

Stacks
2
olo.6
o.79

Report to the Colorado General Assembly:

SALES RATIO STUDY

Part Two



COLORADO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

RESEARCH PUBLICATION NO. 79

October 1963

RECEIVED

MAY 10 1974

LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF DENVER

OFFICERS
Rep. C. P. (Doc) Lamb
Chairman
Sen. Fay DeBerard
Vice Chairman

STAFF
Lyle C. Kyle
Director
Harry O. Lawson
Senior Analyst
Phillip E. Jones
Senior Analyst
David F. Morrissey
Research Assistant
Myran H. Schlechte
Research Assistant
Janet Wilson
Research Assistant

COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY



LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

ROOM 341, STATE CAPITOL
DENVER 2, COLORADO
222-9911—EXTENSION 2285

MEMBERS
Lt. Gov. Robert L. Knous
Sen. William E. Bledsoe
Sen. Edward J. Byrne
Sen. Frank L. Gill
Sen. Floyd Oliver

Speaker John D. Vanderhoof
Rep. Joseph V. Calabrese
Rep. John L. Kane
Rep. William O. Lennox
Rep. John W. Nichols
Rep. Clarence H. Quinlan

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

November 1, 1963

MEMBERS OF THE COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Dear Colleagues:

Transmitted herewith is Part II of the report on the sales ratio study conducted by the Legislative Council. This report presents detailed figures for each county by class of property for the calendar year 1962 and for the three calendar years 1960-1962 combined.

This report has been prepared for the General Assembly pursuant to H.B. 155, passed in 1963 during the First Regular Session of the Forty-fourth General Assembly.

Cordially,

/s/ C. P. (Doc) Lamb
Chairman

FOREWORD

House Bill 155 passed at the First Regular Session of the 44th General Assembly made appropriation to the Legislative Council for the purpose of processing real estate conveyance certificates filed during calendar year 1962 pursuant to provisions of sections 118-6-21 through 118-6-33, Colorado Revised Statutes.

This is the second part of a two-part report on the results of the sales ratio study for the calendar year 1962 and the three calendar years 1960-1962 combined. Part I, issued in September, 1963, describes the method used in arriving at the sales ratio figures and gives the county ratio figures, the rural and urban ratio figures for each county, and the state-wide ratio by class of property.

Part II of the report presents detailed data on the sales ratio study for each of the periods described above. Included, for each county, are the number of conveyances in each property class, a frequency distribution showing the range of individual sales ratios, and the sales ratios by class of property, except in cases of inadequate data.

The Legislative Council wishes to thank the county assessors, the clerks and recorders, and other public officials, as well as many private citizens and organizations, who cooperated with the staff in gathering the information reported herein. Special thanks are due the county assessors who have responded generously to many requests for information required to make the study.

November 1, 1963

Lyle C. Kyle
Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	i
FOREWORD	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY OF SALES RATIO DATA BY COUNTY	27
Adams County.....	27
Alamosa County.....	29
Arapahoe County.....	31
Archuleta County.....	33
Baca County.....	35
Bent County.....	37
Boulder County.....	39
Chaffee County.....	41
Cheyenne County.....	43
Clear Creek County.....	45
Conejos County.....	47
Costilla County.....	49
Crowley County.....	51
Custer County.....	53
Delta County.....	55
Denver County.....	57
Dolores County.....	59
Douglas County.....	61
Eagle County.....	63
Elbert County.....	65
El Paso County.....	67
Fremont County.....	69
Garfield County.....	71
Gilpin County.....	73
Grand County.....	75
Gunnison County.....	77
Hinsdale County.....	79
Huerfano County.....	81
Jackson County.....	83
Jefferson County.....	85
Kiowa County.....	87
Kit Carson County.....	89
Lake County.....	91
La Plata County.....	93
Larimer County.....	95
Las Animas County.....	97
Lincoln County.....	99
Logan County.....	101
Mesa County.....	103
Mineral County.....	105

	<u>Page</u>
Moffat County.....	107
Montezuma County.....	109
Montrose County.....	111
Morgan County.....	113
Otero County.....	115
Ouray County.....	117
Park County.....	119
Phillips County.....	121
Pitkin County.....	123
Prowers County.....	125
Pueblo County.....	127
Rio Blanco County.....	129
Rio Grande County.....	131
Routt County.....	133
Saguache County.....	135
San Juan County.....	137
San Miguel County.....	139
Sedgwick County.....	141
Summit County.....	143
Teller County.....	145
Washington County.....	147
Weld County.....	149
Yuma County.....	151

THE COLORADO SALES RATIO STUDY

January, 1962 Through December, 1962
and
January, 1960 Through December, 1962

Part Two

Introduction

Part One of the Colorado Sales Ratio Report for the three years 1960 through 1962 sets forth (1) a brief statement concerning the methodology of the sales ratio study and (2) the results of the study for the year 1962 and for the three years 1960, 1961, and 1962 combined.

The purpose of Part Two of the report is to present the sales ratio data for each of the two periods for each county in sufficient detail to provide so far as possible a basis for effective comparison of (1) one class or parcel of property with another in each county, (2) one county with another for each class of property, and (3) the situation within each county with that in the state as a whole. For the latter purpose a brief statement concerning the state-wide picture is needed.

Contrary to the plan followed during the first two years of the study, transfers of vacant urban land have been excluded from the computation of the ratios presented in this report. Because significant differences were found to exist among the ratios for the several property classes distinguished, property transfers under conditions wherein changes of use and hence changes in classification were contemplated have been excluded from the study since its inception. The exclusion of vacant urban land is based upon the reasoning that many, perhaps the majority, of the transfers of such land, result in definite use changes. Because vacant urban land constitutes only 1.5 per cent of the total locally assessed real property on the tax rolls state-wide, this exclusion has small effect (only 0.2 of a percentage point) upon the state-wide average ratio for the three years 1960-1962 combined.

The county-wide average ratios for 1960-1962 range from a low of 14.4 per cent for Custer County to a high of 31.2 per cent for Otero County. The middle one-third of the counties (in terms of size of the ratio) have ratios which range from 20.9 per cent to 25.0 per cent; and forty-five of the counties have ratios that are below the state-wide average of 25.9 per cent. Among the counties having ratios above the general average are Arapahoe, Prowers, Routt, Mesa, Denver, and Otero.

A tolerance of five per cent of the state-wide ratio is regarded in some localities as a reasonable margin above and below the ratio within which no adjustments should be made in an equalization program. A range of this magnitude in Colorado for the three-year data extends from 24.6 per cent to 27.2 per cent (1.3 percentage points above and below 25.9 per cent). Because such a tolerance is sometimes considered reasonable, it is of interest that 53 of the counties in Colorado have ratios for the three-year period which fall outside this range and that the total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in these counties in 1957 constituted 76.3 per cent of total assessed value state-wide in that year (Table I). If this tolerance were extended to 10 per cent of the state-wide ratio, there would still be 38 counties with ratios falling outside the indicated range and with a combined assessed value equivalent to 50.7 per cent of the state's total.

TABLE I

Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Real Property in Colorado
by Counties Grouped According to Size of the 1960-1962 Sales
Ratio and Expressed as Per Cent of the 1957 State-wide Assessed Value

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Number of Counties</u>	<u>Proportion of Total Assessed Value</u>
14.2 and under 15.5	2	0.6%
15.5 and under 16.8	4	1.2
16.8 and under 18.1	2	0.7
18.1 and under 19.4	6	2.2
19.4 and under 20.7	5	1.7
20.7 and under 22.0	8	3.6
22.0 and under 23.3	6	3.4
23.3 and under 24.6	7	21.6
24.6 and under 25.9	5	11.9
25.9 and under 27.2	5	11.8
27.2 and under 28.5	8	4.0
28.5 and under 29.8	2	0.2
29.8 and under 31.1	2	35.9
31.1 and under 32.4	<u>1</u>	<u>1.2</u>
Total	<u>63</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

There are fourteen counties which have ratios for the three years 1960-1962 combined that are 25 per cent (6.475 percentage points or more below the state-wide average; there is no county whose sales ratio is an equal amount above this average (Table II). The combined 1957 assessed value of locally assessed real property in the fourteen counties with sales ratios differing from the state-wide average by 25 per cent or more constituted only 4.7 per cent of the state-wide total assessed value for that year.

In the state as a whole in 1957, one-family dwellings accounted for 45 per cent of the total assessed value of locally assessed real property; and one-family dwellings eight years old or less accounted for more than one-fifth of the state-wide total for all property classes combined. Other proportions of the state-wide total were: commercial buildings, 16.4 per cent; all urban properties combined (including vacant urban land) 73.7 per cent; agricultural properties (with and without improvements), 18.5 per cent; and total rural, 26.3 per cent (Table III).

As shown by an examination of the measures of variation or ranges within which the middle halves of the sales ratios fall, there is greater uniformity among the ratios for one-family dwellings one to eight years old than among those for any other class of property distinguished in the study (Table III). While sales ratios for commercial and industrial buildings are less uniform than those for other classes, urban properties as a group show somewhat greater uniformity in the assessment-sales relationship than do rural properties as a group.

As noted in the Part One report, there has been a significant decline, since this series of studies was started in 1957-1958, in the state-wide sales ratio and in the ratios for most of the counties. In the state as a whole, the ratio for rural areas has declined more than the ratio for urban areas.

For summary data by counties see Table II; and for summary data state-wide by class of property, see Table III. Detailed tables for 1962 and for 1960-1962, for each county, follow Table III.

TABLE II

Sales Ratios and Measures of Variation by Counties of Colorado:
 Total, Urban, and Rural for Each of Three Periods and for Combined Periods^a
 With Counties Arranged in the Order of Size of the Sales Ratio in the Three-Year Period, 1960-1962

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Custer ^d										
July '59 - Dec. '60	38	24.7	---	20.5	16	27.4	23.8	22	24.4	20.1
Year 1961	18	26.5	---	6.9	14	25.5	15.3	4	27.3	1.0
Year 1962	27	14.6	---	7.1	11	25.3	57.0	16	13.8	5.3
July '57 - Dec. '60	114	22.9	25	18.0	52	23.8	20.5	62	22.8	17.6
Three years '59-'61	70	22.8	30	14.9	37	27.1	22.6	33	22.3	14.2
Three years '60-'62	73	14.4	1	12.8	35	25.3	25.1	38	13.6	12.0
Lincoln										
July '59 - Dec. '60	72	20.8	---	9.5	61	22.7	11.3	11	20.3	9.1
Year 1961	61	17.1	---	6.7	47	30.9	20.2	14	15.2	4.8
Year 1962	37	12.7	---	5.0	31	25.3	14.5	6	11.2	3.9
July '57 - Dec. '60	198	22.5	22	9.2	108	24.9	10.3	90	21.9	9.1
Three years '59-'61	177	19.7	17	10.2	125	26.8	26.3	52	18.4	7.2
Three years '60-'62	134	15.4	2	7.0	107	27.3	22.8	27	13.7	4.9
Gilpin										
July '59 - Dec. '60	104	16.2	---	11.1	25	17.3	21.0	79	16.0	8.8
Year 1961	116	15.0	---	10.9	10	15.5	32.9	106	14.8	5.9
Year 1962	100	15.9	---	9.9	14	17.8	26.7	86	15.5	6.3
July '57 - Dec. '60	200	16.7	1	11.0	44	18.2	18.5	156	16.4	9.5
Three years '59-'61	241	14.6	1	9.2	37	16.1	22.2	204	14.3	6.8
Three years '60-'62	287	15.5	3	10.2	43	17.2	24.6	244	15.2	7.1

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Ouray^e										
July '59 - Dec. '60	35	19.3	---	12.2	24	27.6	18.2	11	17.0	---
Year 1961	16	26.3	---	20.2	13	33.4	50.6	3	24.0	10.5
Year 1962	30	14.3	---	---	25	19.2	15.2	5	12.8	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	99	21.2	16	12.8	55	27.5	15.8	44	19.2	---
Three years '59-'61	66	19.7	18	19.0	43	29.5	25.8	23	17.2	17.3
Three years '60-'62	69	16.0	4	15.5	52	24.5	17.0	17	13.9	15.1
Kit Carson										
July '59 - Dec. '60	123	16.9	---	9.9	105	30.3	21.7	18	14.6	7.9
Year 1961	65	18.0	---	4.7	55	31.8	10.8	10	15.5	3.7
Year 1962	70	16.2	---	7.1	56	27.1	16.7	14	14.1	5.7
July '57 - Dec. '60	324	21.3	18	11.4	211	33.7	21.5	113	18.7	9.3
Three years '59-'61	254	18.3	9	7.6	198	30.3	18.2	56	16.0	5.7
Three years '60-'62	212	16.7	5	8.4	176	28.4	19.4	36	14.5	6.3
Kiowa^f										
July '59 - Dec. '60	37	18.1	---	9.5	25	26.8	10.3	12	16.7	9.3
Year 1961	16	16.7	---	4.7	6	26.7	12.0	10	15.2	4.6
Year 1962	20	22.1	---	4.7	13	24.6	11.6	7	20.7	4.0
July '57 - Dec. '60	143	24.9	39	12.2	57	27.1	9.0	86	24.4	13.0
Three years '59-'61	91	16.9	4	7.6	43	27.6	9.4	48	15.4	0.8
Three years '60-'62	59	16.7	6	6.4	34	26.5	8.1	25	15.3	6.2
Elbert^g										
July '59 - Dec. '60	60	20.0	---	12.1	35	30.5	18.2	25	19.2	11.6
Year 1961	30	17.7	---	6.9	14	22.4	13.4	16	17.5	6.6
Year 1962	25	13.3	---	6.0	16	24.1	19.2	9	12.7	5.4
July '57 - Dec. '60	161	19.7	9	12.7	77	31.9	31.6	84	18.9	11.5
Three years '59-'61	121	19.0	11	10.1	58	26.4	19.2	63	18.4	9.4
Three years '60-'62	84	16.8	7	7.5	44	23.9	23.5	40	16.2	6.6

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County			Total Urban			Total Rural			
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Douglas										
July '59 - Dec. '60	142	25.7	---	7.2	31	26.0	5.3	111	25.6	9.7
Year 1961	116	20.0	---	8.8	39	25.3	3.3	77	18.8	9.9
Year 1962	106	16.8	---	3.7	71	25.8	6.5	35	15.2	3.4
July '57 - Dec. '60	297	18.4	4	9.8	90	26.3	10.5	207	16.9	9.6
Three years '59-'61	300	22.1	25	5.3	84	26.7	5.4	216	21.0	5.2
Three years '60-'62	321	17.8	8	7.0	132	25.3	5.7	189	16.4	7.1
Gunnison ^f										
July '59 - Dec. '60	122	18.3	---	9.6	101	27.6	9.9	21	15.3	9.5
Year 1961	93	17.5	---	8.1	77	22.1	11.2	16	15.6	4.3
Year 1962	75	17.1	---	9.7	60	19.3	12.7	15	14.3	5.9
July '57 - Dec. '60	280	19.7	10	14.9	226	25.3	14.0	54	17.5	15.3
Three years '59-'61	256	19.3	14	12.1	207	24.6	12.8	49	17.2	11.9
Three years '60-'62	242	18.2	9	9.3	199	22.3	12.6	43	16.5	8.0
Washington										
July '59 - Dec. '60	86	19.2	---	12.2	64	27.5	15.3	22	18.5	12.0
Year 1961	47	17.5	---	8.5	31	21.4	8.8	16	17.2	8.5
Year 1962	42	17.4	---	4.1	29	31.1	---	13	16.6	3.9
July '57 - Dec. '60	234	21.1	15	9.5	126	28.1	15.9	108	20.5	8.9
Three years '59-'61	180	19.6	15	9.9	109	27.1	18.0	71	18.9	9.2
Three years '60-'62	153	18.3	10	10.8	103	31.5	37.3	50	17.4	9.1
Baca ^g										
July '59 - Dec. '60	100	18.1	---	15.4	85	32.2	26.6	15	16.3	14.0
Year 1961	60	16.7	---	11.0	44	29.7	12.7	16	15.5	10.8
Year 1962	53	18.4	---	38.5	45	30.6	9.0	8	16.7	42.5
July '57 - Dec. '60	259	20.2	11	10.7	169	29.7	20.6	90	18.8	9.2
Three years '59-'61	200	19.2	13	12.6	151	30.7	24.5	49	17.6	10.8
Three years '60-'62	175	18.4	11	15.3	141	33.0	16.3	34	16.6	15.2

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Mineral ^e										
July '59 - Dec. '60	12	19.7	---	83.0	8	41.4	34.5	4	16.6	---
Year 1961	7	h	---	---	6	32.5	34.5	1	h	---
Year 1962	13	21.1	---	3.5	10	24.7	12.0	3	20.0	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	35	17.2	2	56.8	28	39.3	40.2	7	14.3	---
Three years '59-'61	26	16.9	3	58.0	19	34.4	34.4	7	14.3	61.6
Three years '60-'62	30	18.7	12	12.8	23	32.6	22.0	7	16.2	11.2
Clear Creek										
July '59 - Dec. '60	208	19.3	---	17.0	72	18.3	24.9	136	20.3	8.8
Year 1961	148	19.4	---	9.9	40	20.7	11.6	108	18.3	8.5
Year 1962	95	18.4	---	9.0	46	20.2	13.6	49	17.1	5.1
July '57 - Dec. '60	383	19.3	8	12.4	158	18.7	14.0	225	19.8	10.9
Three years '59-'61	395	17.9	6	12.4	131	19.6	15.5	264	16.6	9.9
Three years '60-'62	356	18.8	13	11.0	126	19.5	14.1	230	18.2	8.1
Pitkin ^{i,j}										
July '59 - Dec. '60	97	18.7	---	6.8	66	20.0	8.9	31	17.7	3.9
Year 1961	30	h	---	---	27	25.4	15.9	3	h	---
Year 1962	41	18.4	---	5.8	32	17.1	3.6	9	21.1	10.2
July '57 - Dec. '60	225	18.6	5	9.2	152	19.9	8.9	73	17.6	9.5
Three years '59-'61	174	18.6	10	8.3	115	20.8	11.8	59	17.0	6.0
Three years '60-'62	129	19.2	14	11.3	103	19.8	12.9	26	18.2	9.1
Teller ⁱ										
July '59 - Dec. '60	137	20.4	---	27.5	92	22.3	46.0	45	19.4	18.1
Year 1961	73	20.5	---	18.8	63	23.5	19.8	10	19.1	16.3
Year 1962	85	18.5	---	11.9	64	21.8	18.3	21	15.9	7.1
July '57 - Dec. '60	350	17.9	3	11.8	248	22.5	19.2	102	15.9	8.8
Three years '59-'61	243	17.3	5	12.4	177	22.3	23.2	66	15.3	8.1
Three years '60-'62	239	19.4	15	14.1	187	22.8	23.9	52	17.9	9.5

