2	1.7 5.6 7.3		2.3 4.0 6.3	1.8 14.0 15.8	3.6 8.8 12.4
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	13.3	0.3	6.8	20.4	79.6	100.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when

arranged from low to high. b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jackson County: Number of County of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rationary and Proportion of Assessed Value for the Two-year Period

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Vacant Urban Land	(
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 1	6 1 1 3 1	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 3 0 2 2	1 3 0 1 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 3 0	0 0 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 1 0	0 0 0	
Total Cases	21	17	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.2	13.5	
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.2 6.7 10.9	5.0 5.8 10.8	
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	13.3	0.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which the arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property in the county as reported by the assessor t

onveyances by Size io, Measure of Variation e by Class of Property od 1957-1959

Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
6 2 1 4 1	3 1 2 2 1	9 3 3 6 2
3 6 0 3 2	1 1 1 0	4 7 1 4 2
3 1 3 0 0	0 2 0 0	3 3 0 0
1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1
1 1 1 0	0 0 0	1 1 1 0
40	15	55
30.4	16.8	18.5
9.0 1.9 10.9	5.2 9.2 14.4	5.9 8.1 14.0
20.4	79.6	100.0
	Urban 6 2 1 4 1 3 6 0 3 2 3 1 1 1 1 0 40 30.4 9.0 1.9 10.9	Urban Rural 6 3 2 1 1 2 4 2 1 1 3 1 6 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 2 1 3 1 3 1 4 1

e middle half of the ratios fall when

[/] as per cent of total assessed value
:o the Legislative Council.

JEFFERSON COUNTY

Jefferson County's sales ratio of 25.7 per cent, based upon data for the two-year period 1957-1959, is the 39th among the two-year county ratios when arranged from low to high. It is 6.2 per cent (1.7 percentage points) below the state-wide two-year ratio of 27.4 per cent.

In terms of assessed value of property on the tax rolls in 1957, the amount of urban property in Jefferson County is more than six times that of rural property. This is in contrast to the state as a whole wherein the corresponding urban-rural relationship is approximately three parts urban property and one part rural property. One-family dwellings account for approximately two-thirds of the county's total assessed valuation.

During the two-year period covered by the study, the real estate market in Jefferson County was relatively more active than it was in the state as a whole. This is reflected in the fact that the combined assessed value of properties sold in 1957-1959 represented a sharply greater proportion of total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in the county than it did state-wide. This holds true for both urban and rural areas as well as for urban and rural areas combined. The wide disparity between the two-year rural proportions for the county (19.0 per cent) and the state (4.2 per cent) was largely caused by above-average activity in the nominally rural (though urbanized) area near Denver.

Variation among the sales ratios for urban areas in Jefferson County is smaller than that for the state as a whole. This is true for both years of the study as well as for the two years combined. The average range (8.3 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county's two-year urban ratios fall when arranged from low to high is smaller than the corresponding range (10.2 percentage points) for urban areas state-wide.

Jefferson County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	<u>County</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958		1,796	629
1958-1959		2,415	877
1957-1959		4,211	1,506
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958	25.3	25.5	24.4
1958-1959	26.3	27.7	19.8
1957-1959	25.7	26.6	21.3
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958	8.9	8.1	14.1
1958-1959	9.2	8.5	12.2
1957-1959	8.9	8.3	12.2
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	86.5	13.5
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958	5.5	5.2	7.4
1958-1959	9.1	8.7	11.6
1957-1959	14.6	13.9	19.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class					
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	0	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 1 2 1 2	0 0 5 8 11	4 6 5 3 6	4 12 12 11 13	,	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	12 41 54 140 212	20 30 43 53 50	7 16 6 13 5	9 15 6 9 6		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	224 235 234 114 153	35 24 10 6 2	2 4 2 0 1	1 5 3 0 2		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	24 7 2 2 4	4 2 5 2 3	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 1	1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0		
Total Cases	1,466	315	83	110		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.3	25.4	21.4	18.6	1	
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.2 3.2 6.4	3.2 3.5 6.7	4.5 4.0 8.5	4.7 5.5 10.2		
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios 1 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assess

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

years) er 48	All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings		Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.
3 2 6 11 9	12 21 30 34 41	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	30 29 49 37 31	0 0 0 0	43 50 79 72 72	4 0 1 1
9 8 5 6 0	57 110 114 221 273	0 0 1 5 4	2 2 0 0	25 18 15 13 4	0 0 0 1	84 130 130 239 282	2 2 0 1 0
4 1 2 0 1	266 269 251 120 159	7 9 4 2 3	2 3 1 1 2	12 6 7 6 1	0 1 0 0	287 288 263 129 166	0 0 1 1 0
0 0 0 0	28 9 8 4 8	3 2 0 1 1	1 0 1 0	3 3 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	35 14 10 5 11	0 0 0 0
0 1 0 0	2 4 0 1	1 2 1 0	0 1 0 2	0 5 2 4	0 0 1 0	3 12 4 7	0 0 0 1
68	2,042	47	20	302	4	2,415	15
.8 .3	27.1	32.4	32.6	16.5		27.7	12.7
3.2 4.9 8.1	3.4 3.6 7.0	4.2 5.1 9.3	7.6 8.4 16.0	3.8 6.5 10.3		4.0 4.5 8.5	2.4 11.4 13.8
2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.1	2.4	86.5	2.0

all when arranged from low to high.

ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Misc. Rural Land

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

(years)	A11	Multi-Family	Commercial	Industrial	Vacant Urban	Total	Agr With
<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	Dwellings´	Buildings	Buildings	Land	Urban	Impts.
3 5 9 21 13	20 44 53 71 85	1 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2 0	0 0 1 0	110 86 106 85 69	132 131 160 158 154	4 0 3 1 1
11 11 9 10 0	100 200 217 376 485	0 0 3 7 8	3 2 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	52 41 26 34 13	155 243 247 417 508	2 2 0 1 0
5 1 2 0 3	471 418 336 180 189	12 11 9 6 4	4 5 2 3 2	0 2 0 0 1	29 12 12 11 4	516 448 359 200 200	0 0 1 1 0
2 1 0 0	42 18 12 9 11	3 3 0 1 1	1 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	7 9 3 2 2	53 31 16 12 15	0 0 0 0
0 1 0 0	3 7 4 8	1 2 1 0	0 2 0 2	0 0 1 0	2 13 3 7	6 24 9 17	0 1 0 1
107	3,359	73	35	6	73 8	4,211	18
18.4	26.7	31.9	28.6	24.4	15.5	26.6	18.2
3.5 5.2 8.7	3.3 3.7 7.0	4.1 3.3 7.4	6.7 8.6 15.3		3.8 6.8 10.6	3.6 4.7 8.3	5.9 6.8 12.7
2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	2.1	86.5	2.0

fall when arranged from low to high. ssed value in the Legislative Council.

KIOWA COUNTY

Kiowa County's 1957-1959 sales ratio of 25.5 per cent is the 37th among the two-year county ratios in Colorado when arranged from low to high; it is only 6.9 per cent (1.9 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent. The county's two-year ratio is based upon 117 conveyances, of which 43 were transfers of urban properties, and the remaining 74 were transfers of rural properties.

The Kiowa County sales ratio decreased sharply from the first year of the study to the second (from 28.5 per cent in 1957-1958 to 23.7 per cent in 1958-1959). This is a drop of 16.7 per cent (4.8 percentage points).

Unlike the state as a whole for which the assessed value of urban properties on the tax rolls in 1957 is markedly greater than that of rural properties, the assessed value of rural properties in the county is almost four times that of urban properties. Agricultural land with improvements and agricultural land without improvements were the two most important classes of property in Kiowa County. The assessed value of these two classes of property together constituted more than three-fourths of the total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in the county in 1957.

Variation among the county's sales ratios for urban areas is greater than that for the state as a whole. The average range for the two years combined (16.3 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county's urban ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than the corresponding state-wide range (10.2 percentage points).

During the two-year period covered by the study, real estate market activity was relatively lower in Kiowa County than it was state-wide. This is shown by the fact that the assessed value reported on the conveyance certificates in the two years represented a smaller proportion of total assessed value on the tax rolls in the county in 1957 (3.7 per cent) than it did state-wide (9.0 per cent). Both urban and rural properties shared in this below-average market activity.

Kiowa County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	County	<u>Urban</u>	Rural
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958	50	18	32
1958-1959	67	25	42
1957-1959	117	43	74
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958		27.0	28.9
1958-1959		31.6	22.3
1957-1959		29.1	24.7
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958		27.0	12.8
1958-1959		14.1	11.1
1957-1959		16.3	13.3
Prop. of Total Ass'd Valueb	100.0	20.5	79.5
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958	1.5	1.5	1.5
1958-1959	2.2	1.9	2.3
1957-1959	3.7	3.5	3.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

<sup>b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per</sup>

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

Kiowa County: Number of Conveyances by S of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of for the Year 1958-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 1 2 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 2 2	0 0 1 2 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 3 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 5 1 1	0 2 0 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 2 0 2	0 0 0 3	0 0 0	0 2 0 5	0 0 0
Total Cases	17	6	2	25	10
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.9	35.6		31.6	23.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.5 14.5 19.0	14.6 66.9 81.5		3.6 10.5 14.1	5.8 8.2 14.0
Prop. of Ass'd Valueb	7.5	0.5	12.5	20.5	47.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the rab. Assessed value in $\underline{1957}$ by class of property as per cent of total by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

^{*} Under 0.1 per cent.

ances by Size Measure of Variation Class of Property 959

Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
1 0 0 0 2	0 0 2 3 5	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 3 7	1 1 2 3 8
0 0 1 2 0	5 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	5 5 4 4 0	6 6 5 6 2
0 2 0 1 0	1 2 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 4 1 1	1 9 2 2 2
1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 0
0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 2 0 6
10	31	1	42	67
23.3	21.0		22.3	23.7
5.8 8.2 14.0	3.9 3.3 7.2		5.0 6.1 11.1	5.3 6.1 11.4
47.4	32.1	*	79.5	100.0

the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. total assessed value in the county as reported

Kiowa County: of Sales Ratio, Avera and Proportion of A for the T

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Vacant Urban Land
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 0 3 4 1	0 3 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 3 3 2 1	0 2 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 2 0 3	0 1 0 3
Total Cases	29	11
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.0	32.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.9 19.8 24.7	11.0 27.8 38.8
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	7.5	0.5

a. Range in percentage points within which t
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of proper by the assessor to the Legislative Counci
 * Under 0.1 per cent.

Number of Conveyances by Size ge Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssessed Value by Class of Property wo-year Period 1957–1959

All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 1 2	1 0 2 5 9	0 0 0 0	2 0 2 6 11	2 1 2 6 12
0 0 0 1 1	3 3 5 2	0 0 2 3 0	6 6 4 2 4	0 0 0 1 0	6 6 6 4	9 9 9 11 6
0 1 0 0	0 6 3 2 1	0 2 1 2 0	2 7 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	2 9 2 2 1	2 15 5 4 2
0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 0 1 0	2 4 1 1 0
0 0 0	1 3 0 6	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 0	1 1 0 2	2 4 0 8
3	43	16	55	3	74	117
	29.1	26.2	22.8		24.7	25.5
	3.4 12.9 16.3	6.2 7.6 13.8	5.5 7.2 12.7		5.9 7.4 13.3	5.8 7.9 13.7
12.5	20.5	47.4	32.1	*	79.5	100.0

he middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. ty as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported l.

KIT CARSON COUNTY

Kit Carson County's sales ratio for 1958-1959 of 20.3 per cent is the 11th among the county ratios for the second year of the study when arranged from low to high. This ratio is 15.8 per cent (3.8 percentage points) below the county's ratio (24.1 per cent) for the first year of the study.

The 1957-1959 sales ratios for Kit Carson County and the state are 22.4 per cent and 27.4 per cent, respectively. The two-year ratio for urban properties in Kit Carson County is higher than the corresponding state-wide ratio, while the county's rural property ratio is lower than the state-wide rural ratio.

During the period of the study, the real estate market was relatively less active in Kit Carson County than it was in the state as a whole. This is shown by the fact that the assessed value of properties sold, as reported on the real estate coveyance certificates in the two years combined, constituted 3.7 per cent of the assessed value of all properties on the tax rolls in the county, whereas the corresponding state-wide proportion was 9.0 per cent.

The distribution of total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in Kit Carson County by class of property is in sharp contrast to the corresponding state-wide distribution. This is shown by the fact that rural properties account for approximately three-fourths of the total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in the county, whereas the corresponding proportion state-wide is approximately one-fourth.

Kit Carson County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	<u>County</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958	101	51	50
1958-1959	145	100	45
1957-1959	246	151	95
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958	24.1	35.8	21.5
1958-1959	20.3	31.6	17.9
1957-1959	22.4	35.9	19.7
Measure of Variation ^a		·	
1957-1958	13.2	25.7	10.9
1958-1959	8.1	15.0	7.0
1957-1959	10.6	20.6	8.9
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	27.1	72.9
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958	1.6	2.2	1.4
1958-1959	2.1	3.9	1.4
195 7- 1959	3.7	6.2	2.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

<sup>Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per</sup>

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class			
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	Ove:
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	0 1 0 2 4	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 1 1	1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	2 3 2 2 2	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 0	2 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 2 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 2 0	0 3 2 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	
Total Cases	9	14	4	23	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.1	36.4		22.3	18,
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.6 8.8 13.4	7.9 5.3 13.2		4.9 4.9 9.8	3, 2, 6,
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	Ο,

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ration. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

n County: Number of Conveyances by Size o, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

vears)		Commercial	Vacant Urban	All	T-4-1	Agric.	Land
<u>: 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Buildings	Land	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.
0 1 0	0 2 0 5 4	0 1 0 0	2 3 7 2 5	0 0 0 1	2 5 8 7 10	0 0 1 3 1	1 1 4 7 6
1 2 0 0 1	4 5 3 4 5	0 0 0 0	3 3 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	7 8 4 5 5	2 4 1 1 0	2 2 5 2 0
00000	3 2 3 3	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	3 2 4 6 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0
00000	2 3 4 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 4 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
0000	1 0 1 1	0 2 1 1	0 1 1 4	0 0 0	1 3 3 6	0 0 0	0 0 0
6	56	6	36	2	100	14	31
8	26.3	48.1	17.1		31.6	19.0	17.1
8 7 5	5.3 6.9 12.2	13.1 9.4 22.5	4.0 11.9 15.9		7.3 7.7 15.0	3.3 2.8 6.1	2.6 5.0 7.6
.7	12.2	8.3	0.4	6.2	27.1	32.6	40.0

s fall when arranged from low to high. essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Co

All Other Rural	Total	Total
Rural	Rural	County
0 0 0 0	1 5 10 7	3 6 13 17
0 0 0 0	4 6 6 3 0	11 14 10 8 5
0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	33462 33400 1336
	0 0 0 0	1 3 3 6
0	45	145
	17.9	20.3
	2.9 4.1 7.0	4.0 4.1 8.1
0.3	72.9	100.0

uncil.

		One-Family	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 1 3	2 0 0 2 2	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 1 1 0	2 0 0 2 1	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 2 0 0	1 3 2 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	
Total Cases	13	18	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.8	32.0	
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.0 5.2 9.2	5.5 9.0 14.5	
Prop. of Ass'd Valueb	3.6	2.6	

a. Range in percentage points within which t b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of proper

Kit Carson County: Number of Conveyances by S of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Va and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Pro for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years)			Vacant
<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Commercial Buildings	Urban Land
0 0 0 2 0	0 2 0 4 5	0 0 1 1 0	0 2 1 7 5	0 1 0 0	3 3 8 3 5
0 0 1 1 0	4 4 2 5 2	1 2 0 0 1	7 6 4 9 8	0 0 0 0	3 4 1 1 3
0 1 1 2 1	1 3 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 5 5 5 5 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0
1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0	3 4 4 1 1	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 2	0 0 1 2	0 1 0 0	1 1 1 4	0 2 1 4	0 1 1 5
12	40	8	91	11	45
29.0	22.6	27.5	27.2	48.9	17.5
5.0 9.0 14.0	5.0 8.1 13.1	10.5 8.5 19.0	5.1 7.6 12.7	8.4 33.9 42.3	4.2 10.5 14.7
1.0	4.3	0.7	12.2	8.3	0.4

he middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to highly as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported

ize riation perty

All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agri With Impts.	Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	3 6 9 10 11	0 0 2 5 3	1 3 6 12 10	0 0 0 0	1 3 8 17 13	4 9 17 27 24
0 0 0 0 1	10 10 5 10 12	4 9 2 1 3	3 5 6 6 1	0 0 0 0	7 14 8 7 4	17 24 13 17 16
0 0 0 1 0	6 5 6 8 2	O 3 0 1 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 3 1 2 2	6 8 7 10 4
0 0 0 0	5 4 4 2 1	0 1 1 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 1	6 6 5 2 2
0 0 1 0	1 4 4 13	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 4 4 13
4	151	36	58	1	95	246
	35.9	21.3	18.5		19.7	22.4
	3.9 11.3 20.6	4.0 5.4 9.4	3.7 4.7 8.4		3.9 5.0 8.9	5.0 5.6 10.6
6.2	27.1	32.6	40.0	0.3	72.9	100.0

by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

LAKE COUNTY

Lake County's 1957-1959 sales ratio of 21.0 per cent is the 14th among the two-year county ratios in Colorado when arranged from low to high; it is 23.4 per cent (6.4 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent. The ratio is based upon 133 conveyances, of which 126 were transfers of urban properties and only 7 were transfers of rural properties.