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Cheyenne										
July '59 - Dec. '60	40	20.7	---	12.6	32	44.3	28.2	8	19.1	11.0
Year 1961	22	18.1	---	1.7	14	24.5	12.0	8	17.4	1.3
Year 1962	21	17.8	---	5.4	13	22.7	11.6	8	17.3	5.1
July '57 - Dec. '60	100	24.6	34	14.1	51	41.8	23.4	49	23.1	13.2
Three years '59-'61	80	23.0	31	13.2	50	39.2	28.0	30	21.6	12.0
Three years '60-'62	70	19.6	16	9.7	48	39.6	25.8	22	18.1	8.6
Archuleta										
July '59 - Dec. '60	42	22.0	---	5.8	22	23.9	14.8	20	21.6	4.7
Year 1961	72	21.0	---	---	14	29.2	19.7	58	19.7	3.0
Year 1962	18	17.0	---	6.9	10	19.2	6.3	8	16.6	6.9
July '57 - Dec. '60	86	20.3	12	12.9	49	26.1	14.9	37	19.3	---
Three years '59-'61	123	19.6	16	12.5	39	27.0	16.5	84	18.4	23.1
Three years '60-'62	122	19.9	17	8.6	38	25.8	14.3	84	18.8	8.1
Phillips ^k										
July '59 - Dec. '60	70	21.5	---	10.9	59	24.4	14.9	11	20.9	10.0
Year 1961	52	22.3	---	4.5	49	30.0	16.1	3	20.0	---
Year 1962	35	20.3	---	9.4	27	25.8	17.1	8	19.4	8.0
July '57 - Dec. '60	210	20.6	13	7.5	152	27.8	13.2	58	19.3	6.6
Three years '59-'61	159	20.8	20	9.6	133	28.3	20.3	26	19.5	7.7
Three years '60-'62	130	20.2	18	6.3	114	26.3	14.4	16	19.1	4.8
Moffat ^l										
July '59 - Dec. '60	100	23.3	---	14.1	90	23.7	11.0	10	23.0	18.4
Year 1961	69	16.6	---	9.2	62	21.4	7.1	7	14.9	9.8
Year 1962	92	19.8	---	12.4	82	20.6	12.8	10	18.9	11.6
July '57 - Dec. '60	258	24.7	36	14.8	197	26.4	9.8	61	23.1	19.4
Three years '59-'61	216	20.4	19	11.0	186	24.0	11.3	30	17.6	10.8
Three years '60-'62	221	20.5	19	12.8	196	20.8	12.0	25	20.1	13.4

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Grandⁱ										
July '59 - Dec. '60	142	27.2	---	12.4	70	26.7	13.6	72	27.6	11.5
Year 1961	100	19.9	---	10.0	58	25.0	11.1	42	16.9	9.3
Year 1962	82	23.4	---	17.8	46	23.7	20.3	36	22.8	12.1
July '57 - Dec. '60	308	23.3	28	12.6	154	26.3	16.1	154	21.2	10.2
Three years '59-'61	283	22.3	27	13.1	147	26.2	13.5	136	19.8	12.8
Three years '60-'62	263	20.8	20	13.1	151	25.1	15.6	112	18.1	11.6
Sedgwick^m										
July '59 - Dec. '60	79	21.9	---	14.3	69	29.8	27.1	10	19.5	10.3
Year 1961	44	20.2	---	7.5	41	25.2	13.7	3	19.1	4.0
Year 1962	41	23.6	---	8.0	34	30.7	13.8	7	20.1	5.5
July '57 - Dec. '60	171	21.8	20	10.8	135	29.9	23.3	36	19.3	7.0
Three years '59-'61	151	22.8	29	7.6	131	29.0	8.0	20	20.7	7.4
Three years '60-'62	135	20.8	21	13.0	118	29.8	19.6	17	18.3	11.1
Lake^e										
July '59 - Dec. '60	97	22.4	---	12.5	83	23.2	12.9	14	14.5	---
Year 1961	75	20.1	---	14.6	58	19.9	14.7	17	25.2	12.9
Year 1962	48	21.4	---	14.9	36	21.3	14.7	12	22.9	18.5
July '57 - Dec. '60	213	21.8	21	13.3	192	22.9	13.1	21	12.1	---
Three years '59-'61	194	21.8	23	13.8	161	22.4	13.6	33	15.1	---
Three years '60-'62	190	20.9	22	13.9	149	21.3	13.8	41	15.5	---
Eagleⁱ										
July '59 - Dec. '60	44	27.7	---	19.6	33	29.3	16.7	11	27.2	20.6
Year 1961	28	20.6	---	12.4	19	25.9	10.6	9	19.1	12.7
Year 1962	36	24.7	---	16.0	24	25.7	14.3	12	23.2	17.2
July '57 - Dec. '60	112	24.5	32	16.3	76	34.2	28.0	36	22.1	13.8
Three years '59-'61	86	22.3	28	12.9	60	31.1	14.1	26	20.1	12.7
Three years '60-'62	93	21.0	23	14.2	64	29.4	12.2	29	19.0	14.8

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Las Animas ^l										
July '59 - Dec. '60	135	21.6	---	40.3	106	30.4	25.2	29	17.7	49.7
Year 1961	104	16.3	---	7.9	74	25.9	13.0	30	13.9	6.5
Year 1962	123	24.9	---	19.2	101	34.3	18.1	22	20.7	19.7
July '57 - Dec. '60	436	23.8	30	26.7	339	32.4	27.9	97	19.8	26.2
Three years '59-'61	320	21.3	22	22.0	240	32.5	22.2	80	16.9	21.9
Three years '60-'62	321	21.4	24	20.0	248	30.4	23.7	73	17.4	18.5
Yuma ⁿ										
July '59 - Dec. '60	119	18.4	---	5.9	92	28.7	8.6	27	16.7	5.5
Year 1961	72	21.0	---	8.5	54	29.1	9.8	18	19.4	8.3
Year 1962	65	18.6	---	7.4	54	21.3	5.4	11	18.1	7.8
July '57 - Dec. '60	322	18.6	6	9.5	207	26.7	15.8	115	17.1	8.2
Three years '59-'61	247	19.2	12	8.4	177	27.5	11.7	70	17.6	7.8
Three years '60-'62	207	21.4	25	9.0	166	26.6	14.4	41	20.2	7.8
Rio Blanco ^{i, o}										
July '59 - Dec. '60	52	26.0	---	14.4	48	28.8	14.4	4	24.6	---
Year 1961	44	19.9	---	---	38	25.1	6.9	6	17.7	8.2
Year 1962	41	29.2	---	16.8	34	34.8	17.2	7	15.6	16.2
July '57 - Dec. '60	148	24.5	31	24.5	124	32.5	20.8	24	21.3	25.9
Three years '59-'61	106	18.3	8	22.3	92	27.1	10.2	14	15.4	25.1
Three years '60-'62	119	21.6	26	23.1	102	31.8	14.7	17	10.3	32.2
Montezuma										
July '59 - Dec. '60	165	21.6	---	14.1	127	27.9	13.6	38	18.3	14.5
Year 1961	110	20.5	---	10.2	90	23.9	15.5	20	18.4	6.8
Year 1962	105	21.3	---	13.1	80	26.4	10.8	25	18.5	14.6
July '57 - Dec. '60	425	21.6	19	13.2	298	26.2	15.6	127	19.0	11.9
Three years '59-'61	336	21.0	21	13.4	250	24.9	16.7	86	18.7	11.5
Three years '60-'62	328	21.8	27	12.3	259	26.3	14.3	69	19.2	11.3

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Fremont										
July '59 - Dec. '60	432	22.5	---	13.1	379	22.1	10.1	53	23.1	17.7
Year 1961	268	21.9	---	10.0	205	22.3	10.7	63	21.4	9.0
Year 1962	308	21.3	---	13.1	274	21.9	14.7	34	20.5	10.8
July '57 - Dec. '60	1,022	22.7	23	10.4	878	22.7	9.8	144	22.6	11.4
Three years '59-'61	900	22.0	24	10.4	740	22.4	9.1	160	21.5	12.2
Three years '60-'62	856	22.1	28	10.4	724	22.6	10.1	132	21.3	10.7
Hinsdale ^{e,p}										
July '59 - Dec. '60	17	19.9	---	12.8	16	20.1	12.8	1	h	---
Year 1961	3	h	---	---	3	28.7	9.0	0	h	---
Year 1962	7	h	---	---	7	25.5	9.6	0	h	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	29	20.8	14	12.0	26	21.1	12.0	3	h	---
Three years '59-'61	22	18.0	7	16.4	20	20.8	11.0	2	17.1	18.0
Three years '60-'62	19	h	29	---	19	22.4	11.3	0	h	---
La Plata										
July '59 - Dec. '60	359	21.0	---	13.3	259	21.9	11.9	100	20.1	14.7
Year 1961	231	21.1	---	10.0	169	24.5	9.1	62	18.4	10.6
Year 1962	272	22.2	---	12.2	198	24.3	9.6	74	20.3	14.4
July '57 - Dec. '60	846	22.7	24	11.7	591	23.8	8.6	255	21.6	14.6
Three years '59-'61	694	22.3	26	11.3	494	24.4	8.8	200	20.4	13.4
Three years '60-'62	738	22.4	30	11.4	544	24.2	9.5	194	20.8	13.1
Saguache										
July '59 - Dec. '60	43	31.6	---	15.5	31	33.6	17.9	12	31.1	15.0
Year 1961	49	21.7	---	37.6	38	31.9	39.3	11	20.1	37.3
Year 1962	18	20.3	---	---	11	25.0	---	7	19.4	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	106	36.1	63	20.2	75	34.1	23.1	31	36.6	19.5
Three years '59-'61	105	24.3	38	---	79	33.7	27.9	26	22.7	---
Three years '60-'62	94	22.5	31	---	68	30.6	27.7	26	21.1	---

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Delta										
July '59 - Dec. '60	273	22.9	---	12.5	159	25.7	14.0	114	21.0	11.3
Year 1961	177	21.2	---	10.7	101	24.5	10.4	76	18.9	10.7
Year 1962	178	23.6	---	12.4	114	25.6	16.9	64	22.1	9.3
July '57 - Dec. '60	783	25.0	40	13.7	442	27.5	13.9	341	23.1	13.5
Three years '59-'61	596	23.4	32	12.5	341	25.5	12.7	255	21.8	12.3
Three years '60-'62	525	22.7	32	11.9	318	25.4	13.5	207	20.7	10.9
Garfield										
July '59 - Dec. '60	213	26.7	---	18.1	158	24.2	17.9	55	29.0	18.3
Year 1961	131	21.6	---	13.6	98	23.6	14.1	33	20.3	13.3
Year 1962	114	22.8	---	13.3	88	24.3	13.9	26	21.8	13.0
July '57 - Dec. '60	498	25.2	41	17.0	348	24.7	18.4	150	25.6	15.7
Three years '59-'61	430	24.1	36	15.6	308	23.9	15.9	122	24.2	15.4
Three years '60-'62	386	23.2	33	15.2	295	24.3	16.8	91	22.5	14.2
Weld										
July '59 - Dec. '60	1,609	25.4	---	12.7	1,369	28.5	12.9	240	23.8	12.6
Year 1961	1,215	22.6	---	10.8	1,059	25.3	9.9	156	21.2	11.2
Year 1962	1,067	21.6	---	11.4	956	24.1	7.5	111	20.4	13.5
July '57 - Dec. '60	3,360	25.8	43	12.8	2,786	28.7	12.8	574	24.4	12.8
Three years '59-'61	3,362	24.2	37	12.4	2,834	27.1	11.5	528	22.8	12.9
Three years '60-'62	3,467	23.4	34	11.8	3,017	25.6	10.4	450	22.2	12.4
Huerfano ⁿ										
July '59 - Dec. '60	126	20.2	---	14.8	98	33.2	22.3	28	14.3	11.4
Year 1961	70	29.3	---	15.4	51	33.0	17.2	19	26.2	13.8
Year 1962	51	18.3	---	20.2	39	28.4	14.3	12	14.7	22.1
July '57 - Dec. '60	317	21.2	17	19.5	218	29.8	23.8	99	16.2	17.2
Three years '59-'61	241	24.7	40	13.2	176	32.5	18.8	65	19.6	9.6
Three years '60-'62	201	24.0	35	15.3	157	32.2	19.5	44	18.8	12.7

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
El Paso										
July '59 - Dec. '60	3,883	23.7	---	9.1	3,741	24.5	8.4	142	20.1	12.0
Year 1961	2,562	24.5	---	9.2	2,486	25.1	8.6	76	21.3	11.6
Year 1962	3,291	23.7	---	8.1	3,225	24.8	7.6	66	18.9	10.4
July '57 - Dec. '60	8,247	23.0	26	8.5	7,905	23.7	8.0	342	20.0	11.1
Three years '59-'61	7,478	23.7	34	9.0	7,187	24.5	8.4	291	20.1	11.5
Three years '60-'62	8,254	24.1	36	8.9	8,031	25.0	8.5	223	20.4	10.5
Logan ^q										
July '59 - Dec. '60	398	24.2	---	11.6	353	29.1	18.0	45	21.2	7.7
Year 1961	269	23.5	---	10.4	223	25.1	8.9	46	22.5	11.5
Year 1962	300	23.4	---	10.7	238	27.6	16.4	62	20.7	7.0
July '57 - Dec. '60	1,003	24.8	38	11.7	863	28.9	11.6	140	22.1	11.7
Three years '59-'61	856	24.5	39	11.8	730	28.8	12.9	126	21.8	11.1
Three years '60-'62	831	24.2	37	9.3	686	27.9	10.0	145	21.7	8.8
Larimer ^q										
July '59 - Dec. '60	1,757	26.5	---	14.6	1,426	27.2	12.5	331	25.3	18.4
Year 1961	1,132	24.0	---	8.7	931	25.1	8.8	201	22.3	8.7
Year 1962	1,277	23.7	---	9.7	1,038	24.5	9.4	239	22.4	10.0
July '57 - Dec. '60	3,960	27.4	49	12.8	3,121	27.9	11.5	839	26.5	15.2
Three years '59-'61	3,485	25.6	47	11.2	2,816	26.7	12.4	669	23.7	11.8
Three years '60-'62	3,490	24.3	38	10.6	2,858	25.1	10.4	632	22.9	11.0
Pueblo										
July '59 - Dec. '60	2,262	23.6	---	10.9	1,976	25.4	10.2	286	20.8	12.1
Year 1961	1,590	24.5	---	11.0	1,279	25.8	9.2	311	22.2	14.2
Year 1962	1,729	24.3	---	10.7	1,419	25.4	9.1	310	22.3	13.6
July '57 - Dec. '60	5,206	23.8	29	10.4	4,727	25.4	9.5	479	21.0	11.5
Three years '59-'61	4,645	23.9	35	11.0	3,972	25.4	9.5	673	21.2	13.4
Three years '60-'62	4,837	24.5	39	10.7	4,014	25.8	8.8	823	22.3	13.9

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Morgan										
July '59 - Dec. '60	446	24.8	---	10.3	375	28.9	12.7	71	22.3	8.9
Year 1961	347	25.9	---	11.1	305	30.0	11.1	42	23.4	11.0
Year 1962	316	23.3	---	9.7	271	26.0	11.6	45	21.6	8.5
July '57 - Dec. '60	1,012	26.9	45	12.7	794	29.6	12.8	218	25.0	12.6
Three years '59-'61	936	25.7	48	11.7	783	29.1	13.0	153	23.4	13.0
Three years '60-'62	944	24.5	40	10.8	807	27.6	11.5	137	22.5	10.4
Montrose										
July '59 - Dec. '60	240	24.3	---	16.1	160	27.7	25.8	80	22.0	9.6
Year 1961	148	26.3	---	11.6	93	30.2	13.4	55	23.8	10.4
Year 1962	172	23.4	---	15.1	113	27.9	18.5	59	20.5	13.0
July '57 - Dec. '60	597	24.7	37	13.2	398	27.5	15.9	199	22.7	11.2
Three years '59-'61	483	25.3	44	13.2	313	29.2	17.9	170	22.8	10.2
Three years '60-'62	472	24.6	41	14.6	305	28.4	18.8	167	22.1	11.8
Chaffee										
July '59 - Dec. '60	161	26.3	---	15.0	128	27.3	9.6	33	25.0	22.7
Year 1961	89	25.3	---	10.7	73	25.6	10.6	16	25.0	10.8
Year 1962	129	22.8	---	14.1	103	23.6	15.5	26	21.8	12.3
July '57 - Dec. '60	389	26.8	44	13.0	317	27.7	12.8	72	25.5	13.3
Three years '59-'61	310	26.2	49	11.6	251	27.0	11.7	59	25.0	11.4
Three years '60-'62	326	25.0	42	11.7	263	25.6	10.7	63	24.1	13.1
Jefferson										
July '59 - Dec. '60	3,803	25.4	---	8.2	2,689	26.5	7.6	1,114	19.9	11.0
Year 1961	2,682	25.8	---	8.4	2,154	26.5	8.3	528	22.0	9.2
Year 1962	2,950	25.3	---	7.2	2,076	26.1	6.8	874	21.3	9.3
July '57 - Dec. '60	8,782	25.8	42	8.8	6,162	26.9	8.2	2,620	20.5	11.7
Three years '59-'61	7,556	25.5	46	8.9	5,605	26.9	8.2	1,951	19.3	11.6
Three years '60-'62	8,094	25.5	43	8.1	5,937	26.5	8.0	2,157	20.4	8.6

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Alamosa										
July '59 - Dec. '60	151	28.1	---	19.2	126	29.8	23.7	25	26.4	15.0
Year 1961	101	25.2	---	11.8	84	25.7	14.3	17	24.5	9.6
Year 1962	108	24.3	---	11.7	89	26.2	11.8	19	22.4	11.5
July '57 - Dec. '60	325	29.9	54	16.9	269	29.1	18.8	56	30.8	14.8
Three years '59-'61	286	27.4	53	16.0	240	29.3	19.7	46	25.6	12.6
Three years '60-'62	306	25.7	44	13.8	252	27.2	15.5	54	24.1	12.2
Adams ⁱ										
July '59 - Dec. '60	3,053	25.6	---	10.4	2,278	30.3	8.2	775	18.4	13.7
Year 1961	2,422	27.2	---	7.3	1,951	29.6	8.4	471	22.6	5.2
Year 1962	2,497	28.7	---	6.8	2,316	28.6	6.5	181	29.0	8.4
July '57 - Dec. '60	6,316	27.0	46	8.6	5,195	29.8	8.3	1,121	21.9	9.3
Three years '59-'61	6,297	26.8	50	7.8	4,969	29.9	8.3	1,328	21.3	7.2
Three years '60-'62	6,875	25.7	45	7.6	5,678	29.4	7.4	1,197	19.6	7.9
Boulder										
July '59 - Dec. '60	1,943	27.0	---	9.3	1,554	29.8	8.3	389	20.3	11.9
Year 1961	1,522	25.9	---	6.9	1,257	28.0	7.0	265	20.4	6.5
Year 1962	1,482	25.3	---	8.0	1,361	28.0	8.6	121	19.0	6.4
July '57 - Dec. '60	4,235	28.4	50	9.2	3,396	30.3	8.6	839	23.3	11.1
Three years '59-'61	3,907	26.8	51	8.3	3,126	29.1	7.4	781	21.1	10.2
Three years '60-'62	4,267	25.9	46	8.4	3,615	28.4	8.0	652	19.9	9.6
Routt										
July '59 - Dec. '60	162	29.4	---	18.8	121	34.6	18.7	41	27.7	18.9
Year 1961	84	25.7	---	16.4	70	31.4	54.4	14	24.0	5.0
Year 1962	81	23.2	---	8.8	68	25.2	12.3	13	22.5	7.5
July '57 - Dec. '60	398	29.6	53	18.8	295	36.8	20.6	103	27.5	18.2
Three years '59-'61	303	28.4	56	20.4	234	33.8	27.9	69	26.7	17.9
Three years '60-'62	271	26.1	47	20.3	216	30.0	23.8	55	24.8	19.0