Lake County's sales ratio decreased slightly from the first year of the study to the second (from 21.6 per cent in 1957-1958 to 20.6 per cent in 1958-1959). This decline of 1 percentage point (4.6 per cent) is somewhat greater than the corresponding decline state-wide.

As noted in Part One of the report on the Sales Ratio Study, there were no conveyances of industrial properties in Lake County in either year of the study. Because this property class accounts for a sizable proportion of the assessed value of properties on the county's 1957 tax rolls and the state-wide sales ratio for it is comparatively large, the significance of lack of data for it so far as reliability of the county's sales ratio is concerned should be recognized.

In terms of assessed value of property on the tax rolls in 1957, urban property accounts for 94.5 per cent of all property in the county.

Variation among the sales ratios for Lake County is larger than the state-wide variation. The average range (15.2 percentage points) within which the middle half of the two-year sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than that for the state (11.0 percentage points). The county's sales ratios for each of the two years share in this comparative lack of uniformity.

During the two-year period covered by the study, real estate market activity in Lake County was relatively much lower than it was state-wide. The assessed value reported on the conveyance certificates in the two-year period was only 2.6 per cent as large as the total assessed value of all properties on the tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding state-wide proportion was 9.0 per cent.

Lake County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total <u>County</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	75 58 133	74 52 126	1 6 7
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	21.6 20.6 21.0		
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	19.0 15.7 15.2		
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	94.5	5.5
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	1.0 1.6 2.6		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	8 1 3 2 3	5 1 0 0	13 2 3 2 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 5 3 6 8	0 0 0 0	2 5 3 6 8
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 1
Total Cases	52	6	58
Average Sales Ratio (%)			20.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			9.1 6.6 15.7
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	94.5	5.5	100.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	14 8 7 9 7	5 1 0 0	19 9 7 9 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	8 10 7 8 15	0 0 0 0	8 10 7 8 15
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 0 5 1 2	0 0 0 0	3 0 5 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	3 3 1 1 2	1 0 0 0	4 3 1 1 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 1 3 7	0 0 0 0	1 1 3 7
Total Cases	126	7	133
Average Sales Ratio (%)			21.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			7.5 7.7 15.2
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	94.5	5.5	100.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

LA PLATA COUNTY

La Plata County's sales ratio decreased slightly from 23.9 per cent in 1957-1958 to 23.4 per cent in 1958-1959. A small increase in the urban ratio was off-set by a decrease in the rural ratio.

The 1957-1959 ratio of 23.5 per cent is the 25th among the two-year county ratios when arranged from low to high. It is 14.2 per cent (3.9 percentage points) below the state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent.

In terms of assessed value of property on the tax rolls of the county in 1957, there is almost an equal distribution between urban and rural properties (51.8 per cent urban and 48.2 per cent rural). The most important classes of property in La Plata County are one-family dwellings and agricultural land having imporvements. Over one-half of the county's total assessed value is accounted for by these two classes.

During the two-year period covered by the study, real estate market activity among urban properties was relatively greater in the county than it was in the state as a whole. The assessed value of urban properties sold is 12.6 per cent as large as the total assessed value of urban properties on the tax rolls in the county in 1957, whereas the corresponding state-wide proportion is 10.8 per cent. In contrast, the real estate market among rural properties was somewhat less active in the county than it was state-wide.

In both years of the study, variation among the sales ratios for rural areas was greater relatively than that for the state. The average range (13.9 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county's two-year rural ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than that for state (12.5 percentage points).

La Plata County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	County	<u>Urban</u>	Rural
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958	314	245	69
1958-1959	315	229	86
1957-1959	629	474	155
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957 - 1958	23.9		24.3
1958 - 1959	23.4		21.8
1957 - 1959	23.5		22.7
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958	10.6	7.6	13.7
1958-1959	13.8	13.9	13.9
1957-1959	11.8	9.7	13.9
Prop. of Total Ass'd Valueb	100.0	51.8	48.2
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958	4.0	6.5	1.3
1958-1959	4.1	6.2	2.0
1957-1959	8.1	12.6	3.2

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
- c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u> <u>1-8</u> <u>9-18</u> <u>19-28</u> <u>29-48</u> <u>Or</u>								
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 1 1	0 2 1 4 3				
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 4 4 19 18	2 4 1 1 4	1 3 1 0	3 1 1 0 0				
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	16 7 0 1 1	1 1 0 0 1	2 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0				
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0				
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0				
Total Cases	77	17	13	16				
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.5	23.5	21.6	16.6	J			
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.8 2.5 4.3	3.4 3.9 7.3	3.1 8.3 11.4	2.1 2.7 4.8				
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b 13.3 3.0 2.1 3.5								

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the rat b. Assessed value in $\underline{1957}$ by class of property as per cent of total a

Plata County: Number of Conveyances by Size s Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation coportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

(years) er 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.
0 3 5 5 4	0 6 6 13 10	0 0 0 0	2 6 2 4 7	0 0 0 0	2 12 8 17 17	3 0 3 1 0
5 4 5 0 0	13 16 12 20 22	0 0 1 1 2	7 4 0 2 3	0 0 0 0	20 20 13 23 27	4 0 0 0
0 2 0 0	19 11 0 2 2	1 1 1 0	1 2 3 2 6	0 0 1 0	21 14 5 5 8	1 3 1 0 1
0 0 1 0	2 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 2 0 1 1	0 1 0 0	3 3 2 1 1	0 0 0 0
0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 7	0 1 0 0
34	157	12	57	3	229	18
8.0	21.7	31.9	21.8		25.1	23.6
3.8 3.8 7.6	2.7 3.5 6.2	4.9 23.3 28.2	5.8 12.1 17.9		3.6 10.3 13.9	10.6 7.4 18.0
7.5	29.4	18.2	1.3	2.9	51.8	24.7

ios fall when arranged from low to high. ssessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legis.

Land Without [mpts.	Misc. R With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
2 2 0 1 1	0 0 1 3 4	0 1 5 10 5	5 3 9 15 10	7 15 17 32 27
0 0 0 0 1	2 4 3 1 1	3 0 4 0 1	9 4 7 1 3	29 24 20 24 30
0 2 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	1 0 0 0	2 5 2 1 3	23 19 7 6 11
0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	1 2 0 0	1 2 2 0 1	4 5 4 1 2
0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 7
11	24	33	86	315
18.4	21.0	17.4	21.8	23.4
7.6 12.8 20.4	4.0 5.0 9.0	3.0 5.0 8.0	7.3 6.6 13.9	5.5 8.3 13.8
2.7	17.5	3.3	48.2	100.0

lative Council.

		One-Family
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 2 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	4 6 8 28 42	4 4 4 2 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	33 13 1 1	1 1 2 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1
Total Cases	143	32
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.9	24.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.8 2.1 3.9	3.8 5.7 9.5
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	13.3	3.0

a. Range in percentage points within which to b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of proper

La Plata County: Number of Conveyances by S of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of V and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Pr for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

nily	Dwellings	by Age Cla	ass (years)	A11	Commercial	Vacant Urban	Al. Oth
3	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Buildings	Land	Urba
)) 2	0 2 2 1 2	0 3 3 9 7	3 7 8 7 4	3 12 13 21 15	0 0 0 0	7 15 7 10 21	(
))	3 3 0 0	6 1 2 3 0	8 8 10 2 3	25 22 27 35 51	2 1 4 5 4	22 12 5 7 7	(
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 1 0 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 3 0 0	36 19 3 3 4	2 1 2 1 0	4 4 3 3 7	(
)))	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	3 0 2 0 1	1 0 1 2 0	1 4 0 1 1	(
)	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 4	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1	(
!	23	38	64	300	29	142	:
i	20.3	17.2	18.3	22.0	28.2	18.9	
	3.5 8.9 12.4	2.4 3.8 6.2	4.8 4.3 9.1	3.1 3.9 7.0	4.1 7.8 11.9	3.6 7.2 10.8	
)	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4	18.2	1.3	2.9

the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. erty as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

ize ariation operty

l er <u>an</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agrie With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. R With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0 0	10 27 20 31 36	3 1 3 2 0	3 2 1 1 2	1 1 4 9 5	1 4 6 10 6	8 8 14 22 13	18 35 34 53 49
D D	49 35 36 47 62	4 1 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	4 5 6 2 3	5 2 6 1 2	13 8 14 4 7	62 43 50 51 69
	42 24 9 7 11	4 5 2 0 2	0 2 1 0 1	2 0 1 1	3 1 1 0 0	9 8 5 1 4	51 32 14 8 15
	5 5 3 3 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0 2	1 2 0 0	2 4 2 1 2	7 9 5 4 4
	0 1 0 9	0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 0	0 3 1 2	0 4 1 11
	474	35	17	52	51	155	629
t	24.3	25.5	18.3	21.2	18.4	22.7	23.5
	3.6 6.1 9.7	9.3 6.2 15.5	7.1 15.7 22.8	5.6 6.1 11.7	4.0 5.0 9.0	7.2 6.7 13.9	5.4 6.4 11.8
þ	51.8	24.7	2.7	17.5	3.3	48.2	100.0

the assessor to the Legislative Council.

LARIMER COUNTY

Larimer County's sales ratio for 1958-1959 is 27.3 per cent. This is less than the county's 1957-1958 ratio of 28.7 per cent by 1.4 percentage points.

The county's 1957-1959 ratio is 27.9 per cent; it is the 48th among the two-year county ratios in Colorado when arranged from low to high. This differs but little from the two-year state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent.

Unlike the state as a whole for which the sales ratio for urban properties is considerably larger than that for rural properties, the ratios for urban and rural areas in Larimer County, particularly in 1957-1958, are about the same. It is worth noting, however, that the decline in the ratio is greater for rural properties than it is for urban properties and that increased farm marketings state-wide in calendar year 1958 over calendar year 1957 appears to have caused the market price of farm properties in the state as a whole to rise.

Real estate market activity was relatively greater in the county during the two-year period of the study than it was statewide. This is reflected in the fact that the combined assessed value of properties sold represented 10.8 per cent of total assessed value of property on the 1957 tax rolls in the county, whereas the corresponding proportion for the state as a whole was only 9.0 per cent. Both urban and rural properties shared in this above-average market activity.

Variation among the sales ratios for rural properties in the county is larger than that for rural properties in the state as a whole. The average range (15.4 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county's two-year rural ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than the corresponding statewide range for rural properties (12.5 percentage points).

Larimer County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	<u>County</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957 - 1958	1,171	962	209
1958 - 1959	1,355	1,056	299
1957 - 1959	2,526	2,018	508
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957 - 1958	28.7	28.7	28.8
1958 - 1959	27.3	28.0	25.9
1957 - 1959	27.9	28.5	26.9
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957 - 1958	11.9	9.9	16.1
1958-1959	12.7	12.2	13.5
1957 - 1959	12.8	11.5	15.4
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	66.7	33.3
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value			
1957-1958	4.9	5.9	3.1
1958-1959	5.9	6.8	4.0
1957-1959	10.8	12.7	7.1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
- c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

		One-Family	Dwellings h	oy Age Cla
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 2 1	0 0 0 0 5	0 0 2 3 2	0 3 5 9 11
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 5 12 24 40	2 5 5 17 14	5 6 9 5 3	28 25 22 21 11
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	43 53 48 38 29	24 20 18 11 6	1 0 3 1 1	12 3 5 2 4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	9 5 2 1 0	1 1 2 1 0	1 0 2 0 0	1 1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	321	133	45	163
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.0	29.7	23.2	22.4
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 3.3 6.8	3.8 3.2 7.0	3.5 4.0 7.5	3.5 3.4 6.9
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios b. Assessed value in $\underline{1957}$ by class of property as per cent of total associated as $\underline{1957}$ by class of property as $\underline{1957}$ by class of property as $\underline{1957}$ by class of $\underline{1957}$ by

Larimer County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

. s s	(years)	<u> </u>	Maria Familia	Commonaiol	Tadookais	Vacant	T-4-3
1	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial <u>Buildings</u>	Industrial <u>Buildings</u>	Urban Land	Total <u>Urban</u>
	0 2 7 11 15	0 6 16 24 34	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 0	0 6 4 3 7	0 12 22 27 44
į	24 27 23 31 17	64 68 71 98 85	0 0 1 1 1	0 6 2 2 1	0 0 1 0	2 11 8 12 18	66 85 83 113 105
	20 10 7 5 4	100 86 81 57 44	0 0 1 3 0	0 2 2 1 2	0 0 0 0	6 11 11 1 3	106 99 95 62 49
	3 5 5 1 1	15 12 11 3 1	2 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 2	1 15 0 0 2	20 27 11 3 5
	0 0 2 1	1 0 4 2	0 0 0 1	0 3 0 3	0 0 0	0 3 0 5	1 6 4 11
	221	883	10	28	6	129	1,056
	24.2	26.6	35.0	32.5	29.0	26.7	28.0
	4.5 4.7 9.2	3.7 3.7 7.4	8.0 3.5 11.5	11.2 5.7 16.9	12.0 17.5 29.5	4.8 6.9 11.7	6.2 6.0 12.2
	8.2	42.2	0.8	12.7	9.9	1.1	66.7

s fall when arranged from low to high. essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Agric.	Land	Misc. R	ural Land		
With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
3 3 1 2 2	2 2 0 1 1	0 6 6 10 11	5 5 2 7	10 16 12 15 21	10 28 34 42 65
2 11 6 4 8	1 0 0 1 0	7 15 12 11 19	2 6 1 10 3	12 32 19 26 30	78 117 102 139 135
6 3 2 3 2	0 2 0 0	9 9 7 8 3	1 4 4 1 1	16 18 13 12 6	122 117 108 74 55
4 2 1 1	1 0 0 0	4 2 3 1 0	1 2 1 2 0	10 6 5 4 1	30 33 16 7 6
1 1 1 0	0 1 0 1	1 1 0 1	1 2 0 4	3 5 1 6	4 11 5 17
70	13	146	70	299	1,355
26.5	20.1	25.3	21.7	25.9	27.3
5.7 7.0 12.7	8.9 13.3 22.2	6.3 5.5 11.8	5.6 11.1 16.7	6.0 7.5 13.5	6.2 6.5 12.7
30.3	2.1	0.4	0.5	33.3	100.0

:

3.5

3.4

6.9

15.6

4.0

3.9

7.9

6.9

3.6

4.2

7.8

2.5

3.5

3.7

7.2

9.0

Measure of Variation^a Below Average Ratio

Prop. of Ass'd Value^b

Total

Above Average Ratio

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asse

Larimer County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

ass (years) Over 48	All	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial	Industrial Buildings	Vacant Urban
OVEL 46	Ages	DWellings	Buildings	Bullaings	Land
0 3 9 17 30	0 12 23 43 55	0 0 0 0	1 0 3 1 2	0 0 1 0 1	9 11 13 11 12
40 50 33 45 35	106 133 122 152 162	0 0 3 1 2	0 7 4 3 3	0 0 1 1 0	12 23 16 25 36
30 25 8 12 9	173 167 142 117 86	0 0 2 3 2	1 5 2 3 2	0 0 1 0	15 25 29 9 7
4 6 5 2 1	41 27 22 8 7	2 1 0 2 0	3 2 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 2	6 32 1 3 6
1 2 3 2	4 4 6 6	0 0 0 1	0 4 0 3	0 0 0	2 10 1 9
372	1,618	19	50	8	323
24.3	27.1	34.3	31.1	32.0	26.8
4.6 4.8 9.4	3.9 3.9 7.8	7.5 4.9 12.4	9.5 7.2 16.7	12.0 10.8 22.8	5.7 8.4 14.1
8.2	42.2	0.8	12.7	9.9	1.1

[;] fall when arranged from low to high. :ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Cou

Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	Misc. R With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
10 23 40 55 70	3 3 3 2 5	3 2 1 1	0 9 11 13 26	10 8 8 3 11	16 22 23 19 43	26 45 63 74 113
118 163 146 182 203	4 13 12 7 9	1 1 0 1	12 24 19 17 26	3 12 1 12 5	20 50 32 37 41	138 213 178 219 244
189 197 176 132 97	9 5 3 6 5	0 2 0 1 0	14 14 17 15 5	2 6 5 1 1	25 27 25 23 11	214 224 201 155 108
53 62 23 14 15	6 4 1 1 5	2 0 0 0	9 5 6 3 3	2 5 2 3 1	19 14 9 7 9	72 76 32 21 24
6 18 7 19	2 2 1 0	0 1 0 1	3 3 1 5	2 4 0 11	7 10 2 17	13 28 9 36
2,018	111	19	260	118	508	2,526
28.5	27.5	21.2	26.1	22.2	26.9	27.9
6.0 5.5 11.5	6.3 8.3 14.6	9.3 12.9 22.2	7.1 7.1 14.2	6.1 16.3 22.4	6.6 8.8 15.4	6.1 6.7 12.8
66.7	30.3	2.1	0.4	0.5	33.3	100.0

ıncil.

LAS ANIMAS COUNTY

Las Animas County's sales ratio of 23.9 per cent for 1958-1959 is the 33rd among the county ratios for the second year of the study when arranged from low to high. The Las Animas County sales ratio decreased from the first year of the study to the second (from 26.0 per cent in 1957-1958 to 23.9 per cent in 1958-1959).

The sales ratios for 1957-1959 for the county and the state are 24.3 per cent and 27.4 per cent, respectively. The county's two-year sales ratio is 11.3 per cent (3.1 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent. The two-year urban ratio for Las Animas County is larger than the corresponding state-wide urban ratio, whereas the two-year rural ratio is smaller than the corresponding state-wide rural ratio.

In terms of assessed value of property on the tax rolls, the amount of rural property in Las Animas County is greater than that of urban property. This is in contrast to the state as a whole wherein the amount of urban property is almost three times that of rural property.