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Arapahoe ^{i,j}										
July '59 - Dec. '60	3,460	27.3	---	7.7	2,421	26.6	7.8	1,039	29.1	7.8
Year 1961	2,614	26.0	---	7.5	1,769	25.6	7.4	845	27.0	8.0
Year 1962	2,943	25.8	---	7.7	2,142	24.9	8.3	801	28.8	5.8
July '57 - Dec. '60	7,514	27.2	48	8.3	5,544	27.9	8.4	1,970	25.6	8.3
Three years '59-'61	7,200	25.4	45	8.2	5,074	26.4	7.7	2,126	23.3	9.5
Three years '60-'62	7,735	26.3	48	7.7	5,453	25.7	8.0	2,282	28.0	7.2
Crowley										
July '59 - Dec. '60	55	33.6	---	17.0	36	30.2	22.3	19	34.8	15.1
Year 1961	47	24.8	---	11.0	32	24.7	11.1	15	24.8	10.9
Year 1962	37	19.7	---	11.4	30	29.6	53.2	7	17.8	5.5
July '57 - Dec. '60	143	30.2	55	22.8	94	33.1	22.1	49	29.4	22.9
Three years '59-'61	124	27.4	52	17.2	81	28.9	16.3	43	27.0	17.5
Three years '60-'62	118	26.4	49	20.1	85	29.0	34.5	33	25.7	16.4
Prowers										
July '59 - Dec. '60	246	28.8	---	10.3	226	30.7	11.1	20	27.6	9.8
Year 1961	138	28.5	---	13.3	110	31.0	12.7	28	27.1	13.6
Year 1962	171	25.9	---	12.0	141	24.7	14.3	30	26.8	10.6
July '57 - Dec. '60	545	29.1	51	14.9	441	30.5	13.3	104	28.1	16.0
Three years '59-'61	484	28.0	54	11.3	395	30.5	11.2	89	26.6	11.3
Three years '60-'62	467	26.8	50	12.2	397	27.0	13.5	70	26.6	11.5
Summit										
July '59 - Dec. '60	39	27.7	---	23.4	25	28.3	32.1	14	27.6	22.1
Year 1961	33	18.1	---	18.4	22	21.8	21.3	11	17.6	16.7
Year 1962	40	24.9	---	9.8	12	23.1	15.1	28	25.3	9.3
July '57 - Dec. '60	97	24.5	33	25.3	60	29.8	29.6	37	23.7	24.7
Three years '59-'61	89	24.8	41	22.8	55	25.5	23.9	34	24.6	22.7
Three years '60-'62	99	27.3	51	14.5	48	24.1	21.7	51	28.0	13.0

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Conejos ^g										
July '59 - Dec. '60	68	34.8	---	26.8	47	32.9	28.5	21	35.4	26.5
Year 1961	40	25.3	---	6.2	23	30.3	23.9	17	24.5	3.8
Year 1962	69	25.7	---	11.3	46	26.3	18.1	23	25.5	9.7
July '57 - Dec. '60	188	34.1	62	26.7	105	36.7	31.0	83	33.5	25.6
Three years '59-'61	137	29.1	58	19.2	81	33.7	18.6	56	28.0	19.4
Three years '60-'62	155	27.3	52	12.1	99	29.7	20.4	56	26.8	10.1
San Miguel										
July '59 - Dec. '60	53	33.6	---	13.3	47	32.4	22.7	6	33.9	10.5
Year 1961	30	29.1	---	13.4	22	37.5	20.4	8	27.4	12.0
Year 1962	29	h	---	---	27	33.2	16.7	2	h	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	110	29.5	52	26.3	86	35.4	35.6	24	28.2	24.3
Three years '59-'61	95	24.9	43	18.4	76	34.1	26.3	19	23.2	17.0
Three years '60-'62	93	27.4	53	12.6	81	33.2	23.2	12	26.1	10.3
Bent										
July '59 - Dec. '60	96	29.8	---	13.6	68	28.2	15.2	28	30.4	13.1
Year 1961	69	27.7	---	21.8	51	30.4	17.0	18	26.9	23.1
Year 1962	80	27.5	---	15.4	60	27.8	30.7	20	27.5	11.0
July '57 - Dec. '60	254	33.9	61	16.6	163	32.4	15.9	91	34.5	16.8
Three years '59-'61	201	29.6	59	17.7	139	30.4	16.0	62	29.4	18.3
Three years '60-'62	217	27.6	54	14.5	159	28.4	17.7	58	27.4	13.6
Dolores ^{j,p}										
July '59 - Dec. '60	26	22.1	---	---	21	29.6	12.8	5	20.5	---
Year 1961	17	h	---	---	16	26.0	11.0	1	h	---
Year 1962	15	h	---	---	13	25.8	12.6	2	h	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	94	24.7	35	14.3	62	31.8	11.5	32	23.1	14.9
Three years '59-'61	68	23.6	33	14.8	53	28.0	10.8	15	22.5	15.4
Three years '60-'62	51	h	55	---	44	27.7	14.3	7	h	---

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Park ^j										
July '59 - Dec. '60	146	26.9	---	11.4	50	25.6	15.4	96	27.2	10.2
Year 1961	119	24.0	---	15.9	29	29.3	17.8	90	23.0	14.1
Year 1962	48	25.5	---	12.8	34	27.1	18.2	14	24.0	9.6
July '57 - Dec. '60	287	23.1	27	13.6	99	26.8	21.0	188	22.3	12.1
Three years '59-'61	313	24.8	42	13.1	88	27.3	15.6	225	24.3	12.5
Three years '60-'62	276	27.9	56	11.0	98	28.2	15.0	178	27.8	10.0
Costilla ^{g,n}										
July '59 - Dec. '60	46	30.7	---	23.1	18	29.3	52.9	28	31.0	16.1
Year 1961	20	29.5	---	46.4	4	47.9	57.0	16	27.9	46.3
Year 1962	17	34.4	---	36.0	7	32.6	15.0	10	34.7	40.7
July '57 - Dec. '60	111	31.6	56	35.0	35	32.1	51.5	76	31.5	31.1
Three years '59-'61	77	28.5	57	38.1	24	29.5	47.8	53	28.3	36.3
Three years '60-'62	73	28.0	57	26.4	24	27.0	34.5	49	28.3	25.1
Mesa										
July '59 - Dec. '60	1,206	27.9	---	9.0	914	29.9	7.7	292	25.4	10.9
Year 1961	866	28.7	---	10.1	433	29.1	7.9	433	28.1	13.0
Year 1962	743	28.2	---	9.6	653	29.0	8.8	90	27.0	10.6
July '57 - Dec. '60	3,123	27.2	47	10.3	2,417	28.3	9.5	706	25.6	11.2
Three years '59-'61	2,619	28.1	55	10.0	1,751	29.4	8.7	868	26.2	11.8
Three years '60-'62	2,368	28.2	58	10.2	1,733	29.1	8.6	635	26.9	12.5
San Juan ^{e,p}										
July '59 - Dec. '60	30	h	---	---	30	28.1	16.1	0	h	---
Year 1961	12	h	---	---	12	38.2	20.0	0	h	---
Year 1962	4	h	---	---	4	22.5	14.4	0	h	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	54	h	57	---	53	31.6	22.0	1	h	---
Three years '59-'61	49	h	60	---	49	30.7	19.2	0	h	---
Three years '60-'62	31	h	59	---	31	28.9	15.7	0	h	---

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Jackson ^{n,p}										
July '59 - Dec. '60	19	h	---	---	18	36.3	24.5	1	h	---
Year 1961	9	h	---	---	7	19.1	5.3	2	h	---
Year 1962	12	h	---	---	11	19.6	5.9	1	h	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	57	18.6	7	14.9	41	32.9	17.8	16	16.8	14.6
Three years '59-'61	36	16.3	2	17.1	28	33.6	16.6	8	14.4	17.2
Three years '60-'62	30	h	60	---	27	28.9	11.9	3	h	---
Rio Grande										
July '59 - Dec. '60	139	31.4	---	14.5	111	29.5	14.1	28	32.5	14.8
Year 1961	82	31.1	---	11.7	66	28.5	13.6	16	32.5	10.7
Year 1962	100	28.7	---	17.1	79	24.7	30.7	21	31.1	8.9
July '57 - Dec. '60	375	32.4	60	18.9	286	31.5	13.5	89	32.9	21.5
Three years '59-'61	276	31.9	63	12.2	220	30.3	12.3	56	32.8	12.1
Three years '60-'62	282	30.0	61	13.7	227	27.3	22.4	55	31.5	8.9
Denver										
July '59 - Dec. '60	11,322	31.9	---	10.3	11,322	31.9	10.3	---	---	---
Year 1961	7,878	29.6	---	9.8	7,878	29.6	9.8	---	---	---
Year 1962	7,861	29.8	---	9.9	7,861	29.8	9.9	---	---	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	24,026	32.1	59	10.3	24,026	32.1	10.3	---	---	---
Three years '59-'61	22,345	30.9	61	10.1	22,345	30.9	10.1	---	---	---
Three years '60-'62	23,157	30.3	62	9.9	23,157	30.3	9.9	---	---	---
Otero										
July '59 - Dec. '60	573	31.5	---	13.7	499	31.8	13.0	74	31.0	14.8
Year 1961	351	31.5	---	14.0	301	32.4	13.8	50	30.3	14.6
Year 1962	350	30.1	---	15.3	316	30.1	17.5	34	30.2	12.4
July '57 - Dec. '60	1,253	31.9	58	16.2	1,070	33.3	16.2	183	30.2	16.2
Three years '59-'61	1,140	31.6	62	16.1	985	32.4	13.0	155	30.5	20.1
Three years '60-'62	1,080	31.2	63	14.5	948	31.4	14.1	132	30.8	14.9

Table II
(continued)

County and Year (or Period)	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certif- icates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Total										
July '59 - Dec. '60	41,313	26.8	---	11.1	34,890	29.1	10.4	6,423	22.0	12.9
Year 1961	29,105	25.7	---	9.7	24,462	27.9	9.5	4,643	21.1	9.9
Year 1962	30,392	25.4	---	9.9	26,504	27.6	9.7	3,888	20.6	10.4
July '57 - Dec. '60	91,753	27.3	---	11.0	77,163	29.4	10.2	14,590	22.8	12.6
Three years '59-'61	83,240	26.3	---	10.6	69,862	28.7	10.1	13,378	21.4	11.8
Three years '60-'62	86,247	25.9	---	10.1	73,573	28.1	9.9	12,674	21.1	10.8

- a. All property classes except vacant urban land.
- b. Counties arranged in order of size of the sales ratio for the three years 1960, 1961, and 1962 combined, with ranking according to size of the ratio for combined periods.
- c. Average range within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- d. Exclusive of agricultural land with improvements in 1961.
- e. See Research Publication No. 78, September, 1963, Colorado Legislative Council, page 3, for a statement concerning methodology.
- f. Exclusive of agricultural land with improvements in 1962.
- g. Exclusive of commercial buildings in 1961.
- h. Insufficient data for determination of the sales ratio.
- i. Exclusive of agricultural land with or without improvements in 1962.
- j. Exclusive of agricultural land with or without improvements in 1960-1962.
- k. Exclusive of industrial buildings in all study periods.
- l. Exclusive of industrial and commercial buildings in 1961.
- m. Exclusive of industrial buildings in 1962.
- n. Exclusive of commercial buildings in 1961.
- o. Exclusive of agricultural land with improvements in 1960-1962.
- p. Because the data are insufficient for determination of the county-wide sales ratio, the urban ratio is used (for purposes of ranking) in place of the county-wide ratio for 1960-1962.
- q. Exclusive of industrial buildings in 1961.

TABLE III

Average Sales Ratio and Measure of Variation in the Ratios, by
Class of Property, for Each of Three Periods and for Combined Periods,^a
and Proportion of Total Assessed Value on the Tax Rolls

Class of Property and Year (or Period)	Number of Certificates	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points ^b			Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls (%) ^c
			Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio	Total	
One-family dwellings						
1 to 8 years old						
July '59 - Dec. '60	15,509	31.0	2.9	2.9	5.8	21.1
Year 1961	10,292	29.9	2.6	2.7	5.3	---
Year 1962	10,852	29.5	2.6	2.8	5.4	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	35,635	31.4	2.8	3.0	5.8	---
Three years '59-'61	30,732	30.7	3.0	3.0	6.0	---
Three years '60-'62	30,987	30.1	2.7	2.8	5.5	---
9 to 18 years old						
July '59 - Dec. '60	5,832	28.2	3.1	3.4	6.5	7.6
Year 1961	4,740	27.2	3.0	3.5	6.5	---
Year 1962	6,012	26.5	2.9	3.3	6.2	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	11,934	28.6	3.3	3.4	6.7	---
Three years '59-'61	12,159	27.9	3.2	3.4	6.6	---
Three years '60-'62	14,623	27.1	3.1	3.4	6.5	---
19 to 28 years old						
July '59 - Dec. '60	1,630	26.5	3.7	4.7	8.4	2.9
Year 1961	1,288	25.0	3.7	4.3	8.0	---
Year 1962	1,458	24.0	3.5	4.2	7.7	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	3,579	26.7	3.8	4.7	8.5	---
Three years '59-'61	3,369	25.9	3.8	4.4	8.2	---
Three years '60-'62	3,803	25.0	3.8	4.4	8.2	---

TABLE III
(continued)

Class of Property and Year (or Period)	Number of Certificates	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points ^b			Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls (%) ^c
			Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio	Total	
29 to 48 years old						
July '59 - Dec. '60	4,409	23.6	3.7	4.3	8.0	8.2
Year 1961	2,858	22.9	3.6	4.2	7.8	---
Year 1962	2,931	22.0	3.6	4.4	8.0	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	10,198	24.0	3.8	4.4	8.2	---
Three years '59-'61	8,663	23.4	3.7	4.3	8.0	---
Three years '60-'62	8,641	22.8	3.7	4.2	7.9	---
Over 48 years old						
July '59 - Dec. '60	5,135	21.8	4.3	5.2	9.5	5.2
Year 1961	3,582	21.1	4.2	5.1	9.3	---
Year 1962	3,769	20.5	3.9	4.6	8.5	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	10,679	21.8	4.4	5.2	9.6	---
Three years '59-'61	10,136	21.5	4.3	5.2	9.5	---
Three years '60-'62	10,758	21.1	4.1	5.0	9.1	---
All Ages Combined						
July '59 - Dec. '60	32,515	27.3	3.3	3.8	7.1	45.0
Year 1961	22,760	26.4	3.2	3.7	6.9	---
Year 1962	25,022	25.8	3.2	3.5	6.7	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	72,025	27.7	3.4	3.8	7.2	---
Three years '59-'61	65,059	27.0	3.3	3.8	7.1	---
Three years '60-'62	68,812	26.4	3.2	3.7	6.9	---

TABLE III
(continued)

<u>Class of Property and Year (or Period)</u>	<u>Number of Certificates</u>	<u>Average Sales Ratio (%)</u>	<u>Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points^b</u>			<u>Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls (%)^c</u>
			<u>Below Average Ratio</u>	<u>Above Average Ratio</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Multi-family dwellings						
July '59 - Dec. '60	1,405	30.6	5.7	5.3	11.0	4.4
Year 1961	1,093	28.4	5.5	5.0	10.5	---
Year 1962	891	27.3	5.0	5.2	10.2	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	2,841	30.7	5.8	5.1	10.9	---
Three years '59-'61	2,882	29.6	5.6	5.1	10.7	---
Three years '60-'62	2,902	28.7	5.4	5.3	10.7	---
Commercial buildings						
July '59 - Dec. '60	758	33.3	8.2	10.0	18.2	16.4
Year 1961	490	30.4	5.9	9.6	15.5	---
Year 1962	453	32.8	10.3	7.1	17.4	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	1,853	33.0	7.8	10.2	18.0	---
Three years '59-'61	1,528	31.9	7.0	10.0	17.0	---
Three years '60-'62	1,461	32.1	8.0	8.9	16.9	---
Industrial buildings						
July '59 - Dec. '60	212	34.1	7.2	11.5	18.7	6.4
Year 1961	119	36.0	8.1	9.1	17.2	---
Year 1962	138	32.1	8.2	8.3	16.5	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	444	34.6	7.3	8.7	16.0	---
Three years '59-'61	393	34.6	7.9	8.8	16.7	---
Three years '60-'62	398	33.5	7.6	9.6	17.2	---

TABLE III
(continued)

<u>Class of Property and Year (or Period)</u>	<u>Number of Certificates</u>	<u>Average Sales Ratio (%)</u>	<u>Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points^b</u>			<u>Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls (%)^c</u>
			<u>Below Average Ratio</u>	<u>Above Average Ratio</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Total Urban						
July '59 - Dec. '60	34,890	29.1	4.7	5.7	10.4	72.2
Year 1961	24,462	27.9	4.2	5.3	9.5	---
Year 1962	26,504	27.6	5.0	4.7	9.7	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	77,163	29.4	4.7	5.5	10.2	---
Three years '59-'61	69,862	28.7	4.6	5.5	10.1	---
Three years '60-'62	73,573	28.1	4.6	5.3	9.9	---
Agric. land having impts.						
July '59 - Dec. '60	709	23.0	5.6	8.5	14.1	14.2
Year 1961	469	21.2	3.6	6.0	9.6	---
Year 1962	382	20.7	4.3	6.7	11.0	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	2,513	23.7	5.5	7.8	13.3	---
Three years '59-'61	1,729	21.9	4.5	7.8	12.3	---
Three years '60-'62	1,316	21.3	4.4	6.7	11.1	---
Agric. land having no impts.						
July '59 - Dec. '60	347	16.9	3.2	7.6	10.8	4.3
Year 1961	252	17.9	3.4	6.2	9.6	---
Year 1962	191	17.0	2.8	6.8	9.6	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	1,568	18.5	4.1	6.8	10.9	---
Three years '59-'61	1,008	17.2	3.4	6.7	10.1	---
Three years '60-'62	681	16.9	3.0	7.2	10.2	---

TABLE III
(continued)

<u>Class of Property and Year (or Period)</u>	<u>Number of Certificates</u>	<u>Average Sales Ratio (%)</u>	<u>Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points^b</u>			<u>Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls (%)^c</u>
			<u>Below Average Ratio</u>	<u>Above Average Ratio</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Misc. rural land having impts.						
July '59 - Dec. '60	3,714	25.6	5.3	6.3	11.6	6.9
Year 1961	2,829	24.0	4.5	8.2	12.7	---
Year 1962	2,529	23.7	4.7	4.9	9.6	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	6,859	25.4	5.3	6.3	11.6	---
Three years '59-'61	7,396	25.0	5.2	6.1	11.3	---
Three years '60-'62	7,732	24.8	5.1	5.6	10.7	---
Misc. rural land having no impts.						
July '59 - Dec. '60	1,653	16.5	4.8	8.3	13.1	0.9
Year 1961	1,093	17.7	4.1	6.1	10.2	---
Year 1962	786	19.1	4.3	5.2	9.5	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	3,650	17.1	4.7	8.0	12.7	---
Three years '59-'61	3,245	16.6	4.4	8.3	12.7	---
Three years '60-'62	2,945	18.7	5.1	5.7	10.8	---
Total Rural						
July '59 - Dec. '60	6,423	22.0	5.0	7.9	12.9	26.3
Year 1961	4,643	21.1	3.8	6.1	9.9	---
Year 1962	3,888	20.6	4.1	6.3	10.4	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	14,590	22.8	5.2	7.4	12.6	---
Three years '59-'61	13,378	21.4	4.4	7.4	11.8	---
Three years '60-'62	12,674	21.1	4.3	6.5	10.8	---

TABLE III
(continued)

<u>Class of Property and Year (or Period)</u>	<u>Number of Certificates</u>	<u>Average Sales Ratio (%)</u>	<u>Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points^b</u>			<u>Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls (%)^c</u>
			<u>Below Average Ratio</u>	<u>Above Average Ratio</u>	<u>Total</u>	
All Classes Combined						
July '59 - Dec. '60	41,313	26.8	4.7	6.4	11.1	98.5
Year 1961	29,105	25.7	4.0	5.7	9.7	---
Year 1962	30,392	25.4	4.7	5.2	9.9	---
July '57 - Dec. '60	91,753	27.3	4.9	6.1	11.0	---
Three years '59-'61	83,240	26.3	4.5	6.1	10.6	---
Three years '60-'62	86,247	25.9	4.5	5.6	10.1	---

a. Exclusive of vacant urban land.

b. Average range (above and below the average ratio) within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

c. As reported by the county assessors for 1957.