The real estate market in Las Animas County was less active relatively during the two-year period of the study than it was in the state as a whole. This is reflected in the fact that the assessed value of properties sold in the county represented only 3.5 per cent of the total assessed value of properties on the county's tax rolls, whereas the corresponding proportion statewide was 9.0 per cent. Both urban and rural areas shared in this below-average market activity.

Variation among the sales ratios is greater for Las Animas County than it is state-wide. The average range (25.1 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county's two-year ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than the corresponding state-wide range (11.0 percentage points). This above-average variation among the county's sales ratios holds true for both urban and rural areas and for each of the two years covered by the study.

Las Animas County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	County	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958		126	29
1958-1959		127	39
1957-1959		253	68
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957 - 1958		35.9	21.3
1958 - 1959		32.2	19.8
1957 - 1959		33.1	20.1
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958		19.7	13.7
1958-1959		25.2	25.0
1957-1959		25.7	24.9
Prop. of Total Ass'd Valueb	100.0	44.1	55.9
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958	1.1	1.8	0.6
1958-1959	2.4	3.9	1.2
1957-1959	3.5	5.7	1.8

a.

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the b.

assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age C
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 2 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 0 1 1	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	2 3 3 6 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 0 3 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 2 2 2 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 2 0 4
Total Cases	10	7	4	35
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.5	27.6		27.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 5.5 8.0	6.2 13.4 19.6		4.6 10.5 15.1
Prop. of Ass'd Valueb	3.8	1.5	1.1	8.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the b. Assessed value $\underline{\text{in } 1957}$ by class of property as per cent of tot

Las Animas County: Number of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Aq With Impts.
0 1 2 1 2	0 1 2 4 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 4 4	0 0 1 2 0
1 3 3 4 6	3 9 8 12 9	0 0 0 1 0	0 4 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 13 9 13 9	0 1 0 0
10 3 2 2 1	16 5 7 4 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	16 5 7 4 1	1 0 0 1 0
2 3 1 2 0	5 3 1 3 0	1 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 4 1 4 1	0 0 2 0 0
1 0 2 5	1 2 2 11	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 2 2 15	0 0 1 1
57	113	7	7	0	127	11
29.1	27.9	46.9	25.1		32.2	21.1
4.5 10.6 15.1	4.3 9.7 14.0	6.4 56.2 62.6	4.2 11.4 15.6		4.9 20.3 25.2	4.2 22.1 26.3
12.1	26.6	14.0	1.5	2.0	44.1	36.6

ratios fall when arranged from low to high. all assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the L

ric. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rowith Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
3 1 2 2 1	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	3 2 3 6 1	3 3 5 10 5
0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0 2	4 15 9 13 11
0 2 1 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 3 2 2 1	18 8 9 6 2
0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	6 5 3 4 1
1 0 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 0 0	1 0 1 4	2 2 3 19
18	8	2	39	166
14.9	25.7		19.8	23.9
2.4 18.1 20.5	8.7 39.3 48.0		4.0 21.0 25.0	4.4 20.6 25.0
8.0	3.4	7.9	55.9	100.0

egislative Council.

LINCOLN COUNTY

Lincoln County's 1957-1959 sales ratio of 22.9 per cent is the 22nd among the two-year county ratios in Colorado when arranged from low to high; it is 16.4 per cent (4.5 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent. The county's two-year ratio is based upon 153 conveyances, of which 74 are transfers of urban properties and the remaining 79 are transfers of rural properties.

The Lincoln County sales ratio decreased from the first year of the study to the second (from 24.1 per cent in 1957-1958 to 21.6 per cent in 1958-1959).

In contrast to the state as a whole wherein urban properties account for almost three-fourths of total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls (in 1957), rural properties in the county account for somewhat more than three-fourths of the county's total. Agricultural land with improvements and agricultural land without improvements are the two most important classes of property in Lincoln County. The assessed value of these two classes of property together constituted about three-fourths of the total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in the county in 1957.

Variation among the county's sales ratios for urban areas is wider in Lincoln County than it is state-wide. The average range for the two years combined (28.6 percentage points) within which the middle half of the two-year sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than the corresponding state-wide figure (10.2 percentage points).

During the two-year period covered by the study, real estate market activity was relatively lower in Lincoln County than it was in the state as a whole. The assessed value reported on the certificates in the two years represented a smaller proportion of total assessed value on the tax rolls in the county in 1957 (3.3 per cent) than it did state-wide (9.0 per cent). Both urban and rural properties shared in this below-average market activity.

Lincoln County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	County	<u>Urban</u>	Rural
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958	54	25	29
1958-1959	99	49	50
1957-1959	153	74	79
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958	24.1	23.1	24.4
1958-1959	21.6	26.7	20.6
1957-1959	22.9	26.9	22.0
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958	15.2	13.9	15.4
1958-1959	13.0	38.0	7.7
1957-1959	12.5	28.6	8.8
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	21.8	78.2
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957 - 1958	1.1	1.7	1.0
1958 - 1959	2.2	3.0	1.9
1957 - 1959	3.3	4.7	2.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

Lincoln County: Number of Conver of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, and Proportion of Assessed Value by for the Year 1958-19!

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 4 2	4 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	4 3 1 5 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 3 1 2 2	0 4 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 7 3 3 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	4 1 0 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3
Total Ca s es	26	20	3	49
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.7	17.3		26.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.0 8.2 12.2	6.6 5.7 12.3		4.4 33.6 38.0
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	12.2	0.7	8.9	21.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

yances by Size Measure of Variation Class of Property

Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 1 2 3	2 3 1 2 3	0 0 1 0	2 3 3 4 6	6 6 4 9
1 5 5 0 0	6 2 1 2 1	1 0 0 1 0	8 7 6 3 1	11 14 9 6 3
0 1 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	4 2 2 1 1
0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 0	1 0 0 0	1 1 0 2 0	3 3 0 2 1
0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3
18	28	4	50	99
20.3	20.8		20.6	21.6
3.3 2.3 5.6	5.8 4.2 10.0		4.4 3.3 7.7	4.3 8.7 13.0
42.0	34.3	1.9	78.2	100.0

of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
of total assessed value in the county as reported

Lincoln County: Numb of Sales Ratio, Average Sa and Proportion of Assess for the Two-ye

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Vacant Urban Land	All Othe <u>Urba</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 1 4 2	4 3 1 1 1	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 6 2 2 5	0 5 2 2 0	0 1 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 0 1 1	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 2 0 1 2	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 4	0 0 0 1
Total Cases	42	27	5
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.7	22.4	
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.8 5.6 9.4	10.4 9.6 20.0	
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	12.2	0.7	8.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the man b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

er of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property ar Period 1957–1959

r <u>n</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	4 4 2 5 3	0 0 1 3 4	4 3 2 3 3	0 0 1 1 0	4 3 4 7 7	8 7 6 12 10
	5 12 4 4 5	1 6 6 0 1	8 4 5 3 2	1 0 1 1 0	10 10 12 4 3	15 22 16 8 8
	5 2 1 1	0 2 0 0	1 0 2 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 2 2 0	6 4 3 3 1
	2 3 1 1 3	1 0 0 2 0	0 1 0 2 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 4 0	4 4 1 5 3
	0 0 0 6	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 6
	74	28	45	6	79	153
	26.9	22.9	20.9		22.0	22.9
	5.7 22.9 28.6	5.4 2.5 7.9	5.4 4.3 9.7		5.3 3.5 8.8	5.4 7.1 12.5
	21.8	42.0	34.3	1.9	78.2	100.0

iddle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. sper cent of total assessed value in the county as reported

LOGAN COUNTY

The Logan County sales ratio of 24.7 per cent for 1957-1959 is the 35th among the two-year county ratios in Colorado when arranged from low to high. This ratio is 9.8 per cent (2.7 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent; it is based on 652 conveyances, of which 557 are urban property transfers, and 95 are rural property transfers.

The Logan County ratios for 1957-1958 and 1958-1959 are 25.2 per cent and 24.1 per cent, respectively. This drop of 4.4 per cent (1.1 percentage points) in the county ratio from the first year of the study to the second is accounted for by a drop in the ratio for rural properties.

Rural properties accounted for more than one-half (53.7 per cent) of the county's 1957 total assessed valuation. The rural ratio for the county was smaller for each year of the study than it was for the state. Agricultural properties with improvements, the most important property class in the county, accounted for one-third (33.8 per cent) of the county-wide total assessed value in 1957. The sales ratio for this class of property decreased from 25.2 per cent in 1957-1958 to 24.1 per cent in 1958-1959.

During the two-year period covered by the study, the real estate market among rural properties was relatively less active in Logan County than it was state-wide. This is reflected in the fact that the assessed value reported on the conveyance certificates for rural properties for the two years combined constitutes a smaller proportion of total assessed value of rural properties on the tax rolls in 1957 in Logan County (2.1 per cent) than it does in the state as a whole (4.2 per cent). On the other hand, the assessed value reported on the certificates for urban properties in 1957-1959 represents a greater proportion of total assessed value of urban properties in Logan County (12.5 per cent) than the corresponding state-wide proportion (10.8 per cent).