Adams County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land			All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages					Remote From Denver	Near Denver				
												With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	1	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	2	6
10 and " 12	1	1	3	3	0	8	0	0	0	8	1	1	1	1	4	12
12 " " 14	0	4	1	4	2	11	0	0	0	11	1	1	3	0	5	16
14 " " 16	1	1	2	7	1	12	0	0	0	12	2	1	0	0	3	15
16 " " 18	8	10	4	19	0	41	0	1	0	42	1	0	0	0	1	43
18 " " 20	26	16	1	12	3	58	0	0	0	58	0	3	0	1	4	62
20 " " 22	46	48	3	11	3	111	0	1	0	112	1	4	2	1	8	120
22 " " 24	75	106	2	7	3	193	1	0	0	194	0	2	1	1	4	198
24 " " 26	117	88	4	3	5	217	1	3	0	221	3	8	1	0	12	233
26 " " 28	186	64	4	5	3	262	2	0	0	264	1	8	0	0	9	273
28 " " 30	253	28	3	3	6	293	3	1	0	297	0	19	1	1	21	318
30 " " 32	309	24	2	1	8	344	1	2	1	348	0	34	0	0	34	382
32 " " 34	284	8	1	3	10	306	4	1	0	311	2	28	1	0	31	342
34 " " 36	203	3	0	0	6	212	3	0	0	215	0	25	1	0	26	241
36 " " 38	102	4	0	0	2	108	2	0	0	110	0	9	0	0	9	119
38 " " 40	40	2	0	0	1	43	1	2	0	46	0	3	0	1	4	50
40 " " 42	23	2	1	0	2	28	1	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	29
42 " " 44	13	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	1	14
44 " " 46	6	2	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
46 " " 48	2	1	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
48 " " 50	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
50 " " 55	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	2	5
Total Cases	1,700	414	31	80	58	2,283	21	11	1	2,316	14	150	11	6	181	2,497
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.8	24.5	22.2	18.9	30.4	28.7	31.5	27.3	---	28.6	22.9	31.4	22.6	----	29.0	28.7
Measure of Variation^a																
Below Average Ratio	3.2	2.1	5.3	2.5	5.4	3.0	2.7	2.8	---	3.0	7.4	2.6	9.4	----	4.0	3.2
Above Average Ratio	3.0	3.1	5.4	3.7	3.5	3.2	5.3	5.2	---	3.5	9.6	2.7	5.4	----	4.4	3.6
Total	6.2	5.2	10.7	6.2	8.9	6.2	8.0	8.0	---	6.5	17.0	5.3	14.8	----	8.4	6.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	48.2	6.7	1.5	3.2	0.7	60.2	1.7	7.4	0.4	69.8	2.6	11.6	0.6	13.1	27.9	97.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Adams County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land						Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						Agric. Land		Remote		Near Denver			
											With Impts.	Without Impts.	From Denver	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	1	1	0	4	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	3	3	4	12	18
10 and " 12	3	4	4	15	3	29	0	0	0	29	2	1	3	1	3	5	15	44
12 " " 14	2	7	6	11	4	30	0	0	0	30	1	1	2	0	9	9	22	52
14 " " 16	2	6	7	16	2	33	0	1	1	35	1	1	2	0	10	5	19	54
16 " " 18	20	16	12	40	1	89	0	1	0	90	0	0	3	0	8	3	14	104
18 " " 20	42	37	7	33	4	123	0	0	0	123	1	1	0	1	12	1	16	139
20 " " 22	91	81	10	29	5	216	1	3	1	221	2	0	3	0	26	6	37	258
22 " " 24	163	187	3	21	5	379	2	3	1	385	2	0	1	1	36	4	44	429
24 " " 26	264	254	12	16	10	556	1	5	0	562	1	0	3	1	44	3	52	614
26 " " 28	402	189	7	8	5	611	4	1	0	616	0	0	1	0	71	1	73	689
28 " " 30	545	108	6	6	6	671	5	7	0	683	0	0	1	1	138	3	143	826
30 " " 32	740	57	3	5	9	814	3	3	1	821	0	0	2	0	153	2	157	978
32 " " 34	677	29	3	3	10	722	9	2	0	733	0	0	0	0	197	1	198	931
34 " " 36	523	18	3	3	8	555	8	1	0	564	0	0	0	0	200	1	201	765
36 " " 38	297	16	0	0	3	316	5	1	2	324	0	0	0	0	146	1	147	471
38 " " 40	152	6	1	1	1	161	1	2	0	164	0	0	0	1	31	0	32	196
40 " " 42	126	10	3	1	3	143	2	0	0	145	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	147
42 " " 44	65	5	2	2	0	74	2	1	0	77	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	79
44 " " 46	22	3	0	0	1	26	0	3	0	29	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	32
46 " " 48	10	3	0	0	0	13	1	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
48 " " 50	1	1	0	1	1	4	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	7
50 " " 55	3	2	0	0	0	5	1	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	10
55 " " 60	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
60 and Over	3	3	0	0	2	8	0	1	1	10	0	0	1	0	3	0	4	14
Total Cases	4,155	1,043	89	216	83	5,586	46	37	9	5,678	10	6	23	10	1,098	50	1,197	6,875
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.4	25.4	21.9	19.5	28.6	29.3	32.0	29.2	37.3	29.4	18.6	10.0	21.8	15.8	32.2	18.7	19.6	25.7
Measure of Variation ^a																		
Below Average Ratio	3.2	3.2	5.0	3.1	5.9	3.2	2.6	4.7	14.8	3.5	5.6	1.2	7.0	6.6	3.4	5.9	3.8	3.6
Above Average Ratio	3.2	2.7	5.7	3.8	5.0	3.3	4.6	8.3	14.6	3.9	3.9	5.0	4.9	13.2	2.9	5.6	4.1	4.0
Total	6.4	5.9	10.7	6.9	10.9	6.5	7.2	13.0	29.4	7.4	9.5	6.2	11.9	19.8	6.3	11.5	7.9	7.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	48.1	6.7	1.5	3.2	0.7	60.2	1.7	7.4	0.4	69.7	8.4	4.6	2.6	0.1	11.6	0.6	27.9	97.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Alamosa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48								
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	1	3	5	
14 " " 16	0	2	1	3	2	8	0	8	0	1	1	9	
16 " " 18	0	1	0	2	1	4	0	4	0	2	4	8	
18 " " 20	0	0	1	5	0	6	0	6	0	0	1	7	
20 " " 22	0	2	3	6	4	15	0	15	1	1	3	18	
22 " " 24	2	2	1	1	3	9	0	9	0	1	1	10	
24 " " 26	4	1	0	0	1	6	1	7	1	0	2	9	
26 " " 28	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	1	0	1	4	
28 " " 30	3	0	0	2	1	12	1	13	0	0	0	13	
30 " " 32	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	
32 " " 34	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
40 " " 42	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	1	1	1	3	1	4	0	0	0	4	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
60 and Over	0	2	0	0	2	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	
Total Cases	25	10	3	25	18	86	3	89	6	6	7	19	108
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.6	21.5	21.6	20.3	22.6	22.0	----	26.2	25.5	17.0	---	22.4	24.3
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	2.4	4.5	1.6	3.1	2.4	2.9	----	4.7	4.5	2.0	---	3.8	4.4
Above Average Ratio	2.2	3.5	12.4	4.1	10.4	6.2	----	7.1	9.5	4.0	---	7.7	7.3
Total	4.6	8.0	14.0	7.2	12.8	9.1	----	11.8	14.0	6.0	---	11.5	11.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0	4.5	28.7	24.2	53.4	35.5	5.0	5.9	46.4	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Alamosa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	4	
12 " " 14	0	0	1	7	1	9	1	0	10	1	3	1	0	15	
14 " " 16	0	3	2	8	3	16	0	0	16	1	1	2	0	20	
16 " " 18	0	2	2	6	4	14	0	0	14	0	2	3	2	21	
18 " " 20	0	2	4	10	1	17	0	1	18	0	1	2	0	21	
20 " " 22	0	6	6	14	9	35	0	0	35	2	2	2	0	41	
22 " " 24	3	4	4	6	6	23	0	0	23	1	0	1	0	25	
24 " " 26	5	3	0	4	3	15	1	2	18	2	1	1	1	23	
26 " " 28	12	1	2	5	2	22	0	0	22	2	0	1	0	25	
28 " " 30	17	1	0	5	3	26	1	0	27	0	0	0	0	27	
30 " " 32	6	1	0	1	2	10	0	1	11	0	1	1	0	13	
32 " " 34	4	0	1	1	1	7	0	0	7	2	0	1	0	10	
34 " " 36	2	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	5	3	0	1	0	9	
36 " " 38	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	7	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	
40 " " 42	3	3	0	1	0	7	1	0	8	0	0	1	0	9	
42 " " 44	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4	
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	
48 " " 50	0	0	1	2	2	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	
55 " " 60	0	0	2	1	1	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	
60 and Over	0	4	2	2	3	11	1	0	12	0	0	0	1	13	
Total Cases	53	31	30	80	45	239	8	5	252	17	13	20	4	54	306
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.7	23.9	22.3	21.4	23.8	23.2	39.6	---	27.2	26.9	15.5	22.0	26.3	24.1	25.7
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	1.8	3.7	3.1	4.1	3.5	3.5	20.6	---	7.5	4.4	2.0	5.3	9.3	4.0	5.8
Above Average Ratio	2.2	11.6	14.7	6.2	7.0	8.3	5.4	---	8.0	8.3	6.9	10.0	19.9	8.2	8.0
Total	4.0	15.3	17.8	10.3	10.5	11.8	26.0	---	15.5	12.7	8.9	15.3	29.2	12.2	13.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0	4.5	28.7	16.7	7.5	52.9	35.5	5.8	5.0	0.1	46.4	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Arapahoe County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land Near Denver		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	2	0	2	3	7	0	0	1	8	8	0	1	9	17
10 and " 12	0	2	2	2	1	7	0	0	0	7	9	1	0	10	17
12 " " 14	2	4	1	12	3	22	0	2	0	24	5	1	0	6	30
14 " " 16	2	11	3	20	7	43	0	1	0	44	6	0	0	6	50
16 " " 18	1	14	10	26	8	59	0	3	1	63	8	0	2	10	73
18 " " 20	3	25	13	17	7	65	1	1	1	68	15	1	1	17	85
20 " " 22	19	88	14	15	3	139	0	3	0	142	16	1	0	17	159
22 " " 24	72	166	11	12	6	267	2	3	0	272	23	0	0	23	295
24 " " 26	138	110	5	11	3	267	2	3	1	273	26	0	0	26	299
26 " " 28	163	107	3	2	1	276	4	3	0	283	59	0	0	59	342
28 " " 30	258	124	4	2	0	388	2	0	0	390	111	1	0	112	502
30 " " 32	176	49	2	5	2	234	4	1	0	239	145	1	0	146	385
32 " " 34	138	21	2	3	0	164	5	0	2	171	169	0	0	169	340
34 " " 36	54	5	1	1	0	61	8	2	0	71	99	0	0	99	170
36 " " 38	28	6	1	2	0	37	2	2	0	41	54	2	0	56	97
38 " " 40	16	2	1	0	0	19	2	1	0	22	18	0	0	18	40
40 " " 42	3	3	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	7	10	0	0	10	17
42 " " 44	3	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
44 " " 46	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
46 " " 48	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
48 " " 50	1	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
60 and Over	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	2	4
Total Cases	1,079	744	75	132	45	2,075	33	28	6	2,142	789	8	4	801	2,943
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.2	25.4	21.2	18.6	18.1	25.1	31.8	24.4	22.9	24.9	31.1	29.3	---	28.8	25.8
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	4.2	4.4	5.9	3.4	2.7	13.3	---	2.9	3.3
Above Average Ratio	2.5	3.1	3.7	4.2	4.5	3.2	3.4	10.6	9.6	4.9	2.8	4.5	---	2.9	4.4
Total	5.3	6.0	6.5	7.1	7.4	6.0	7.6	15.0	15.5	8.3	5.5	17.8	---	5.8	7.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6	1.3	53.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	71.2	20.3	1.6	6.7	28.6	99.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Arapahoe County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land			All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						Remote From Denver With Impts.	Near Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	1	4	2	11	10	28	0	0	3	31	1	19	6	2	28	59
10 and " 12	1	3	4	11	4	23	0	0	0	23	1	22	17	0	40	63
12 " " 14	4	4	2	31	12	53	1	3	1	58	2	16	13	1	32	90
14 " " 16	3	17	10	49	21	100	3	1	1	105	0	29	8	0	37	142
16 " " 18	3	19	20	81	21	144	0	4	1	149	2	36	5	1	44	193
18 " " 20	8	43	49	58	26	184	1	2	1	188	1	40	3	0	44	232
20 " " 22	42	173	50	48	19	332	1	5	1	339	1	42	9	0	52	391
22 " " 24	152	322	35	39	14	562	3	7	1	573	1	73	6	0	80	653
24 " " 26	350	302	23	21	15	711	3	4	1	719	0	109	0	0	109	828
26 " " 28	440	246	8	16	4	714	7	7	1	729	2	162	0	0	164	893
28 " " 30	628	202	8	10	3	851	6	0	2	859	0	273	2	0	275	1,134
30 " " 32	520	94	5	6	4	629	9	7	0	645	0	390	2	0	392	1,037
32 " " 34	397	47	4	5	2	455	16	4	5	480	0	449	1	0	450	930
34 " " 36	189	13	1	3	2	208	17	3	1	229	1	278	0	0	279	508
36 " " 38	118	12	1	4	1	136	19	3	0	158	0	130	2	0	132	290
38 " " 40	56	6	1	0	0	63	14	2	0	79	0	65	0	0	65	144
40 " " 42	15	6	1	0	1	23	2	3	0	28	0	23	2	1	26	54
42 " " 44	7	3	1	1	0	12	6	2	0	20	0	6	0	0	6	26
44 " " 46	1	4	1	1	1	8	3	2	0	13	0	2	0	0	2	15
46 " " 48	0	1	2	1	0	4	0	1	0	5	0	3	0	0	3	8
48 " " 50	1	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	0	7	0	0	7	12
50 " " 55	3	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	0	6	0	3	0	0	3	9
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	2	0	0	2	5
60 and Over	2	0	0	1	2	5	0	2	2	9	0	6	3	1	10	19
Total Cases	2,941	1,524	229	397	163	5,254	115	63	21	5,453	12	2,185	79	6	2,282	7,735
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.6	25.3	21.2	18.5	18.9	25.3	34.6	28.9	24.0	25.7	15.8	31.0	21.6	---	28.0	26.3
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.6	3.5	2.7	3.8	6.7	7.5	3.7	2.8	3.0	10.0	---	3.6	3.7
Above Average Ratio	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.9	4.5	3.1	3.5	7.3	9.1	4.3	9.4	2.9	0.0	---	3.6	4.0
Total	5.5	5.4	5.2	6.5	8.0	5.8	7.3	14.0	16.6	8.0	12.2	5.9	10.0	---	7.2	7.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6	1.3	53.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	71.2	1.9	20.3	1.6	4.8	28.6	99.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1
14 " " 16	2	0	2	0	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	1
18 " " 20	2	0	2	2	4
20 " " 22	0	0	0	2	2
22 " " 24	2	1	3	1	4
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	0
26 " " 28	2	0	2	0	2
28 " " 30	1	0	1	0	1
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	1
Total Cases	9	1	10	8	18
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.7	---	19.2	16.6	17.0
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.6	---	1.1	1.9	2.1
Above Average Ratio	3.7	---	5.2	5.0	4.8
Total	6.3	---	6.3	6.9	6.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.9	8.4	19.3	78.7	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48								
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
16 " " 18	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	15	1	16	17
18 " " 20	0	0	1	0	3	4	0	4	3	0	0	3	7
20 " " 22	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	53	1	54	56
22 " " 24	1	1	1	0	1	4	1	5	0	1	1	2	7
24 " " 26	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
26 " " 28	1	2	0	1	1	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
28 " " 30	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
30 " " 32	2	2	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	2	0	2	6
32 " " 34	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
34 " " 36	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
36 " " 38	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	4
Total Cases	7	9	6	4	11	37	1	38	6	72	6	84	122
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.5	29.9	26.1	---	21.2	26.9	---	25.8	18.3	19.8	----	18.8	19.9
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	4.6	4.3	3.1	---	4.9	5.1	---	4.0	4.8	----	----	3.7	4.2
Above Average Ratio	30.6	4.1	14.9	---	4.8	9.2	---	10.3	1.4	----	----	4.4	4.4
Total	35.2	8.4	18.0	---	9.7	14.3	---	14.3	6.2	----	----	8.1	8.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.1	2.7	1.3	1.4	3.4	10.9	8.4	19.3	66.7	0.1	11.9	78.7	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	1	3
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	3
18 " " 20	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
20 " " 22	0	2	0	1	0	3	1	4	0	4
22 " " 24	0	3	0	1	0	4	2	6	0	6
24 " " 26	0	2	1	1	1	5	0	5	0	5
26 " " 28	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	2
28 " " 30	2	2	0	1	0	5	0	5	0	5
30 " " 32	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
34 " " 36	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	3	0	3
36 " " 38	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	3
40 " " 42	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	2
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	3	2	5
Total Cases	2	17	3	14	1	37	8	45	8	53
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	28.2	---	22.8	---	27.4	---	30.6	16.7	18.4
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	4.7	---	5.8	---	5.7	---	7.0	2.9	3.4
Above Average Ratio	---	10.6	---	6.2	---	15.0	---	2.0	39.6	35.1
Total	---	15.3	---	12.0	---	20.7	---	9.0	42.5	38.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.5	4.4	2.5	4.9	0.1	13.5	6.4	19.8	79.8	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
10 and " 12	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	3	5
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	5	7
14 " " 16	0	1	0	5	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	6	12
16 " " 18	0	1	1	3	0	5	0	0	0	5	1	2	1	4	9
18 " " 20	0	1	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
20 " " 22	0	3	1	3	1	8	1	1	0	10	0	1	0	1	11
22 " " 24	0	8	1	3	0	12	0	2	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
24 " " 26	0	7	2	2	1	12	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
26 " " 28	1	8	1	5	1	16	0	0	0	16	1	2	0	3	19
28 " " 30	2	3	1	5	1	12	0	0	0	12	0	3	0	3	15
30 " " 32	1	3	1	1	0	6	0	0	0	6	1	0	1	2	8
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	3
34 " " 36	0	2	2	1	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
36 " " 38	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	2	0	3	0	5	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
40 " " 42	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	4
42 " " 44	0	1	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
44 " " 46	0	0	2	1	0	3	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
50 " " 55	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	5	1	8	0	14	4	1	0	19	1	1	0	2	21
Total Cases	4	50	15	52	5	126	8	6	1	141	8	22	4	34	175
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	27.0	32.3	26.7	---	28.0	54.8	---	---	33.0	16.8	16.5	---	16.6	18.4
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	---	3.6	7.5	7.4	---	5.5	---	---	---	10.5	5.8	2.7	---	3.8	4.7
Above Average Ratio	---	8.5	11.9	15.3	---	10.8	---	---	---	5.8	12.2	11.0	---	11.4	10.6
Total	---	12.1	19.4	22.7	---	16.3	---	---	---	16.3	18.0	13.7	---	15.2	15.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.5	4.4	2.5	4.9	0.1	13.5	6.0	0.0	0.3	19.8	27.9	51.0	0.8	79.8	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Bent County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	4
16 " " 18	0	0	1	1	3	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	3
20 " " 22	1	0	0	1	2	4	0	4	2	1	0	3	7
22 " " 24	0	2	0	2	1	5	0	5	0	1	0	1	6
24 " " 26	0	0	0	4	3	7	0	7	1	0	0	1	8
26 " " 28	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
28 " " 30	0	2	2	0	2	6	1	7	1	0	0	1	8
30 " " 32	1	3	1	1	1	7	0	7	3	1	0	4	11
32 " " 34	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	3	4
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
36 " " 38	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	4
38 " " 40	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
40 " " 42	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	1	2	0	3	2	5	0	0	0	0	5
Total Cases	5	10	8	14	18	55	5	60	10	6	4	20	80
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	29.0	30.5	23.6	23.2	25.6	---	27.8	29.8	20.5	----	27.5	27.5
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	---	2.0	2.5	2.6	5.5	3.6	---	3.7	4.8	9.5	----	6.3	5.6
Above Average Ratio	---	2.7	12.5	3.4	6.3	6.0	---	27.0	2.7	10.5	----	4.7	9.8
Total	---	4.7	15.0	6.0	11.8	9.6	---	30.7	7.5	20.0	----	11.0	15.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1	16.1	7.2	23.3	59.0	2.6	14.6	76.2	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Bent County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	With Impts.					Without Impts.					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	
10 and " 12	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	4	
14 " " 16	0	1	1	2	5	9	0	1	10	0	3	0	1	4	14	
16 " " 18	0	0	1	1	6	8	1	0	9	1	0	1	0	2	11	
18 " " 20	0	1	0	2	9	12	0	2	14	0	1	3	0	4	18	
20 " " 22	1	0	1	3	4	9	0	0	9	4	1	1	0	6	15	
22 " " 24	0	2	3	3	7	15	1	0	16	2	1	1	0	4	20	
24 " " 26	0	2	2	5	7	16	0	0	16	1	0	1	0	2	18	
26 " " 28	1	3	2	2	4	12	0	0	12	0	0	1	0	1	13	
28 " " 30	1	3	2	0	6	12	1	0	13	2	0	0	0	2	15	
30 " " 32	1	3	2	2	5	13	0	1	14	4	1	3	0	8	22	
32 " " 34	2	2	1	0	4	9	0	0	9	5	1	1	0	7	16	
34 " " 36	2	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6	
36 " " 38	0	1	1	0	1	3	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	
38 " " 40	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4	
40 " " 42	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	3	
42 " " 44	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	3	1	0	1	0	2	5	
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	3	5	
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
48 " " 50	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	3	
60 and Over	0	0	2	2	0	4	3	1	8	1	1	0	0	2	10	
Total Cases	10	21	23	24	66	144	9	6	159	26	13	17	2	58	217	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.1	29.0	29.6	25.3	23.5	26.2	37.8	---	28.4	31.7	17.7	27.2	---	27.4	27.6	
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.1	3.3	6.4	4.6	4.9	4.4	10.3	---	5.5	8.2	4.2	7.7	---	7.0	6.6	
Above Average Ratio	4.4	3.3	10.4	3.7	6.0	5.3	29.1	---	12.2	3.3	13.8	7.8	---	6.6	7.9	
Total	6.5	7.6	16.3	8.3	10.9	9.7	39.4	---	17.7	11.5	18.0	15.5	---	13.6	14.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1	16.1	6.6	0.6	23.3	59.0	14.5	2.6	-c-	76.2	99.5	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Boulder County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages						With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	1	1	1	8	4	15	0	0	0	15	0	1	8	0	9	24
12 " " 14	1	3	1	3	12	20	0	0	0	20	1	5	8	2	16	36
14 " " 16	1	2	1	10	13	27	0	0	0	27	0	6	8	0	14	41
16 " " 18	1	2	5	10	22	40	0	1	0	41	1	5	3	0	9	50
18 " " 20	5	12	8	11	27	63	2	1	0	66	1	10	1	0	12	78
20 " " 22	8	3	3	17	20	51	3	1	0	55	1	8	3	0	12	67
22 " " 24	16	10	3	10	19	58	0	2	0	60	0	5	2	0	7	67
24 " " 26	17	13	8	10	19	67	3	1	0	71	1	6	8	0	15	86
26 " " 28	37	25	8	19	15	104	1	0	1	106	1	6	0	0	7	113
28 " " 30	136	41	6	11	11	205	1	1	0	207	0	1	2	0	3	210
30 " " 32	210	35	3	3	2	253	0	3	0	256	0	0	5	0	5	261
32 " " 34	159	25	5	3	2	194	2	0	0	196	0	3	0	0	3	199
34 " " 36	75	19	5	3	2	104	1	1	0	106	0	0	0	0	0	106
36 " " 38	44	8	0	0	6	58	0	1	0	59	0	1	0	0	1	60
38 " " 40	23	5	0	0	3	31	0	0	0	31	0	1	0	0	1	32
40 " " 42	8	4	1	1	2	16	0	1	0	17	0	1	1	0	2	19
42 " " 44	5	1	1	1	2	10	0	3	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	13
44 " " 46	5	1	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
55 " " 60	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	3	5
Total Cases	753	211	61	122	181	1,328	13	19	1	1,361	6	60	53	2	121	1,482
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.5	29.7	26.0	23.0	21.3	27.2	25.6	33.3	---	28.0	21.2	21.5	17.9	---	19.0	25.3
Measure of Variation^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.0	3.2	6.2	5.1	3.8	3.5	4.8	9.5	---	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.4	---	3.3	4.1
Above Average Ratio	2.2	3.2	5.2	4.3	4.7	3.4	3.5	9.5	---	4.2	3.8	4.2	7.8	---	3.1	3.9
Total	4.2	6.4	11.4	9.4	8.5	6.9	8.3	19.0	---	8.6	8.0	8.5	12.2	---	6.4	8.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	23.8	6.8	3.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1	12.5	0.2	75.9	14.8	2.5	0.7	3.9	22.0	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Boulder County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-1962 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4	4	
10 and " 12	2	1	2	11	17	33	0	0	0	33	0	2	8	32	65	
12 " " 14	3	5	2	10	23	43	0	2	0	45	3	5	17	64	109	
14 " " 16	1	5	1	22	36	65	0	1	1	67	4	0	20	54	121	
16 " " 18	3	3	6	18	57	87	2	3	0	92	3	0	21	72	164	
18 " " 20	5	18	14	26	76	139	4	2	0	145	1	2	26	43	188	
20 " " 22	16	11	8	36	54	125	7	3	0	135	4	2	26	63	198	
22 " " 24	38	18	10	40	64	170	5	7	0	182	5	3	20	46	228	
24 " " 26	41	25	22	33	43	164	10	5	0	179	3	0	19	82	261	
26 " " 28	94	49	25	43	47	258	6	7	2	273	5	0	21	47	320	
28 " " 30	303	74	15	29	30	451	4	8	0	463	0	0	19	30	493	
30 " " 32	495	83	12	25	20	635	5	6	0	646	1	0	8	25	671	
32 " " 34	446	57	16	8	15	542	4	3	2	551	0	0	20	27	578	
34 " " 36	297	40	8	13	9	367	3	1	0	371	0	0	13	15	386	
36 " " 38	148	29	1	3	11	192	3	6	0	201	1	0	3	8	209	
38 " " 40	74	16	0	4	7	101	1	1	0	103	0	0	6	7	110	
40 " " 42	25	11	1	2	3	42	0	2	0	44	0	0	3	8	52	
42 " " 44	12	6	1	5	4	28	1	4	0	33	0	0	2	2	35	
44 " " 46	9	5	0	3	1	18	1	2	0	21	0	0	3	4	25	
46 " " 48	4	1	0	0	0	5	0	1	1	7	0	0	2	3	10	
48 " " 50	5	1	1	0	3	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	2	2	12	
50 " " 55	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	3	6	
55 " " 60	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	4	
60 and Over	2	0	1	1	2	6	0	2	0	8	0	0	4	10	18	
Total Cases	2,024	460	146	333	522	3,485	56	67	7	3,615	30	16	264	652	4,267	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.1	30.5	26.8	24.5	21.9	28.2	26.9	29.4	46.9	28.4	20.6	16.0	24.8	19.9	25.9	
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.1	3.3	4.1	4.8	4.0	3.4	4.5	5.8	---	3.9	4.3	4.0	6.8	4.4	4.0	
Above Average Ratio	2.4	3.4	4.0	4.2	5.0	3.5	4.7	7.4	---	4.1	5.1	5.0	5.4	5.2	4.4	
Total	4.5	6.7	8.1	9.0	9.0	6.9	9.2	13.2	---	8.0	9.4	9.0	12.2	9.6	8.4	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	28.8	6.8	3.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1	12.5	0.2	75.9	14.8	3.9	2.5	22.0	97.9	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Chaffee County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	1	0	1	1	7	8	0	8	0	1	0	0	2	6
16 " " 18	1	1	0	0	4	8	0	8	1	2	0	0	3	11
18 " " 20								6	0	1	0	0	4	12
20 " " 22	2	0	0	0	3	5	0	6	0	1	0	0	2	8
22 " " 24	1	1	0	1	5	8	0	5	1	0	0	0		
24 " " 26	3	2	2	3	2	12	0	8	0	1	0	0	1	6
26 " " 28	7	0	1	0	4	12	0	12	0	1	0	0	3	11
	2	1	1	0	5	9	0	13	0	1	0	0	2	14
28 " " 30								9	0	2	0	0	3	16
30 " " 32	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
32 " " 34	3	1	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	0		
34 " " 36	2	0	0	0	1	3	1	5	1	1	0	0	1	5
36 " " 38	3	0	0	0	2	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	7
	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	6
38 " " 40								3	0	0	0	0	0	5
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50								1	0	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Cases	26	9	5	9	50	99	4	103	6	9	0	0	0	3
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.8	24.8	---	22.6	21.2	22.5	----	23.6	25.2	18.8	22.2	---	26	129
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	2.8	4.8	---	3.6	5.7	4.6	----	6.7	10.2	4.6	6.7	---	7.2	6.8
Above Average Ratio	5.9	4.7	---	16.4	7.3	7.4	----	8.8	5.8	4.7	3.0	---	5.1	7.3
Total	8.7	9.5	---	20.0	13.0	12.0	----	15.5	16.0	9.3	9.7	---	12.3	14.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.5	3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9	21.2	59.0	19.5	16.6	1.1	1.6	38.9	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Chaffee County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	
10 and " 12	0	1	0	1	7	9	1	0	10	1	1	1	3	13	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	3	10	13	0	0	13	1	1	3	5	18	
14 " " 16	2	0	2	2	10	16	1	0	17	1	2	1	4	21	
16 " " 18	1	2	0	2	10	15	0	0	15	1	2	1	4	19	
18 " " 20	3	3	1	2	6	15	0	0	15	2	2	1	5	20	
20 " " 22	1	1	0	3	11	16	0	0	16	0	2	2	4	20	
22 " " 24	7	4	3	5	9	28	1	1	30	1	3	3	7	37	
24 " " 26	18	3	1	1	10	33	1	0	34	2	2	3	8	42	
26 " " 28	9	2	1	0	9	21	2	0	23	1	1	0	2	25	
28 " " 30	9	3	0	1	7	20	1	0	21	2	1	0	3	24	
30 " " 32	13	4	0	0	1	18	0	2	20	1	1	0	2	22	
32 " " 34	5	1	0	1	4	11	1	1	13	1	2	3	6	19	
34 " " 36	4	1	0	0	3	8	2	1	11	0	1	0	1	12	
36 " " 38	1	0	0	1	4	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	
38 " " 40	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
40 " " 42	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	1	6	0	0	2	2	8	
44 " " 46	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
48 " " 50	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	3	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	1	4	0	2	0	2	6	
Total Cases	76	26	8	24	109	243	13	7	263	15	25	22	63	326	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	25.3	20.3	19.4	21.6	22.7	33.6	---	25.6	23.0	25.6	22.6	---	24.1	25.0
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	2.8	4.3	3.1	3.4	5.6	4.6	9.1	---	5.7	5.5	7.4	7.6	---	6.4	6.0
Above Average Ratio	3.7	4.9	3.7	4.6	6.5	5.5	3.6	---	5.0	6.2	7.2	9.7	---	6.7	5.7
Total	6.5	9.2	6.8	8.0	12.1	10.1	12.7	---	10.7	11.7	14.6	17.3	---	13.1	11.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.5	3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9	18.3	2.9	59.1	19.5	16.6	1.2	1.6	38.9	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Cheyenne County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	3
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2
18 " " 20	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	4
20 " " 22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
22 " " 24	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	2
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
26 " " 28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 " " 32	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Total Cases	0	1	0	9	2	12	1	13	8	21
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	32.4	---	22.8	---	22.7	17.3	17.8
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	13.2	---	5.9	---	5.8	1.8	2.3
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	10.6	---	5.7	---	5.8	3.3	3.1
Total	---	---	---	23.8	---	11.6	---	11.6	5.1	5.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.8	1.6	0.4	2.2	1.3	7.3	6.5	13.8	85.9	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Cheyenne County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages						
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	3
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	2	1	3	5
16 " " 18	0	2	0	1	2	5	0	5	4	1	5	10
18 " " 20	1	0	0	2	1	4	0	4	2	1	3	7
20 " " 22	0	1	0	3	1	5	0	5	0	1	1	6
22 " " 24	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	3	4
24 " " 26	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	2	4
26 " " 28	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
28 " " 30	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
30 " " 32	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
32 " " 34	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	3
36 " " 38	0	1	1	2	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
38 " " 40	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	3
42 " " 44	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	4	0	0	0	4
Total Cases	2	9	4	18	8	41	7	48	16	6	22	70
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	23.3	---	30.8	19.4	25.3	---	39.6	17.2	----	18.1	19.6
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	---	3.2	---	11.3	2.4	5.7	---	17.9	1.2	----	1.1	2.4
Above Average Ratio	---	7.7	---	6.7	23.4	12.1	---	7.9	6.1	----	7.5	7.3
Total	---	10.9	---	18.0	25.8	17.8	---	25.8	7.3	----	8.6	9.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.8	1.6	0.4	2.2	1.3	7.3	6.5	13.8	59.1	26.8	85.9	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Clear Creek County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	7	2	1	0	3	10
12 " " 14	2	0	0	0	7	9	1	0	10	8	1	0	9	19
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	5	5	1	0	6	2	1	1	4	10
16 " " 18	2	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	4	0	4	6
20 " " 22	0	0	0	2	3	5	1	0	6	2	8	0	10	16
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	6	0	7	9
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	7	0	7	9
26 " " 28	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	5	2	0	2	29	38	7	1	46	16	32	1	49	95
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	---	14.7	15.4	27.5	---	20.2	13.6	21.4	----	17.1	18.4
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	---	2.6	2.5	11.0	---	5.9	1.1	1.4	----	1.3	3.3
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	---	5.8	4.9	12.0	---	7.7	4.4	3.2	----	3.8	5.7
Total	---	---	---	---	8.4	7.4	23.0	---	13.6	5.5	4.6	----	5.1	9.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.5	14.6	19.4	21.8	5.5	46.7	18.3	23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Clear Creek County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	5	3	2	0	5	10
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	16	17	0	0	17	7	7	0	14	31
12 " " 14	3	1	0	0	17	21	2	0	23	15	6	0	21	44
14 " " 16	2	0	0	1	9	12	1	0	13	8	8	1	17	30
16 " " 18	3	2	0	0	7	12	1	0	13	1	4	0	5	18
18 " " 20	1	1	1	0	8	11	0	0	11	2	8	0	10	21
20 " " 22	0	0	0	3	4	7	2	0	9	5	46	0	51	60
22 " " 24	3	0	0	0	3	6	1	0	7	5	17	0	22	29
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	3	4	1	0	5	1	48	0	49	54
26 " " 28	3	1	0	0	3	7	0	0	7	2	9	0	11	18
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	2	3	0	5	8
30 " " 32	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	4	0	5	7
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	5	0	9	11
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	16	5	1	6	80	108	16	2	126	60	169	1	230	356
Average Sales Ratio (%)	18.7	---	---	18.7	14.7	15.3	25.3	---	19.5	15.0	22.0	----	18.2	18.8
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	3.7	---	---	3.7	2.8	2.8	6.5	---	4.4	2.3	1.7	----	2.0	3.1
Above Average Ratio	6.3	---	---	3.0	4.8	4.8	16.7	---	9.7	8.6	3.2	----	6.1	7.9
Total	10.0	---	---	6.7	7.6	7.6	23.2	---	14.1	10.9	4.9	----	8.1	11.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.5	14.6	19.4	21.8	5.5	46.7	18.3	23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conejos County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
For the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
12 " " 14	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	3	4
16 " " 18	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	3	3	6
18 " " 20	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
20 " " 22	0	1	0	2	2	5	0	5	0	2	1	1	6
22 " " 24	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	1	0	3	5
24 " " 26	1	0	1	1	2	5	1	6	0	0	0	0	6
26 " " 28	1	0	1	1	1	4	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
28 " " 30	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	3
30 " " 32	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	3	1	1	1	2	5
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
60 and Over	1	0	1	0	3	5	0	5	0	1	1	1	6
Total Cases	4	3	7	9	18	41	5	46	7	7	9	23	69
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	27.3	24.2	24.5	23.8	---	26.3	24.1	---	----	25.5	25.7
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	---	---	6.4	3.0	4.0	4.3	---	4.7	1.6	---	----	3.6	3.8
Above Average Ratio	---	---	15.7	5.3	22.5	12.6	---	13.4	5.9	---	----	6.1	7.5
Total	---	---	22.1	8.3	26.5	16.9	---	18.1	7.5	---	----	9.7	11.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.0	2.4	1.7	3.7	5.4	14.2	6.3	20.5	68.3	0.0	10.4	78.7	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conejos County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	3
12 " " 14	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	2	1	0	3	5
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	1	2	0	0	3	5
16 " " 18	2	0	1	0	2	5	0	5	0	0	0	3	3	8
18 " " 20	0	1	2	1	2	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
20 " " 22	0	1	0	2	3	6	0	6	0	2	0	1	3	9
22 " " 24	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	4	4	1	3	0	8	12
24 " " 26	1	0	3	3	2	9	1	10	2	0	1	0	3	13
26 " " 28	2	2	1	2	2	9	0	9	3	1	0	0	4	13
28 " " 30	0	2	0	1	3	6	1	7	0	1	0	0	1	8
30 " " 32	0	0	0	4	1	5	0	5	2	4	1	0	7	12
32 " " 34	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	3	1	5	0	0	6	9
34 " " 36	0	1	1	1	1	4	0	4	0	0	2	0	2	6
36 " " 38	0	0	1	3	1	5	0	5	0	3	1	0	4	9
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
44 " " 46	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	2	4
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
60 and Over	2	1	1	2	4	10	1	11	1	1	1	0	3	14
Total Cases	7	14	13	24	33	91	8	99	18	23	11	4	56	155
Average Sales Ratio (%)	35.6	25.5	25.6	27.7	27.2	27.2	---	29.7	26.7	27.4	---	---	26.8	27.3
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	16.2	4.5	2.5	4.7	5.7	5.3	---	6.5	3.5	6.6	---	---	3.9	4.3
Above Average Ratio	18.2	9.5	13.3	8.3	18.3	13.6	---	13.9	6.3	5.9	---	---	6.2	7.8
Total	34.4	14.0	15.8	13.0	24.0	18.9	---	20.4	9.8	12.5	---	---	10.1	12.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.0	2.4	1.7	3.7	5.4	14.2	6.3	20.5	68.3	10.4	0	0	78.7	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Costilla County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	1	1	0	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0
18 " " 20	1	0	1	0	1
20 " " 22	0	0	0	0	0
22 " " 24	1	0	1	2	3
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	0
26 " " 28	0	0	0	1	1
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	1
34 " " 36	1	0	1	0	1
36 " " 38	1	0	1	1	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	1
44 " " 46	1	0	1	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	2	2
Total Cases	6	1	7	10	17
Average Sales Ratio (%)	36.3	---	32.6	34.7	34.4
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	11.3	---	7.6	11.8	11.1
Above Average Ratio	3.7	---	7.4	28.9	24.9
Total	15.0	---	15.0	40.7	36.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	7.0	19.0	79.1	98.1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Costilla County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-1962 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
14 " " 16	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	3	5
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
18 " " 20	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
20 " " 22	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
22 " " 24	1	1	2	2	3	0	0	5	7
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	5	5
26 " " 28	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
28 " " 30	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
30 " " 32	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
32 " " 34	3	0	3	0	1	1	0	2	5
34 " " 36	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	4
36 " " 38	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	4
38 " " 40	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	3
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
44 " " 46	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	3
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	5	1	6	2	6	1	0	9	15
Total Cases	20	4	24	12	14	21	2	49	73
Average Sales Ratio (%)	37.6	---	27.0	28.2	31.6	20.2	---	28.3	28.0
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio	8.0	---	7.5	4.2	7.9	3.8	---	4.9	5.1
Above Average Ratio	13.7	---	27.0	15.8	40.9	15.8	---	20.2	21.3
Total	21.7	---	34.5	20.0	48.8	19.6	---	25.1	26.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	7.0	19.0	61.0	14.5	0.7	2.9	79.1	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Crowley County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
18 " " 20	0	1	0	2	1	4	0	4	0	4
20 " " 22	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	3	1	4
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
24 " " 26	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	4	0	4
26 " " 28	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	2
28 " " 30	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	3
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	2
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2
Total Cases	0	4	1	11	11	27	3	30	7	37
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	25.2	26.3	25.7	---	29.6	17.8	19.7
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	6.4	4.5	5.5	---	8.1	2.2	3.2
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	8.3	4.9	7.6	---	35.1	3.5	8.2
Total	---	---	---	14.7	9.4	13.1	---	43.2	5.7	11.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.1	3.2	0.6	8.0	3.5	16.4	7.7	24.1	75.4	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Crowley County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	
14 " " 16	0	0	1	2	2	5	1	6	0	0	1	1	
16 " " 18	0	0	1	3	1	5	0	5	1	0	1	2	
18 " " 20	0	1	1	2	2	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	
20 " " 22	0	0	0	4	4	8	0	8	2	0	0	2	
22 " " 24	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3	3	0	1	4	
24 " " 26	0	1	0	1	4	6	0	6	2	0	0	2	
26 " " 28	0	0	0	6	1	7	1	8	1	1	0	2	
28 " " 30	0	2	0	1	2	5	0	5	2	1	0	3	
30 " " 32	0	1	0	2	3	6	0	6	2	0	1	3	
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	
34 " " 36	0	1	0	2	1	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	
36 " " 38	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	
40 " " 42	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	3	3	0	0	3	
42 " " 44	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	
44 " " 46	0	1	0	2	2	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	4	0	1	0	1	
Total Cases	0	11	4	34	31	80	5	85	21	7	5	33	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	30.3	---	26.2	25.0	26.2	---	29.0	25.8	35.2	---	25.7	
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	---	1.5	---	6.0	4.1	4.5	---	7.5	3.0	20.2	---	5.2	
Above Average Ratio	---	9.5	---	9.3	8.2	9.7	---	27.0	12.0	13.4	---	11.2	
Total	---	11.0	---	15.3	12.3	14.2	---	34.5	15.0	33.6	---	16.4	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.1	3.2	0.6	8.0	3.5	16.4	7.7	24.1	54.6	14.7	6.1	75.4	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Custer County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One- Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	1	1	2	2
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18 "	"	20	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
20 "	"	22	2	0	2	3	0	3	5
22 "	"	24	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
24 "	"	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26 "	"	28	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
28 "	"	30	1	0	1	0	4	4	5
30 "	"	32	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
32 "	"	34	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			2	0	2	1	0	1	3
Total Cases			11	0	11	7	9	16	27
Average Sales Ratio (%)			25.2	---	25.3	18.3	----	13.8	14.6
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			4.2	---	4.3	3.5	----	0.7	1.3
Above Average Ratio			52.8	---	52.7	3.2	----	4.6	5.8
Total			57.0	---	57.0	6.7	----	5.3	7.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			8.6	3.2	11.8	4.6	83.3	87.9	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Custer County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
12 " " 14	2	0	2	3	0	1	0	4	6
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	3
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	4	4
18 " " 20	3	0	3	1	0	1	0	2	5
20 " " 22	6	0	6	0	0	5	0	5	11
22 " " 24	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
24 " " 26	3	1	4	1	0	1	0	2	6
26 " " 28	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	3	6
28 " " 30	1	0	1	1	4	0	0	5	6
30 " " 32	3	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
32 " " 34	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
34 " " 36	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
38 " " 40	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
46 " " 48	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
60 and Over	2	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
Total Cases	32	3	35	8	13	15	2	38	73
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.4	---	25.3	13.2	27.9	19.3	---	13.6	14.4
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio	4.1	---	3.3	0.5	3.8	3.5	---	1.0	1.2
Above Average Ratio	14.3	---	21.8	8.8	2.0	4.9	---	11.0	11.6
Total	18.4	---	25.1	9.3	5.8	8.4	---	12.0	12.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.6	3.2	11.8	71.2	9.5	4.6	2.6	87.9	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Delta County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Commerical Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	
12 " " 14	0	1	2	1	3	7	0	0	7	1	0	5	1	7	
14 " " 16	2	1	0	1	4	8	0	0	8	1	0	6	0	7	
16 " " 18	0	1	3	2	9	15	0	0	15	0	0	3	0	3	
18 " " 20	0	3	1	2	4	10	1	0	11	4	0	1	0	5	
20 " " 22	1	1	1	1	3	7	0	0	7	4	1	1	4	10	
22 " " 24	2	2	2	0	4	10	2	0	12	4	1	3	1	9	
24 " " 26	1	0	1	4	2	8	0	0	8	2	0	1	0	3	
26 " " 28	4	3	0	1	5	13	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	1	
28 " " 30	1	1	0	0	3	5	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	
30 " " 32	0	2	0	0	4	6	0	0	6	1	0	1	0	2	
32 " " 34	1	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	3	1	1	2	0	4	
34 " " 36	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
36 " " 38	1	1	0	1	1	4	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	2	
38 " " 40	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	
40 " " 42	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
42 " " 44	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	
Total Cases	13	22	10	14	46	105	9	0	114	20	8	29	7	64	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.8	27.4	19.0	21.1	21.4	23.0	36.2	---	25.6	22.0	23.5	20.5	20.5	22.1	
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	3.6	7.7	2.7	3.6	4.4	4.5	13.0	---	6.2	2.5	8.6	6.4	0.0	3.5	
Above Average Ratio	2.1	7.6	3.5	4.7	6.9	5.3	32.6	---	10.7	3.0	26.5	5.5	5.5	5.8	
Total	5.7	15.3	6.2	8.3	11.3	9.8	45.6	---	16.9	5.5	35.1	11.9	5.5	9.3	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	8.7	32.0	12.3	2.1	46.4	43.0	6.4	3.3	0.1	52.8	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Delta County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	7	7
10 and " 12	0	0	1	2	1	4	0	0	4	5	2	3	0	10	14
12 " " 14	0	2	3	3	5	13	0	0	13	6	1	7	0	14	27
14 " " 16	2	3	0	5	7	17	0	1	18	7	0	9	1	17	35
16 " " 18	0	7	5	2	17	31	0	0	31	10	2	12	1	25	56
18 " " 20	0	9	3	7	15	34	2	0	36	11	1	7	3	22	58
20 " " 22	2	8	4	11	9	34	1	0	35	8	2	2	1	13	48
22 " " 24	5	8	3	3	8	27	2	0	29	12	2	5	6	25	54
24 " " 26	8	5	3	7	7	30	1	1	32	4	2	5	2	13	45
26 " " 28	8	6	2	5	7	28	4	0	32	2	0	5	0	7	39
28 " " 30	3	5	3	3	8	22	0	0	22	7	0	3	0	10	32
30 " " 32	2	9	0	1	8	20	1	0	21	2	2	2	3	9	30
32 " " 34	2	1	1	1	1	6	0	0	6	3	1	3	0	7	13
34 " " 36	0	2	0	1	2	5	0	0	5	2	0	0	1	3	8
36 " " 38	1	2	0	1	1	5	1	0	6	0	1	1	1	3	9
38 " " 40	0	4	0	0	2	6	0	0	6	1	1	4	0	6	12
40 " " 42	0	1	1	0	3	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	6
42 " " 44	0	2	0	1	1	4	1	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	3	5
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	4
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	2	3	0	5	10
Total Cases	33	75	29	53	103	293	23	2	318	83	26	76	22	207	525
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.9	25.0	20.6	20.9	21.8	22.9	35.9	---	25.4	20.7	20.1	21.4	23.7	20.7	22.7
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	2.2	5.5	3.3	2.5	4.3	3.7	10.4	---	4.9	4.3	7.1	5.8	4.7	4.8	4.9
Above Average Ratio	2.0	5.7	5.2	5.0	6.5	5.0	22.9	---	8.6	4.4	16.9	6.6	8.0	6.1	7.0
Total	4.2	11.2	8.5	7.5	10.8	8.7	33.3	---	13.5	8.7	24.0	12.4	12.7	10.9	11.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	8.7	32.0	12.3	2.1	46.4	43.0	6.4	3.3	0.1	52.8	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Denver County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	1	1	0	3	16	21	0	1	0	22
10 and " 12	1	1	1	4	32	39	3	3	0	45
12 " " 14	4	1	1	13	62	81	6	1	1	89
14 " " 16	2	0	2	31	96	131	28	4	2	165
16 " " 18	0	4	17	71	138	230	28	5	2	265
18 " " 20	2	10	43	105	153	313	54	4	1	372
20 " " 22	5	63	65	147	187	467	64	7	1	539
22 " " 24	29	179	95	162	151	616	73	5	0	694
24 " " 26	154	385	94	154	118	905	54	4	5	968
26 " " 28	340	462	103	130	70	1,105	66	7	3	1,181
28 " " 30	412	338	69	120	56	995	59	9	3	1,066
30 " " 32	375	289	45	65	26	800	47	7	6	860
32 " " 34	277	199	35	29	25	565	42	10	4	621
34 " " 36	177	126	21	11	15	350	29	6	4	389
36 " " 38	96	64	17	11	9	197	30	6	3	236
38 " " 40	42	32	5	11	11	101	24	7	1	133
40 " " 42	26	16	5	1	6	54	20	4	0	78
42 " " 44	5	10	3	4	4	26	9	2	2	39
44 " " 46	5	2	1	3	3	14	2	2	1	19
46 " " 48	5	1	1	1	3	11	4	3	0	18
48 " " 50	2	3	0	0	2	7	2	1	0	10
50 " " 55	1	2	0	2	1	6	3	4	0	13
55 " " 60	2	0	2	0	1	5	1	6	4	16
60 and Over	2	3	1	3	2	11	4	2	6	23
Total Cases	1,965	2,191	626	1,081	1,187	7,050	652	110	49	7,861
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.5	28.3	26.0	24.2	21.1	27.1	26.8	36.2	35.0	29.8
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	2.7	2.8	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.2	5.4	13.2	8.8	6.1
Above Average Ratio	2.6	3.1	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.1	5.5	2.8	7.8	3.8
Total	5.3	5.9	6.8	7.3	7.6	6.3	10.9	16.0	16.6	9.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	21.1	10.4	4.3	10.4	5.1	51.2	9.5	25.0	12.3	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Denver County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	1	1	0	6	32	40	2	3	2	47
10 and " 12	2	3	2	18	73	98	12	4	0	114
12 " " 14	5	5	4	40	161	215	21	2	2	240
14 " " 16	2	1	4	66	272	345	57	6	3	411
16 " " 18	5	14	31	157	362	569	95	9	5	678
18 " " 20	6	35	76	245	408	770	137	8	2	917
20 " " 22	13	137	137	352	474	1,113	170	21	2	1,306
22 " " 24	70	386	208	468	468	1,600	214	23	2	1,839
24 " " 26	366	866	223	478	358	2,291	189	13	14	2,507
26 " " 28	997	1,049	228	461	276	3,011	212	27	7	3,257
28 " " 30	1,248	923	205	373	202	2,951	193	29	13	3,186
30 " " 32	1,257	809	164	234	142	2,606	188	21	16	2,831
32 " " 34	1,003	553	129	118	108	1,911	178	25	10	2,124
34 " " 36	715	365	72	70	47	1,269	132	23	10	1,434
36 " " 38	432	156	52	56	49	745	108	16	13	882
38 " " 40	220	95	19	30	35	399	86	28	8	521
40 " " 42	114	58	17	18	25	232	70	16	5	323
42 " " 44	37	23	8	16	11	95	44	7	7	153
44 " " 46	27	13	6	11	9	66	20	9	6	101
46 " " 48	11	9	1	4	13	38	15	8	3	64
48 " " 50	5	8	2	2	7	24	5	10	4	43
50 " " 55	4	5	1	8	8	26	12	8	5	51
55 " " 60	3	3	2	3	7	18	5	13	5	41
60 and Over	11	8	3	6	9	37	12	17	21	87
Total Cases	6,554	5,525	1,594	3,240	3,556	20,469	2,177	346	165	23,157
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.1	28.8	27.3	25.2	22.1	27.9	28.2	34.6	35.8	30.3
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	2.8	3.0	3.9	3.6	4.2	3.3	5.7	9.0	7.5	5.3
Above Average Ratio	2.8	3.0	3.6	3.5	4.3	3.2	5.4	5.6	9.1	4.6
Total	5.6	6.0	7.5	7.1	8.5	6.5	11.1	14.6	16.6	9.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	21.1	10.4	4.3	10.4	5.0	51.2	9.5	25.0	12.4	98.1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Dolores County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	" 16	1	0	1	1	2
16 "	" 18	0	0	0	1	1
18 "	" 20	0	0	0	0	0
20 "	" 22	0	1	1	0	1
22 "	" 24	1	0	1	0	1
24 "	" 26	0	0	0	0	0
26 "	" 28	1	0	1	0	1
28 "	" 30	1	0	1	0	1
30 "	" 32	3	0	3	0	3
32 "	" 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 "	" 36	3	0	3	0	3
36 "	" 38	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	" 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	" 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	" 46	1	0	1	0	1
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	" 60	1	0	1	0	1
60 and Over		0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases		12	1	13	2	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)		25.7	---	25.8	----	----
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio		3.4	---	3.5	----	----
Above Average Ratio		9.2	---	9.1	----	----
Total		12.6	---	12.6	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		14.9	8.9	23.8	75.8	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Dolores County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	2	2	4
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
18 " " 20	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	3
20 " " 22	1	3	1	0	1	6	0	6	0	0	6
22 " " 24	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	4	0	0	4
26 " " 28	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	3
28 " " 30	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	3
30 " " 32	0	2	0	1	1	4	0	4	0	0	4
32 " " 34	1	1	0	0	2	4	0	4	0	0	4
34 " " 36	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	3	0	0	3
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	2
Total Cases	2	13	10	5	12	42	2	44	7	7	51
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	24.9	29.7	---	29.9	27.6	----	27.7	----	----	----
Measure of Variation ^a											
Below Average Ratio	---	3.4	8.7	---	4.6	5.4	---	5.5	----	----	----
Above Average Ratio	---	5.9	19.3	---	5.3	8.9	---	8.8	----	----	----
Total	---	9.3	28.0	---	9.9	14.3	---	14.3	----	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.2	4.9	2.6	1.8	2.4	4.9	8.8	23.7	75.8	75.8	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Douglas County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio by Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	3
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	3
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	4
16 " " 18	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
20 " " 22	1	2	0	1	4	8	1	9	2	2	0	4	13
22 " " 24	1	2	0	0	2	5	0	5	2	1	1	4	9
24 " " 26	3	1	0	0	1	5	1	6	0	4	0	4	10
26 " " 28	0	2	0	2	1	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
28 " " 30	4	1	0	0	0	5	1	6	0	3	0	3	9
30 " " 32	7	2	1	0	0	10	0	10	1	2	0	3	13
32 " " 34	8	0	0	0	1	9	0	9	0	4	1	5	14
34 " " 36	9	2	0	0	0	11	0	11	1	0	0	1	12
36 " " 38	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Total Cases	35	12	1	5	15	68	3	71	10	19	6	35	106
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.8	26.8	---	---	19.4	25.9	---	25.8	25.4	26.6	----	15.2	16.8
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	2.4	3.8	---	---	2.6	2.5	---	2.5	4.9	2.2	----	1.1	1.7
Above Average Ratio	2.5	4.2	---	---	3.3	4.3	---	4.0	9.6	6.0	----	2.3	2.0
Total	4.9	8.0	---	---	6.4	6.8	---	6.5	14.5	8.2	----	3.4	3.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.0	1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2	7.5	22.7	10.0	0.6	64.8	75.4	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Douglas County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	3	6	7
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	8	9	10
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	4	3	1	0	6	10	14
16 " " 18	1	0	1	1	5	8	0	8	0	3	0	10	13	21
18 " " 20	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	1	4	7	9
20 " " 22	1	3	1	3	4	12	1	13	1	3	3	13	20	33
22 " " 24	1	5	0	2	2	10	1	11	0	1	4	11	16	27
24 " " 26	4	4	0	0	2	10	1	11	1	1	6	19	27	38
26 " " 28	3	6	0	2	1	12	1	13	0	0	0	5	5	18
28 " " 30	5	3	0	2	0	10	2	12	0	0	1	13	14	26
30 " " 32	8	3	1	0	0	12	0	12	0	2	4	12	18	30
32 " " 34	12	0	0	0	1	13	0	13	0	1	4	12	17	30
34 " " 36	20	2	0	1	0	23	0	23	0	0	2	2	4	27
36 " " 38	4	0	0	1	0	5	0	5	0	0	3	1	4	9
38 " " 40	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	10	10
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	5
Total Cases	62	26	3	14	21	126	6	132	6	16	33	134	189	321
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.6	26.1	---	21.2	18.5	25.9	---	25.3	15.2	19.0	27.4	24.7	16.4	17.8
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	2.5	2.7	---	0.9	2.4	2.2	---	1.4	0.9	2.3	3.8	4.3	1.2	1.4
Above Average Ratio	2.6	2.9	---	7.3	5.3	4.2	---	4.3	5.8	7.0	7.4	6.7	5.9	5.6
Total	5.1	5.6	---	8.2	7.7	6.4	---	5.7	6.7	9.3	11.2	11.0	7.1	7.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.0	1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2	7.4	22.7	61.6	3.2	10.0	0.6	75.4	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	3
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20 " " 22	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
22 " " 24	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	3	2	0	2	5
24 " " 26	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
26 " " 28	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
28 " " 30	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
30 " " 32	1	0	0	3	0	4	1	5	0	0	0	5
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	3	4
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	5	1	4	7	6	23	1	24	8	4	12	36
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	27.9	22.3	25.8	---	25.7	23.3	----	23.2	24.7
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	3.1	7.3	4.4	---	4.3	3.5	----	3.5	4.3
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	7.7	29.9	9.9	---	10.0	13.7	----	13.7	11.7
Total	---	---	---	10.8	36.2	14.3	---	14.3	17.2	----	17.2	16.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.3	3.7	3.6	5.4	3.1	19.1	8.5	27.6	16.8	55.2	72.0	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	4
12 " " 14	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
14 " " 16	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	5	6
18 " " 20	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
20 " " 22	1	0	2	1	2	6	1	7	0	1	0	1	8
22 " " 24	1	0	2	2	0	5	0	5	1	2	0	3	8
24 " " 26	0	0	3	0	2	5	0	5	0	2	0	2	7
26 " " 28	2	0	1	0	2	5	0	5	1	1	0	2	7
28 " " 30	1	0	2	1	0	4	0	4	0	1	1	2	6
30 " " 32	1	2	1	3	0	7	1	8	1	1	0	2	10
32 " " 34	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	4	0	2	0	2	6
34 " " 36	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	3	4
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
40 " " 42	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
Total Cases	6	7	18	12	17	60	4	64	6	17	6	29	93
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.4	37.1	23.4	25.7	25.0	27.1	---	29.4	24.2	28.0	----	19.0	21.0
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	5.4	4.7	3.9	2.7	3.8	3.9	---	5.5	7.7	5.8	----	4.9	4.9
Above Average Ratio	0.6	4.1	5.1	11.3	21.6	9.0	---	6.7	2.8	6.2	----	9.9	9.3
Total	6.0	8.8	9.0	14.0	25.4	12.9	---	12.2	10.5	12.0	----	14.8	14.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.3	3.7	3.6	5.4	3.1	19.1	8.5	27.6	43.7	16.8	11.5	72.0	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Elbert County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	2	4
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	3
18 " " 20	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
20 " " 22	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	2
22 " " 24	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
24 " " 26	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	3	1	4
26 " " 28	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
Total Cases	1	1	1	7	2	12	4	16	9	25
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	21.5	---	22.3	---	24.1	12.7	13.3
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	3.5	---	4.5	---	4.0	0.2	0.5
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	4.7	---	4.6	---	15.2	5.2	5.5
Total	---	---	---	8.2	---	9.1	---	19.2	5.4	6.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.1	0.5	0.9	2.8	1.0	6.3	3.6	9.9	90.0	99.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Elbert County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	3
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	3	3	1	1	5	8
14 " " 16	0	0	1	3	0	4	0	0	4	6	1	1	8	12
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	3	2	1	0	3	6
18 " " 20	0	2	0	2	2	6	0	0	6	5	0	0	5	11
20 " " 22	0	0	3	1	0	4	1	0	5	3	2	1	6	11
22 " " 24	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
24 " " 26	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
26 " " 28	1	0	1	2	1	5	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
28 " " 30	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	2	0	1	3	2	0	5	0	0	1	1	6
Total Cases	2	2	9	13	13	39	5	0	44	26	8	6	40	84
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	24.8	21.2	24.1	22.4	---	---	23.9	16.3	15.4	---	16.2	16.8
Measure of Variation^a														
Below Average Ratio	---	---	5.8	5.7	5.9	5.7	---	---	4.3	1.8	1.4	---	1.7	2.0
Above Average Ratio	---	---	15.1	4.3	8.8	7.2	---	---	19.2	4.7	6.6	---	4.9	5.5
Total	---	---	20.9	10.0	14.7	12.9	---	---	23.5	6.5	8.0	---	6.6	7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	1.1	0.5	0.9	2.8	1.0	6.3	3.6	0	9.9	85.0	5.0	0	90.0	99.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