Logan County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total			
	<u>County</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Rural			
Number of Certificates						
1957-1958	265	227	38			
1958-1959	387	330	57			
1957-1959	652	557	95			
Average Sales Ratio (%)						
1957-1958	25.2	28.1	23.1			
1958-1959	24.1	29.3	20.9			
1957-1959	24.7	28.9	22.0			
Measure of Variation ^a						
1957-1958	12.7	12.1	13.1			
1958-1959	9.8	9.4	9.9			
1957-1959	11.0	10.9	10.9			
Prop. of Total Ass'd Valueb	100.0	46.3	53.7			
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C						
1957-1958	2.9	5.3	0.9			
1958-1959	4.0	7.2	1.3			
1957-1959	6.9	12.5	2.1			

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of

the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the

assessor to the Legislative Council.
Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age C
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 4	0 0 3 4 11
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 3 6 39	1 1 3 6 3	2 1 0 2 1	19 10 4 10 9
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	46 21 15 3 1	1 2 2 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	O 1 1
Total Cases	139	23	12	81
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	25.9	19.5	21.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.6 2.0 3.6	2.7 4.2 6.9	3.0 3.3 6.3	3.5 4.3 7.8
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of tota * Under O.l per cent.

Logan County: Number of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

La	ss (years) Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.
	0 1 2 2 2	0 1 7 7 18	0 0 0 0	3 4 2 2 3	0 0 0 0	3 5 9 9 21	1 0 2 4
	5 3 1 1	28 15 13 25 53	0 0 0 1 0	1 8 5 3 1	0 0 0 1	29 23 18 30 54	2 3 1 1 2
	1 1 1 0	49 26 18 6 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1	O 1 1 0	50 27 19 7 4	2 0 1 1 0
	0 0 1 0	1 4 1 2 0	O 1 1 1	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	1 6 3 3	0 0 0 0
	O O O	0 2 1 1	0 1 0 2	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 4 1 3	0 0 0
	25	280	7	36	7	330	21
	20.7	24 • 4	47.9	20.0		29.3	21.3
	3.5 4.8 8.3	2.8 3.4 6.2	5.9 18.7 24.6	6.0 3.6 9.6		3.1 6.3 9.4	4.7 5.5 10.2
	2.4	27.9	10.9	0.5	7.0	46.3	33.8

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

l assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Leg

Land Without Impts.	Misc. R With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
1 1 1 1 3	0 0 0 1 1	0 2 3 0	2 4 4 4 8	5 9 13 13 29
3 1 1 3 1	1 0 0 1 0	0 2 0 0	6 6 2 5 3	35 29 20 35 57
1 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	5 1 3 1 0	55 28 22 8 4
0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	1 2 0 0	2 8 3 3 1
0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 4 1 3
19	8	9	57	387
19.8	24.8	16.1	20.9	24.1
3.3 5.7 9.0	6.8 7.2 14.0	4.0 7.3 11.3	4.3 5.6 9.9	3.9 5.9 9.8
17.8	2.1	*	53.7	100.0

islative Council.

		One-Family	Dv
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 0 7 12 58	2 3 3 9 6	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	79 33 20 4 2	2 2 3 3 1	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 4 1 0	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	
Total Cases	226	37	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.8	26.2	7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.6 1.8 3.4	2.7 4.6 7.3	
Prop. of Ass'd Valueb	12.0	2.0	

a. Range in percentage points within which the mi b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as * Under 0.1 per cent.

Logan County: Number of Conveyances by S of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

vellings by Age Class (years)			A11	Commercial	Vacant Urban	Al Oth
19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Buildings	Land	Urb
0 0 1 3 5	0 0 6 10 25	0 1 2 2 2	0 1 10 16 33	0 0 0 0	4 5 4 4 3	
2 3 0 3 1	28 14 19 17 16	6 4 3 1 2	40 24 32 42 83	0 1 1 2 0	1 10 5 3 1	
0 0 0 0	8 8 3 1 4	2 1 1 0	91 44 27 9 7	1 2 0 0 0	1 0 1 1	
0 0 1 0	0 2 2 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 6 4 2 1	O 1 O 1 1	0 0 1 0	
0 0 0 0	0 1 1 3	0 0 0	0 2 2 3	0 3 1 5	0 1 0	
19	169	29	480	20	47	1
20.0	21.8	20.9	24.5	42.3	19.3	
3.7 3.7 7.4	3.7 5.2 8.9	2.9 5.9 8.8	2.8 3.9 6.7	15.1 17.7 32.8	5.9 4.4 10.3	
1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9	10.9	0.5	7.

ddle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported b

ize Variation Property

l er <u>an</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agri With Impts.	C. Land Without Impts.	Misc. R With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
00000	4 6 14 20 37	1 2 0 3 5	1 1 2 1 5	0 0 0 1 1	0 2 6 0 0	2 5 8 5 11	6 11 22 25 48
00000	41 35 38 49 84	4 4 2 2 3	5 1 1 3 2	1 0 2 3 0	0 3 0 1 0	10 8 5 9 5	51 43 43 58 89
0 1 0 1	93 48 28 10 9	3 1 2 3 1	2 1 1 0 0	3 2 1 0	1 0 0 0	9. 4 4 3 1	102 52 32 13 10
0 1 2 1 0	1 8 7 4 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 3 1 0 0	2 11 8 4 2
0 1 0 0	0 7 3 9	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 7 3 9
0	557	37	27	17	14	95	652
1	28.9	23.1	19.7	26.9	16.3	22.0	24.7
	4.6 6.3 10.9	5.8 6.1 11.9	3.0 6.6 9.6	4.1 5.0 9.1	3.8 5.4 9.2	4.7 6.2 10.9	4.7 6.3 11.0
	46.2	33.8	17.8	2.1	*	53.7	100.0

the assessor to the Legislative Council.

MESA COUNTY

Mesa County's sales ratio of 27.0 per cent for 1957-1959 is the 45th among the two-year county ratios in Colorado when arranged from low to high. It is only 1.5 per cent (0.4 of a percentage point) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent. The two-year study for Mesa County is based upon 2,167 real estate conveyances, of which 1,753 were transfers of urban properties.

The Mesa County ratio for 1958-1959 (27.1 per cent) is somewhat higher than that for 1957-1958 (26.2 per cent). This slight increase reflects the fact that the sales ratio for urban properties in the county increased by 11.2 per cent (2.9 percentage points) from 1957-1958 to 1958-1959, thus offsetting a decline in the county's rural ratio.

In terms of total assessed value for 1957, the one-family dwelling is the most important class of property. It accounted for 36.4 per cent of the county's total assessed value in that year. Urban properties accounted for approximately three-fifths (60.9 per cent) of the assessed value of all properties on the county's tax rolls.

Variation among the sales ratios for the two years combined in Mesa County is about the same as it is for the state as a whole. The average range (10.9 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county's ratios for the two-year period fall when arranged from low to high is about the same as the state-wide figure (11.0 percentage points).

During the two-year period covered by the study, real estate market activity was relatively greater in the county than it was state-wide. This is shown by the fact that the total assessed value reported on the conveyance certificates for the two-year period constituted a greater proportion of total county assessed value on the tax rolls in 1957 (12.6 per cent) than it did state-wide (9.0 per cent). Both urban and rural areas in the county shared in this greater relative activity in the real estate market.

Mesa County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	<u>County</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958	1,025	869	156
1958-1959	1,142	884	258
1957-1959	2.167	1,753	414
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957 - 1958	26.2	26.0	26.5
1958 - 1959	27.1	28.9	24.7
1957 - 1959	27.0	27.9	25.7
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958	12.6	12.9	12.2
1958-1959	10.1	9.3	10.9
1957-1959	10.9	10.8	11.3
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	60.9	39.1
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958	5.7	7.8	2.5
1958-1959	6.8	9.2	3.1
1957-1959	12.6	17.0	5.6

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of a.

the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each b.

class of property.