El Paso County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric.	Misc.	Rural Land	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						Land With Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	4	0	6	10	0	0	0	10	2	1	0	0	3	13
10 and " 12	2	0	1	4	29	36	0	1	1	38	0	5	1	0	6	44
12 " " 14	2	5	7	23	48	85	0	2	0	87	1	4	3	0	8	95
14 " " 16	3	6	7	35	64	115	0	0	0	115	0	5	1	0	6	121
16 " " 18	6	27	12	39	86	170	1	1	0	172	1	2	3	0	6	178
18 " " 20	29	58	18	33	71	209	0	0	0	209	0	7	1	0	8	217
20 " " 22	50	114	21	20	57	262	2	3	2	269	1	5	3	0	9	278
22 " " 24	161	127	14	9	44	355	1	3	0	359	0	3	0	0	3	362
24 " " 26	259	120	15	8	27	429	1	3	1	434	0	6	0	0	6	440
26 " " 28	358	78	8	5	23	472	12	3	0	487	1	4	0	0	5	492
28 " " 30	326	38	3	5	16	388	6	0	1	395	0	1	0	0	1	396
30 " " 32	228	19	6	2	6	261	8	1	3	273	1	1	0	0	2	275
32 " " 34	144	12	0	2	3	161	6	2	1	170	0	1	0	0	1	171
34 " " 36	71	10	0	2	5	88	5	2	1	96	0	0	0	0	0	96
36 " " 38	21	2	1	1	2	27	6	2	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	35
38 " " 40	12	3	0	0	5	20	2	1	0	23	0	1	0	0	1	24
40 " " 42	3	2	0	3	2	10	1	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
42 " " 44	3	2	0	3	1	9	3	1	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	13
44 " " 46	2	1	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
46 " " 48	1	0	1	1	4	7	0	1	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	5
55 " " 60	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
60 and Over	1	1	1	0	2	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Total Cases	1,683	626	119	197	505	3,130	55	30	10	3,225	7	46	13	0	66	3,291
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.8	23.6	21.2	18.1	19.0	23.4	30.8	30.6	24.8	24.8	16.1	19.9	16.0	---	18.9	23.7
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.5	2.6	3.4	2.8	3.7	2.8	3.3	8.3	3.3	3.7	6.3	5.3	2.5	---	5.0	4.0
Above Average Ratio	2.8	2.7	3.5	3.3	3.8	3.2	4.9	6.9	6.9	3.9	9.4	4.9	4.5	---	5.4	4.1
Total	5.3	5.3	6.9	6.1	7.5	6.0	8.2	15.2	10.2	7.6	15.7	10.2	7.0	---	10.4	8.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.1	7.7	2.3	7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1	15.5	3.2	82.6	1.6	12.1	1.7	0.4	15.8	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