		One-Family	y Dwellings	by Age Class
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 2 2	0 1 2 4 11
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 0 10 23 34	5 6 9 13 19	3 10 8 5 2	7 9 4 9 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	72 93 73 44 25	17 16 6 7 6	3 3 1 0	5 4 0 0 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	19 9 9 3 2	9 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	422	117	44	63
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.5	28.1	24.1	21.4
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 2.8 5.3	3.3 3.7 7.0	3.3 4.6 7.9	3.8 4.8 8.6
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio: b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asse

Mesa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

years) Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Vacant Urban Land	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Ag: With Impts.
0 2 2 2 13	1 3 4 10 29	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	3 14 10 10	0 0 0 0	4 17 14 20 42	0 0 2 1 3
6 11 -6 11 9	23 36 37 61 68	2 0 0 1 4	0 0 0 1 1	9 14 12 11 4	0 0 0 0	34 50 49 74 77	6 12 9 7 4
1 3 6 3 0	98 119 86 54 33	2 3 0 2 2	0 0 0 2 0	6 1 3 6 1	0 0 0 0	106 123 89 64 36	4 8 2 2 1
0 0 0 2 2	31 10 9 5 4	1 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 1 0	1 3 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	33 13 10 9 6	1 1 2 0 0
0 0 0	2 1 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	1 2 3 1	0 0 0	3 5 3 3	0 0 2 0
79	725	25	7	127	0	884	67
22.9	27.8	31.3	30.5	19.4		28.9	24.7
4.7 4.5 9.2	3.2 3.6 6.8	4.7 8.7 13.4	5.0 12.1 17.1	4.4 8.7 12.1		3.8 5.5 9.3	3.9 5.9 9.8
4.9	36.4	16.4	4.3	0.1	3.7	60.9	23.1

fall when arranged from low to high. ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Agri With Impts.	c. Land Without Impts.	Misc. F With Impts.	Rural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 2 1 3	1 2 2 4 1	0 1 1 4 7	0 4 6 6	1 7 11 15 17	5 24 25 35 59
6 12 9 7 4	3 4 2 1 1	7 10 8 10	1 6 0 4 5	17 32 19 22 20	51 82 68 96 97
4 8 2 2 1	2 2 0 1 0	6 11 11 8 6	1 1 0 0	13 22 13 11 7	119 145 102 75 43
1 1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 3 3 4 0	0 1 1 1 0	7 5 6 5 0	40 18 16 14 6
0 0 2 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 2 2 4	3 7 5 7
67	28	118	45	258	1,142
24.7	18.9	28.4	18.6	24.7	27.1
3.9 5.9 9.8	3.9 8.9 12.8	6.5 6.2 12.7	4.2 7.7 11.9	4.5 6.4 10.9	4.2 5.9 10.1
23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	100.0

MINERAL COUNTY

Mineral County's sales ratio for 1958-1959 is 35.7 per cent. This is a drop of 12.1 per cent (4.9 percentage points) from the 1957-1958 ratio of 40.6 per cent. It is based upon 18 conveyances, of which 16 are urban property transfers and only 2 are rural property transfers.

The county's ratio of 36.5 per cent for the two years combined is the 61st among the two-year ratios when arranged from low to high. This is higher than the state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent by 9.1 percentage points.

The assessed value of rural properties on the tax rolls in Mineral County is almost three times that of urban properties. This is in contrast to the state as a whole for which the assessed value of urban properties is approximately three times that of rural properties.

Real estate market activity in Mineral County was sharply higher during the second year of the study than it was during the first. This is reflected in the fact that total assessed value of properties sold in the county in 1958-1959 is 6.1 per cent as large as the combined value of all properties on the county's tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding proportion for 1957-1958 was only 0.4 per cent. The respective state-wide proportions are 5.2 per cent for 1958-1959 and 3.8 per cent for 1957-1958.

Because variation among the sales ratios for Mineral County is comparatively large and the number of usable certificates is small, the sales ratio for this county is regarded as one of the least dependable of the county ratios presented in this report.

Mineral County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total County	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	5 18 23	4 16 20	1 2 3
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	40.6 35.7 36.5		
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	22.2 50.0 33.7		
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	27.3	72.7
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	0.4 6.1 6.5		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1958-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 1 1 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 1 0 2	0 0 1 0 0	0 2 2 0 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 6	0 0 0	0 0 0 6
Total Cases	16	2	18
Average Sales Ratio (%)			35.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			13.2 36.8 50.0
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	27.3	72.7	100.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 1 0 3	0 1 0	0 2 2 0 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 4 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 1 6	0 0 0	0 0 1 6
Total Cases	20	3	23
Average Sales Ratio (%)			36.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			12.3 21.4 33.7
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	27.3	72.7	100.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

MOFFAT COUNTY

Moffat County's sales ratio for 1958-1959 is 25.7 per cent. This represents a small decline of 3.4 per cent (0.9 of a percentage point) from the 1957-1958 ratio of 26.6 per cent.

The county's 1957-1959 ratio of 25.8 per cent is the 40th among the two-year county ratios when arranged from low to high. It is 5.8 per cent (1.6 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent.

In terms of assessed value of properties on the 1957 tax rolls, Moffat County has an almost equal distribution of urban and rural properties. Urban properties account for 52.7 per cent of the total assessed value and rural properties for 47.3 per cent. This differs from the state as a whole wherein the urban property total is almost three times that of rural property.

During the two-year period covered by the study, the real estate market was less active relatively in Moffat County than it was state-wide. This is reflected in the fact that the combined assessed value of properties sold in the county (1957-1959) constituted 4.9 per cent of the county's total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls, while the corresponding proportion for the state as a whole is 9.0 per cent.

Variation among the sales ratios for the county is higher for both years of the study than it is state-wide. In the two years combined, the average range for the county (14.6 percentage points) within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high, is larger than that for the state (11.0 percentage points).

Moffat County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	<u>County</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957-1958	96	84	12
1958-1959	143	104	39
1957-1959	239	188	51
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958	26.6		26.5
1958-1959	25.7		23.1
1957-1959	25.8		24.3
Measure of Variation ^a			
1957-1958	12.4	16.0	6.9
1958-1959	19.0	19.0	19.0
1957-1959	14.6	13.0	16.3
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	52.7	47.3
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958	1.5	2.5	0.5
1958-1959	3.4	3.2	3.6
1957-1959	4.9	5.7	4.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

Moffat County: Number of Conveyances by Stof Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Place for the Year 1958-1959

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total Urban	Agric. With V
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 1 1 4 4	3 3 5 3 1	0 0 0 0	4 4 6 7 5	2 0 1 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	6 7 5 7 6	4 6 5 1 1	0 0 1 0	10 13 11 8 7	0 2 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 3 1 0 2	1 0 4 0 1	1 0 0 0 1	5 3 5 0 4	1 2 1 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 2	0 1 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 3 0 5	0 0 0 0
Total Cases	55	44	5	104	11
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.7	20.0		28.6	19.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.9 5.2 9.1	5.9 10.6 16.5		6.3 12.7 19.0	5.7 12.0 17.7
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	22.3	1.7	28.7	52.7	12.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ration b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assist by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

lze Variation operty

Land Vithout mpts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
2 1 3 2 1	0 0 1 0	4 1 5 3 2	8 5 11 10 7
1 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 5 1 1	11 18 12 9 8
3 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	4 3 1 3 0	9 6 6 3 4
2 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 0 0	4 3 0 0 1
0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 0 5
24	4	39	143
21.6		23.1	25.7
7.5 7.7 15.2 3.9	30.6	7.1 11.9 19.0 47.3	6.8 12.2 19.0

s fall when arranged from low to high. sessed value in the county as reported

Moffat County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed V for the Two-year I

	One	-Family D	wellings b	y Age C	lass (years	.)
Sales Ratio Class (%.)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 4 4	0 1 1 1 0	1 0 0	2 2 2 7 4
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 5 3 1	3 5 6 7 6	1 2 1 0	3 0 3 1 0	1 0 0 0	9 8 15 11 7
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 2 1 0 1	4 0 3 2 1	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	7 4 4 2 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 4
Total Cases	20	45	13	12	4	94
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.8	25.9	18.7	22.5		23.4
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 4.3 7.3	3.8 4.5 8.3	3.1 2.1 5.2	5.8 1.8 7.6		4.3 3.7 8.0
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	1.0	22.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in $\underline{1957}$ by class of property as per cent of total assessed

f Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation alue by Class of Property eriod 1957-1959

Commercial Buildings	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agrie With Impts.	c. Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
0 0 0 0 1	3 4 11 6 3	0 0 0 0	5 6 13 13 8	2 0 1 1 0	2 1 3 2 1	0 0 1 0	4 1 5 3 2	9 7 18 16 10
0 1 1 0 1	8 11 10 3 5	0 0 0 0	17 20 26 14 13	1 2 0 0 1	2 3 2 3 1	0 0 0 0 2	3 5 2 3 4	20 25 28 17 17
0 0 1 1 1	1 1 5 0 1	2 0 0 0	10 5 10 3 5	1 2 2 2 0	3 2 0 1 1	0 0 1 0	4 4 2 4 1	14 9 12 7 6
0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 0 0 0	4 5 0 0 1
0 1 1 2	0 3 0 2	0 0 0	0 5 1 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5 1 8
11	81	2	188	15	30	6	51	239
34.9	19.8		27.4	20.3	21.8		24.3	25.8
10.9 21.3 32.2	5.0 6.9 11.9		5.4 7.6 13.0	4.3 11.9 16.2	6.3 7.9 14.2		6.7 9.6 16.3	6.0 8.6 14.6
16.8	1.7	11.9	52.7	12.8	3.9	30.6	47.3	100.0

when arranged from low to high. value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

MONTEZUMA COUNTY

Montezuma County's sales ratio of 22.0 per cent for 1958-1959 is the 23rd among the county ratios for the second year of the study when arranged from low to high. This represents a small increase in the ratio from 1957-1958; a slight decrease in the rural ratio is offset by an increase in the urban ratio.

The county's sales ratio of 21.5 per cent for the two years combined is 5.9 percentage points below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.4 per cent. Both the urban and the rural ratios are smaller than the corresponding state-wide ratios. The two-year county ratio is based upon 310 conveyances, about seventy per cent of which are transfers of urban properties.

The real estate market among rural properties was less active relatively in Montezuma County during the two-year period covered by the study than it was in the state as a whole. This is reflected in the fact that the assessed value of rural properties sold in the county is only 3.3 per cent as large as the total assessed value of rural properties on the county's tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding proportion for rural areas state-wide was 4.2 per cent.

Variation among the sales ratios for urban properties in Montezuma County is larger than that for urban areas state-wide. This holds true for each of the two years as well as for the two years combined. The average range (16.3 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county's two-year urban ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than the corresponding state-wide range (10.2 percentage points).

Rural properties account for more than one-half (55.4 per cent) of the county's total assessed value. This is in contrast to the corresponding state-wide proportion of 26.3 per cent.

Montezuma County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total <u>County</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
1957 - 1958 195 8- 1959 19 57- 1959	174 136 310	134 87 221	40 49 89
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959	22.0	23.5 26.8 25.2	
Measure of Variation ^a			
195 7- 1958 1958 - 1959 1957 - 1959		16.3 17.3 16.3	10.3 12.4 11.4
Prop. of Total Ass'd Value ^b	100.0	44.6	55.4
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958 1958-1959 1957-1959		7.0 5.3 12.3	1.5 1.8 3.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.

Montezuma County: of Sales Ratio, Average and Proportion of Asfor

	One	-Family D	wellings	by Age C	lass (yea:
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	O 1 1 1	1 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 1 4 7	O 1 1 3 1	1 1 3 1 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 3 0 0	1 3 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	20	15	12	8	4
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.2	28.2	20.6	16.8	
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.2 2.5 4.7	4.9 3.3 8.2	4.6 3.7 8.3	1.1 4.2 5.3	
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	10.0	6.8	4.7	3.2	3.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ration b. Assessed value in $\underline{1957}$ by class of property as per cent of total as:

Number of Conveyances by Size Sales, Ratio, Measure of Variation sessed Value by Class of Property the Year 1958-1959

All Ages	Vacant Urban Land	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agrie With Impts.	c. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	Without Impts.
1 1 1 3 7	1 4 2 3 3	1 0 0 0	3 5 3 6 11	0 3 2 3 2	5 2 2 2 0	1 0 1 1	0 0 1 1
4 2 6 8 9	O 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 3 7 8 9	1 2 1 2 1	1 1 0 2 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0
2 7 0 2 1	1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 9 1 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 2 1 0 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
0 0 0 2	0 0 0	O 1 1 1	0 1 1 3	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
. 59	20	8	87	20	18	8	3
24.4	15.2		26.8	19.0	15.0	23.2	
4.0 3.7 7.7	3.1 10.8 13.9			6.0	5.6 9.5 15.1	8.8	
28.5	1.0	15.1	44.6	41.7	4.1	9.3	0.3

s fall when arranged from low to high. sessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legisla

Total Rural	Total County
	9 10 8 13 15
2 5 1 4 2	6 8 8 12 11
65574 25142 00121 10100	3 9 2 4 3
1 0 1 0	3 9 2 4 3 2 2 2 0 2 1 3
1 1 C 0	1 2 1 3
49	136
19.2	22.0
5.7 6.7 12.4	6.6 7.6 14.2
55.4	100.0

tive Council.

	**************************************	One-Fa
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-1</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 2 1	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 3 2 6 8	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 4 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 0 1 1	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	
Total Cases	3 5	3
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.6	25.
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 4.0 6.9	3. 4. 8.
Prop. of Ass'd Value ^b	10.0	6.

a. Range in percentage points within whic b. Assessed value $\underline{\text{in}} \ \underline{1957}$ by class of pro

Montezuma County: Number of Conveyances by of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of I for the Two-year Period 1957-1959

mily	Dwellings	by Age Cla	ass (years)	<u> </u>	Commercial	Va c ant Urban	Al Oth
<u>.8</u>	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Buildings	<u>Land</u>	Urb
0 0 0 0 3	1 3 1	1 0 1 5 5	1 1 2 3 1	3 2 6 11 11	2 1 2 1	.1 6 7 6 12	
2 4 8 6 1	1 4 4 3 0	5 0 3 2 1	4 1 0 3 3	13 12 17 20 13	0 0 0	2 4 1 2 1	
2 4 0 2 1	1 0 1 1	O 1 O O 1	1 1 0 2 1	6 10 0 5 5	0 0 0	1 3 2 0 0	;
0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 3 1 1 2	0 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	2 1 0 0	2 2 0 3	O 1 1	0 0 0 1	
15	24	27	28	149	15	50	
4	21.3	18.8	25.3	24.1	28.7	16.4	
6 7 3	5.3 4.0 9.3	2.9 5.5 8.4	9.0 7.5 16.5	4.3 4.8 9.1	15.3 18.5 33.8	2.8 5.4 8.2	
8	4.7	3.2	3.8	28.5	15.1	1.0	0.

th the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. Sperty as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported

Size Variation Property

l er an	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	LandWithoutImpts.	Misc. F With Impts.	Rural Land Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
00000	6 9 14 19 24	0 4 3 5 3	5 2 4 2 2	1 0 1 5 3	1 0 1 1 2	7 6 9 13 10	13 15 23 32 34
o n	15 16 20 22 14	1 4 4 3 1	1 0 0 3 0	1 1 0 1	0 3 0 1 0	3 9 5 7 2	18 25 25 29 16
	7 13 2 5 7	1 0 1 1 0	1 0 1 2 0	0 1 1 0 2	0 0 1 0	2 1 4 3 2	9 14 6 8 9
	2 5 2 1 5	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	3 6 3 1 5
	2 3 1 7	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 1	3 4 1 8
	221	33	25	20	11	89	310
_	25.2	19.3	15.3	21.6	20.3	19.3	21.5
-	7.5 8.8 16.3	4.8 5.2 10.0	4.1 9.9 14.0	6.4 10.4 16.8	4.9 3.9 8.8	5.0 6.4 11.4	5.9 7.4 13.3
ρ	44.6	41.7	4.1	9.3	0.3	55.4	100.0

by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

MONTROSE COUNTY

Montrose County's sales ratio of 25.4 per cent for 1958-1959 is the 38th among the county ratios for the second year of the study when arranged from low to high; it is 5.9 per cent (1.6 percentage points) below the corresponding state-wide ratio of 27.0 per cent. For the two years combined the county and the state ratios are 25.2 per cent and 27.4 per cent, respectively.

Rural properties in Montrose County constitute a greater proportion of total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls than do urban properties. This is in contrast to the picture for the state as a whole wherein the assessed value of urban property on the tax rolls in 1957 was almost three times the rural property total.

During the two-year period covered by the study, real estate conveyances in Montrose County were almost three times as numerous in urban areas as in rural areas. Approximately 47 per cent of all usable transactions in the county during this period were transfers of one-family dwellings.

Real estate market activity in Montrose County increased somewhat from the first year of the study to the second. This is reflected in the fact that the assessed value of properties sold increased from 3.0 per cent of assessed value of all properties on the county's tax rolls in 1957-1958 to 3.5 per cent in 1958-1959. However, the county proportion for each year was less than that for the state as a whole.

Variation among the sales ratios in 1957-1959 is relatively greater in Montrose County than it is state-wide. The average range for the two years combined (14.2 percentage points) within which the middle half of the county ratios fall when arranged from low to high is larger than the corresponding state-wide range (11.0 percentage points).

Montrose County: Summary of Sales Ratio Data

Nature of the Data	Total	Total	Total
	<u>County</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
Number of Certificates			
195 7- 1958	224	169	55
1958 - 1959	234	170	64
1957 - 1959	458	339	119
Average Sales Ratio (%)			
1957-1958	24.9	27.0	23.2
1958-1959	25.4	28.0	23.5
19 57- 1959	25.2	27.5	23.5
Measure of Variation ^a			
19 57- 195 8	13.8	15.3	12.6
195 8- 1959	14.6	17.4	12.6
195 7- 1959	14.2	15.9	12.7
Prop. of Total Ass'd Valueb	100.0	46.8	53.2
Ass'd Value on Certificates as % of Total Ass'd Value ^C			
1957-1958	3.0	4.2	1.9
1958-1959	3.5	4.5	2.6
1957-1959	6.4	8.6	4.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

<sup>b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county, as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per</sup>

c. Assessed value reported on conveyance certificates as per cent of total (1957) assessed value in the county for each class of property.