El Paso County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	With Impts.						Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	4	4	10	18	0	3	1	22	3	0	1	1	5	27	
10 and " 12	4	1	1	15	64	85	1	2	0	88	2	0	14	3	19	107	
12 " " 14	5	10	14	49	113	191	0	6	0	197	4	0	11	6	21	218	
14 " " 16	10	18	19	80	168	295	0	0	0	295	2	1	11	1	15	310	
16 " " 18	23	54	25	98	186	386	2	6	0	394	1	2	11	5	19	413	
18 " " 20	68	114	34	67	172	455	0	5	1	461	2	1	16	2	21	482	
20 " " 22	124	190	38	56	143	551	3	11	3	568	5	1	13	5	24	592	
22 " " 24	313	223	33	29	113	711	4	9	1	725	1	2	17	1	21	746	
24 " " 26	561	226	28	28	77	920	4	4	2	930	1	0	16	2	19	949	
26 " " 28	869	179	18	16	53	1,135	20	6	1	1,162	2	0	17	1	20	1,182	
28 " " 30	888	102	4	18	40	1,052	9	2	2	1,065	0	0	9	1	10	1,075	
30 " " 32	660	57	6	8	27	758	21	2	4	785	1	0	3	0	4	789	
32 " " 34	475	36	4	6	18	539	15	3	1	558	0	0	3	0	3	561	
34 " " 36	263	28	0	5	21	317	10	7	1	335	0	0	3	0	3	338	
36 " " 38	115	12	1	3	13	144	10	3	1	158	0	0	2	0	2	160	
38 " " 40	72	14	2	2	11	101	10	6	0	117	1	0	2	1	4	121	
40 " " 42	30	9	1	4	15	59	8	2	0	69	1	0	1	1	3	72	
42 " " 44	7	3	1	6	2	19	6	2	1	28	0	0	3	0	3	31	
44 " " 46	5	3	0	1	3	12	4	0	0	16	1	0	1	0	2	18	
46 " " 48	2	0	1	1	5	9	1	2	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	13	
48 " " 50	3	1	0	1	2	7	3	1	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	
50 " " 55	0	1	1	3	1	6	3	2	0	11	0	0	0	1	1	12	
55 " " 60	1	0	0	0	3	4	1	3	0	8	0	1	0	1	2	10	
60 and Over	1	2	1	3	4	11	1	2	0	14	0	0	2	0	2	16	
Total Cases	4,449	1,283	236	503	1,264	7,785	136	89	21	8,031	27	8	156	32	223	8,254	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.6	24.3	21.2	18.8	19.3	24.1	33.1	27.3	27.2	25.0	16.4	19.0	21.8	16.9	20.4	24.1	
Measure of Variation ^a																	
Below Average Ratio	2.6	3.0	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.1	5.1	7.3	4.7	3.9	3.5	2.0	5.4	3.6	4.8	4.1	
Above Average Ratio	2.9	3.1	4.4	3.8	4.3	3.4	5.5	9.2	6.3	4.6	8.1	4.0	5.0	7.1	5.7	4.8	
Total	5.5	6.1	7.9	7.2	8.1	6.5	10.6	16.5	11.0	8.5	11.6	6.0	10.4	10.7	10.5	8.9	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.1	7.7	2.3	7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1	15.5	3.2	82.5	1.6	0.4	12.1	1.7	15.8	98.3	

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Fremont County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48									
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	0	5	1	0	1	2	7
12 " " 14	0	1	0	3	12	16	2	0	18	0	1	1	2	20
14 " " 16	0	0	0	5	9	14	1	1	16	0	1	0	1	17
16 " " 18	1	4	2	6	7	20	0	0	20	0	4	1	5	25
18 " " 20	1	0	3	4	12	20	0	1	21	0	6	0	6	27
20 " " 22	4	5	2	3	12	26	1	0	27	1	3	1	5	32
22 " " 24	9	4	2	3	13	31	0	0	31	0	1	0	1	32
24 " " 26	9	6	0	4	6	25	1	0	26	1	3	0	4	30
26 " " 28	11	4	0	0	3	18	0	1	19	0	0	1	1	20
28 " " 30	12	6	1	0	5	24	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	24
30 " " 32	15	0	0	0	3	18	0	1	19	1	0	0	1	20
32 " " 34	13	2	0	0	1	16	0	0	16	2	1	0	3	19
34 " " 36	6	0	0	0	2	8	1	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
36 " " 38	1	2	0	1	1	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
38 " " 40	3	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	4	0	1	1	2	6
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
44 " " 46	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
60 and Over	1	0	0	0	2	3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Total Cases	88	35	10	31	95	259	10	5	274	6	21	7	34	308
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.1	25.1	20.6	18.4	20.0	22.0	20.9	---	21.9	28.2	20.3	---	20.5	21.3
Measure of Variation^a														
Below Average Ratio	3.5	3.6	2.3	2.9	4.3	3.7	5.9	---	4.5	7.2	2.7	---	3.1	3.9
Above Average Ratio	3.5	3.7	1.9	4.4	4.8	4.2	31.6	---	10.2	4.3	3.2	---	7.7	9.2
Total	7.0	7.3	4.2	7.3	9.1	7.9	37.5	---	14.7	11.5	5.9	---	10.8	13.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	10.6	5.6	1.9	6.8	18.7	43.6	11.9	3.9	59.3	7.8	27.3	3.9	39.0	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Fremont County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	3	9	12	0	1	0	13	1	1	1	1	4	17
12 " " 14	0	1	0	7	28	36	0	3	0	39	1	0	7	2	10	49
14 " " 16	0	1	1	11	18	41	0	2	1	44	0	1	6	0	7	51
16 " " 18	1	7	4	15	26	53	1	0	0	54	0	2	10	2	14	68
18 " " 20	3	4	4	11	27	49	1	3	0	53	5	0	13	0	18	71
20 " " 22	6	6	2	11	31	56	0	2	0	58	2	0	11	1	14	72
22 " " 24	17	10	3	3	31	64	0	0	1	65	6	1	6	2	15	80
24 " " 26	21	15	2	6	16	60	0	4	0	64	1	0	8	0	9	73
26 " " 28	35	10	1	3	8	57	2	2	0	61	0	0	2	3	5	66
28 " " 30	43	12	1	3	9	68	1	1	0	70	1	0	1	1	3	73
30 " " 32	46	3	1	0	9	59	0	0	1	60	3	1	3	1	8	68
32 " " 34	36	3	2	0	7	48	3	2	0	53	3	0	3	0	6	59
34 " " 36	21	0	0	2	7	30	0	1	0	31	0	0	1	1	2	33
36 " " 38	6	2	0	1	3	12	0	1	0	13	1	0	1	0	2	15
38 " " 40	9	2	0	0	5	16	0	0	0	16	0	0	3	1	4	20
40 " " 42	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	2	5
42 " " 44	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	4	6
44 " " 46	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	3	5
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
50 " " 55	0	1	0	2	1	4	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
55 " " 60	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
60 and Over	1	0	0	0	5	6	0	3	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
Total Cases	247	81	21	80	255	684	9	28	3	724	25	8	82	17	132	856
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.6	25.8	22.1	19.4	20.2	22.5	29.2	22.7	---	22.6	24.4	15.5	21.6	24.1	21.3	22.1
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.8	3.6	4.0	3.6	4.3	3.5	4.6	4.0	---	3.9	4.7	0.5	4.3	6.9	3.7	3.9
Above Average Ratio	3.1	3.3	3.7	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.0	13.3	---	6.2	6.8	21.5	4.3	7.9	7.0	6.5
Total	5.9	6.9	7.7	7.5	8.5	7.8	8.6	17.3	---	10.1	11.5	22.0	8.6	14.8	10.7	10.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.6	5.6	1.9	6.8	18.7	43.6	0.9	11.9	2.9	59.3	7.8	3.6	27.3	0.3	39.0	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Garfield County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	1	1	3	6
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
14 " " 16	0	1	0	1	2	4	0	4	0	1	2	3	7
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	4	2	0	1	3	7
18 " " 20	1	2	1	0	3	7	0	7	1	1	0	2	9
20 " " 22	1	2	2	0	3	8	0	8	3	1	1	5	13
22 " " 24	4	2	1	1	2	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	10
24 " " 26	2	8	0	2	0	12	1	13	0	0	1	1	14
26 " " 28	7	2	0	0	2	11	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
28 " " 30	5	1	1	0	0	7	0	7	1	1	1	3	10
30 " " 32	2	1	0	0	1	4	0	4	1	1	0	2	6
32 " " 34	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
36 " " 38	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	3
38 " " 40	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	1	1	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Total Cases	26	21	7	6	23	83	5	88	11	8	7	26	114
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	24.9	22.7	21.2	19.4	23.3	----	24.3	24.1	19.2	----	21.8	22.8
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	2.9	2.7	1.9	4.2	3.6	3.1	----	0.0	6.3	5.2	----	5.3	3.2
Above Average Ratio	2.4	1.9	9.3	4.3	6.4	4.4	----	13.9	6.4	10.8	----	7.7	10.1
Total	5.3	4.6	11.2	8.5	10.0	7.5	----	13.9	12.7	16.0	----	13.0	13.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.2	5.0	1.9	2.7	6.7	25.5	16.9	42.4	39.1	7.2	10.2	56.5	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Garfield County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
10 and " 12	0	2	1	0	7	10	0	0	10	1	1	2	4	8	18
12 " " 14	0	2	1	3	8	14	1	0	15	0	1	2	3	6	21
14 " " 16	0	3	0	2	14	19	1	0	20	1	1	2	1	5	25
16 " " 18	1	3	0	4	6	14	2	0	16	6	0	2	3	11	27
18 " " 20	1	6	3	2	10	22	1	0	23	3	1	2	2	8	31
20 " " 22	3	5	3	1	6	18	1	0	19	5	2	5	2	14	33
22 " " 24	9	3	2	3	3	20	3	0	23	0	0	1	0	1	24
24 " " 26	8	13	1	2	1	25	2	1	28	0	1	3	2	6	34
26 " " 28	12	9	1	1	3	25	0	0	25	0	0	1	0	1	26
28 " " 30	18	4	2	2	1	27	0	0	27	3	1	4	1	9	36
30 " " 32	11	3	0	0	1	15	2	0	17	2	0	2	1	5	22
32 " " 34	5	5	1	0	2	13	0	0	13	1	0	0	1	2	15
34 " " 36	6	2	2	0	2	12	0	0	12	1	0	2	0	3	15
36 " " 38	2	2	1	1	1	7	0	1	8	2	0	0	2	4	12
38 " " 40	4	0	1	1	0	6	2	0	8	1	0	1	0	2	10
40 " " 42	1	2	0	2	1	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	1	7
42 " " 44	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
44 " " 46	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
60 and Over	1	1	0	0	1	3	4	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	8
Total Cases	33	66	19	26	73	267	26	2	295	30	8	30	23	91	386
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.3	25.1	19.7	19.5	17.5	22.4	28.1	---	24.3	23.9	17.3	23.5	19.1	22.5	23.2
Measure of Variation^a															
Below Average Ratio	3.1	4.9	2.1	3.3	3.7	3.2	5.8	---	4.1	6.4	3.3	6.0	5.9	5.9	5.1
Above Average Ratio	3.1	5.2	12.4	7.0	4.4	5.5	27.7	---	12.7	9.1	5.9	5.7	9.6	8.3	10.1
Total	6.2	10.1	14.5	12.3	8.1	8.7	33.5	---	16.8	15.5	9.2	11.7	15.5	14.2	15.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	9.2	5.0	1.9	2.7	6.7	25.5	15.6	1.3	42.4	39.1	5.8	7.2	4.4	56.5	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gilpin County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	1	0	1	2	18	0	20	21
12 " " 14	2	1	3	3	15	0	18	21
14 " " 16	1	0	1	4	5	0	9	10
16 " " 18	1	0	1	5	6	0	11	12
18 " " 20	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	3
20 " " 22	1	1	2	3	5	0	8	10
22 " " 24	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	5
24 " " 26	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4
26 " " 28	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
28 " " 30	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
46 " " 48	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Total Cases	11	3	14	34	52	0	86	100
Average Sales Ratio (%)	17.3	---	17.8	20.2	13.1	----	15.5	15.9
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio	3.8	---	3.7	4.4	1.7	----	2.6	2.8
Above Average Ratio	23.7	---	23.0	4.6	3.2	----	3.7	7.1
Total	27.5	---	26.7	9.0	4.9	----	6.3	9.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.4	7.7	18.1	30.7	38.8	11.4	80.9	99.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gilpin County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	1	0	0	1	0	7	1	8	9
10 and " 12	2	1	0	3	2	68	0	70	73
12 " " 14	6	3	0	9	5	29	0	34	43
14 " " 16	3	0	0	3	10	13	0	23	26
16 " " 18	4	0	0	4	6	12	0	18	22
18 " " 20	3	0	0	3	6	4	0	10	13
20 " " 22	2	1	0	3	13	12	0	25	28
22 " " 24	1	0	0	1	10	0	0	10	11
24 " " 26	3	0	0	3	8	3	0	11	14
26 " " 28	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
28 " " 30	1	0	0	1	9	1	0	10	11
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	3
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
36 " " 38	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	4
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
40 " " 42	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
44 " " 46	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
46 " " 48	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	1	0	1	3	3	0	6	7
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
Total Cases	34	9	0	43	86	157	1	244	287
Average Sales Ratio (%)	17.1	17.4	---	17.2	22.0	12.2	----	15.2	15.5
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio	3.4	4.6	---	3.9	4.5	1.3	----	2.3	2.5
Above Average Ratio	13.9	31.0	---	20.7	7.0	3.9	----	4.8	7.7
Total	17.3	35.6	---	24.6	11.5	5.2	----	7.1	10.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.4	6.7	1.0	18.1	30.7	38.8	11.4	80.9	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Grand County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	3
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	1	0	1	4
14 " " 16	0	1	1	1	0	3	1	0	4	0	5	1	6	10
16 " " 18	1	1	0	2	1	5	1	0	6	2	1	0	3	9
18 " " 20	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	4	0	6	7
20 " " 22	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	1	5	0	6	9
22 " " 24	1	1	0	1	0	3	1	0	4	2	2	0	4	8
24 " " 26	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
26 " " 28	0	1	2	2	1	6	0	0	6	1	0	0	1	7
28 " " 30	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
30 " " 32	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
32 " " 34	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	4
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
36 " " 38	2	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
40 " " 42	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Total Cases	10	9	4	12	2	37	8	1	46	14	21	1	36	82
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.2	21.7	24.1	23.0	---	24.6	22.4	---	23.7	23.2	18.3	----	22.8	23.4
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	4.2	3.2	3.3	6.0	---	4.4	6.4	---	5.4	4.7	3.4	----	4.6	5.1
Above Average Ratio	10.3	5.8	10.1	11.0	---	8.6	23.4	---	14.9	7.8	2.8	----	7.5	12.7
Total	14.5	9.0	13.4	17.0	---	13.0	29.8	---	20.3	12.5	6.2	----	12.1	17.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2	26.8	18.4	0.1	45.2	17.6	1.1	34.0	52.7	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Grand County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Rural Land			All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						Misc. With Impts.	Without Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	3	0	2	4	0	6	9	
12 " " 14	0	0	1	2	1	4	2	1	7	0	2	7	0	9	16	
14 " " 16	0	1	1	2	0	4	2	0	6	1	0	9	0	10	16	
16 " " 18	1	3	0	3	3	10	2	0	12	2	4	6	0	12	24	
18 " " 20	1	2	3	1	1	8	2	0	10	0	6	4	0	10	20	
20 " " 22	2	2	0	3	0	7	1	0	8	0	1	12	1	14	22	
22 " " 24	3	2	1	2	0	8	2	0	10	0	5	8	0	13	23	
24 " " 26	6	0	1	1	0	8	1	0	9	1	2	7	0	10	19	
26 " " 28	3	2	4	2	3	14	1	0	15	0	1	2	0	3	18	
28 " " 30	7	2	1	2	0	12	1	0	13	0	1	0	0	1	14	
30 " " 32	2	2	1	1	1	7	1	0	8	0	3	1	1	5	13	
32 " " 34	2	0	2	3	0	7	0	0	7	0	3	4	0	7	14	
34 " " 36	1	0	0	2	2	5	2	0	7	0	1	0	0	1	8	
36 " " 38	2	1	2	1	1	7	2	0	9	1	1	0	0	2	11	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	
40 " " 42	1	0	2	1	0	4	1	0	5	0	0	3	0	3	8	
42 " " 44	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	5	
55 " " 60	3	0	1	0	0	4	2	0	6	0	1	1	0	2	8	
60 and Over	0	0	2	2	1	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	6	
Total Cases	36	17	23	32	15	123	26	2	151	6	33	70	3	112	263	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.5	22.7	28.1	22.7	26.5	25.5	24.5	---	25.1	15.6	21.5	19.6	---	18.1	20.8	
Measure of Variation^a																
Below Average Ratio	3.2	4.5	4.6	5.4	9.3	4.5	6.0	---	5.2	0.6	3.4	4.2	---	1.8	3.1	
Above Average Ratio	5.5	6.1	1.7	11.3	8.7	7.8	14.0	---	10.4	9.4	9.0	5.1	---	9.8	10.0	
Total	3.7	10.6	6.3	16.7	18.0	12.3	20.0	---	15.6	10.0	12.4	9.3	---	11.6	13.1	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	10.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2	26.7	18.4	0.1	45.2	29.6	17.6	1.1	4.4	52.7	97.9	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gunnison County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48							
Under 10	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	4	4	6
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	5	6	0	6	1	1	2	8
14 " " 16	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	1	0	1	3
16 " " 18	0	2	0	1	3	6	0	6	0	1	1	7
18 " " 20	1	0	0	1	2	4	0	4	1	0	1	5
20 " " 22	2	1	0	1	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
22 " " 24	6	0	0	0	1	7	0	7	1	1	2	9
24 " " 26	6	1	0	0	1	8	1	9	1	0	1	10
26 " " 28	4	1	0	0	0	5	0	5	1	0	1	6
28 " " 30	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
30 " " 32	4	0	0	0	1	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
42 " " 44	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	28	5	1	5	18	57	3	60	8	7	15	75
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.2	---	---	---	14.7	18.3	----	19.3	23.0	----	14.3	17.1
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	2.9	---	---	---	2.1	3.5	----	4.1	6.0	----	1.9	3.2
Above Average Ratio	4.3	---	---	---	4.8	3.9	----	8.6	7.0	----	4.0	6.5
Total	7.2	---	---	---	6.9	7.4	----	12.7	13.0	----	5.9	9.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.6	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8	20.6	15.3	36.0	7.5	55.1	62.7	98.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gunnison County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	5
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	10	10	1	0	11	1	0	5	0	6	17
12 " " 14	1	0	1	2	9	13	0	0	13	1	3	3	1	8	21
14 " " 16	1	1	2	1	9	14	0	0	14	2	1	2	0	5	19
16 " " 18	0	3	0	3	6	12	0	0	12	0	3	3	0	6	18
18 " " 20	2	1	1	2	7	13	1	1	15	0	1	0	1	2	17
20 " " 22	3	2	1	1	8	15	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	15
22 " " 24	9	1	1	0	6	17	1	0	18	0	1	2	0	3	21
24 " " 26	14	3	0	0	3	20	1	0	21	0	2	0	0	2	23
26 " " 28	15	2	0	1	0	18	1	0	19	1	1	0	0	2	21
28 " " 30	8	3	0	1	3	15	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	15
30 " " 32	8	1	0	0	3	12	0	0	12	0	0	1	0	1	13
32 " " 34	2	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	5
34 " " 36	1	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	5
36 " " 38	1	1	0	1	2	5	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	6
38 " " 40	1	0	1	0	2	4	1	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	6
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	2	4	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
42 " " 44	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	3
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Total Cases	68	18	10	13	78	187	11	1	199	6	15	20	2	43	242
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.4	23.5	24.0	20.3	16.9	20.7	25.5	---	22.3	15.8	21.3	16.0	----	16.5	18.2
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	2.3	4.5	9.5	5.8	3.2	4.2	2.0	---	3.4	2.8	5.8	4.4	----	3.4	3.4
Above Average Ratio	3.1	4.8	11.0	2.2	8.1	5.7	15.7	---	9.2	11.2	5.2	7.0	----	4.6	5.9
Total	5.4	9.3	20.5	8.0	11.3	9.9	17.7	---	12.6	14.0	11.0	11.4	----	8.0	9.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.6	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8	20.7	13.5	1.8	36.0	8.3	7.5	4.2	42.6	62.6	98.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0
16 " " 18	1	0	1
18 " " 20	1	0	1
20 " " 22	1	0	1
22 " " 24	2	0	2
24 " " 26	0	0	0
26 " " 28	0	0	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1
32 " " 34	1	0	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0
Total Cases	7	0	7
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.5	----	----
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	6.0	----	----
Above Average Ratio	3.6	----	----
Total	9.6	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.9	69.8	96.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0
16 " " 18	3	0	3
18 " " 20	1	0	1
20 " " 22	2	0	2
22 " " 24	2	0	2
24 " " 26	3	0	3
26 " " 28	1	0	1
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	3	0	3
32 " " 34	2	0	2
34 " " 36	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0
Total Cases	19	0	19
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.4	----	----
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	2.9	----	----
Above Average Ratio	8.4	----	----
Total	11.3	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.9	69.8	96.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Huerfano County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	1	3
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	3
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	2	5
20 " " 22	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	4	0	4
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	1	4
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	3
26 " " 28	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
28 " " 30	1	0	0	2	1	4	0	4	0	4
30 " " 32	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	3
32 " " 34	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	3	1	4
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	4	0	4
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	2	1	1	12	22	38	1	39	12	51
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	32.7	23.9	28.4	----	28.4	14.7	18.3
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	3.7	4.9	4.1	----	4.1	1.4	2.4
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	14.3	6.6	10.2	----	10.2	20.7	17.8
Total	---	---	---	18.0	11.5	14.3	----	14.3	22.1	20.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.2	2.1	2.3	15.5	11.0	32.1	19.0	51.1	48.1	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Huerfano County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4	6
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	1	3	2	1	7	9
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	3	5	0	0	5	2	1	1	0	4	9
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	0	5	1	0	1	0	2	7
16 " " 18	0	1	0	4	2	7	0	0	7	0	2	1	0	3	10
18 " " 20	0	0	0	5	6	11	0	0	11	1	1	2	0	4	15
20 " " 22	0	0	0	4	6	10	1	0	11	2	1	0	0	3	14
22 " " 24	0	0	1	0	4	5	0	0	5	1	0	1	0	2	7
24 " " 26	0	0	2	2	4	8	2	0	10	1	1	0	0	2	12
26 " " 28	0	2	2	5	3	12	0	0	12	0	0	1	0	1	13
28 " " 30	2	0	0	5	3	10	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	1	11
30 " " 32	1	1	1	3	7	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	13
32 " " 34	0	1	0	4	4	9	1	0	10	0	0	2	0	2	12
34 " " 36	0	0	1	2	1	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
36 " " 38	0	1	0	4	3	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
38 " " 40	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
40 " " 42	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
42 " " 44	0	0	0	3	1	4	0	0	4	0	1	1	0	2	6
44 " " 46	0	1	0	2	1	4	2	0	6	0	1	1	0	2	8
46 " " 48	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	3
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
60 and Over	1	1	0	7	3	12	1	1	14	0	0	1	0	1	15
Total Cases	4	8	11	59	63	145	11	1	157	12	14	15	3	44	201
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.8	28.9	32.0	29.9	24.8	28.0	43.3	---	32.2	18.7	15.4	21.5	---	18.8	24.0
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	0.8	1.9	7.2	9.0	5.2	6.7	15.9	---	9.2	5.7	3.7	6.0	---	5.6	7.0
Above Average Ratio	27.0	12.1	5.6	12.9	8.8	11.3	7.9	---	10.3	5.3	13.6	19.1	---	7.1	8.3
Total	27.8	14.0	12.8	21.9	14.0	18.0	23.8	---	19.5	11.0	17.3	25.1	---	12.7	15.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.2	2.1	2.3	15.5	11.0	32.1	18.6	0.4	51.1	39.9	1.4	5.9	0.9	48.1	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One- Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0
16 " " 18	2	0	2	0	2
18 " " 20	2	0	2	0	2
20 " " 22	0	0	0	0	0
22 " " 24	1	0	1	0	1
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	0
26 " " 28	1	0	1	0	1
28 " " 30	3	0	3	0	3
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	1
34 " " 36	0	1	1	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	10	1	11	1	12
Average Sales Ratio (%)	19.6	---	19.6	----	----
Measure of Variation^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.1	---	2.1	----	----
Above Average Ratio	3.8	---	3.8	----	----
Total	5.9	---	5.9	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	1	0	1	1	2
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	0	0
16 "	"	18	4	0	4	0	4
18 "	"	20	3	0	3	0	3
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	0	0
22 "	"	24	4	0	4	0	4
24 "	"	26	1	0	1	0	1
26 "	"	28	3	1	4	0	4
28 "	"	30	5	0	5	0	5
30 "	"	32	1	1	2	0	2
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	1	1
34 "	"	36	0	2	2	0	2
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	1	1
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	1	1	0	1
Total Cases			22	5	27	3	30
Average Sales Ratio (%)			23.2	---	28.9	----	----
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			1.3	---	5.6	----	----
Above Average Ratio			4.2	---	6.3	----	----
Total			5.5	---	11.9	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land				All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages					Remote From Denver		Near Denver				
											With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	2	3	3	15	2	25	0	0	0	25	26	0	9	0	0	35	60
10 and " 12	3	2	5	10	8	28	0	0	0	28	23	4	8	15	0	50	78
12 " " 14	5	11	5	8	5	34	1	0	0	35	19	14	7	17	0	57	92
14 " " 16	5	27	13	11	8	64	0	0	0	64	16	13	12	7	1	49	113
16 " " 18	5	19	15	19	12	70	0	1	0	71	25	12	16	14	1	68	139
18 " " 20	14	43	31	23	13	124	1	0	1	126	12	8	11	7	0	38	164
20 " " 22	30	74	22	9	6	141	0	2	0	143	14	7	17	9	0	47	190
22 " " 24	98	103	10	8	4	223	2	1	0	226	10	2	31	9	0	52	278
24 " " 26	189	97	10	3	1	300	2	1	0	303	6	7	44	2	0	59	362
26 " " 28	217	58	4	3	0	282	10	0	0	292	8	6	70	3	0	87	379
28 " " 30	208	38	2	3	1	252	9	0	0	261	1	3	83	2	0	89	350
30 " " 32	177	20	6	1	2	206	10	2	0	218	4	1	86	1	0	92	310
32 " " 34	149	12	3	2	2	168	5	1	0	174	4	4	55	2	0	65	239
34 " " 36	42	5	1	1	1	50	1	2	0	53	3	2	30	1	0	36	89
36 " " 38	16	6	0	1	0	23	0	0	0	23	3	2	12	1	0	18	41
38 " " 40	7	0	0	1	0	8	1	0	0	9	1	0	4	0	0	5	14
40 " " 42	6	3	0	0	1	10	2	0	0	12	1	1	2	1	0	5	17
42 " " 44	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	2	3	0	4	0	9	13
44 " " 46	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
50 " " 55	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	2	2	2	1	0	7	10
Total Cases	1,177	525	132	118	66	2,018	45	12	1	2,076	184	92	500	96	2	874	2,950
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.2	23.6	19.9	17.0	17.7	25.2	29.6	30.9	---	26.1	17.1	18.2	28.3	17.2	---	21.3	25.3
Measure of Variation ^a																	
Below Average Ratio	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.9	3.3	2.9	2.6	8.9	---	3.7	5.4	3.4	3.7	4.1	---	4.5	3.7
Above Average Ratio	3.0	2.9	2.7	3.6	2.8	3.0	2.2	4.1	---	3.1	5.5	8.5	3.3	5.5	---	4.8	3.5
Total	5.8	5.8	5.7	7.5	6.1	5.9	4.8	13.0	---	6.8	10.9	11.9	7.0	9.6	---	9.3	7.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	84.3	4.3	0.5	5.5	0.9	2.4	13.5	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land				All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48							Remote From Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.	Near Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	3	7	6	27	4	47	0	0	0	47	1	44	35	12	56	1	149	196
10 and " 12	3	8	15	28	24	78	0	2	0	80	0	66	17	13	25	0	121	201
12 " " 14	7	24	10	25	18	84	1	0	1	86	0	64	35	12	33	0	144	230
14 " " 16	8	48	27	51	21	155	2	4	0	161	2	59	28	16	20	1	126	287
16 " " 18	14	48	35	51	27	175	3	6	0	184	2	69	21	22	30	0	144	328
18 " " 20	36	109	65	58	42	310	2	3	1	316	0	52	30	29	13	0	124	440
20 " " 22	80	156	58	40	26	360	2	4	0	366	0	45	29	37	20	0	131	497
22 " " 24	239	206	36	27	10	518	9	4	0	531	1	30	25	66	10	0	132	663
24 " " 26	498	259	27	23	8	815	12	5	0	832	0	28	20	88	12	0	148	980
26 " " 28	624	176	26	13	7	846	25	4	0	875	0	24	15	129	6	0	174	1,049
28 " " 30	691	132	14	10	6	853	30	2	0	885	0	20	13	159	4	0	196	1,081
30 " " 32	517	71	14	4	2	608	27	6	1	642	0	18	10	153	4	0	185	827
32 " " 34	421	39	5	7	4	476	18	2	0	496	0	18	8	119	5	0	150	646
34 " " 36	174	17	1	4	3	199	11	6	0	216	0	5	5	54	2	0	66	282
36 " " 38	65	16	0	2	1	84	3	4	0	91	0	7	4	30	2	0	43	134
38 " " 40	25	4	1	1	1	32	4	1	0	37	0	7	0	14	2	0	23	60
40 " " 42	10	9	2	2	3	26	6	0	0	32	0	6	5	9	1	0	21	53
42 " " 44	13	3	3	1	0	20	0	1	0	21	0	7	1	0	4	0	12	33
44 " " 46	3	4	2	2	0	11	1	1	0	13	0	2	4	1	2	0	9	22
46 " " 48	2	1	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	4	2	4	0	0	10	15
48 " " 50	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	4	0	4	1	1	1	0	7	11
50 " " 55	1	3	1	0	0	5	0	1	0	6	0	2	5	1	8	0	16	22
55 " " 60	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	5	0	1	1	1	0	0	3	8
60 and Over	0	3	1	0	0	4	0	1	1	6	0	7	9	4	3	0	23	29
Total Cases	3,438	1,344	352	376	207	5,717	156	59	5	5,937	6	589	323	974	263	2	2,157	8,094
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.6	24.4	20.8	18.0	18.2	25.8	30.0	27.2	---	26.5	15.7	18.2	17.7	28.6	14.6	---	20.4	25.5
Measure of Variation ^a																		
Below Average Ratio	2.7	3.2	3.1	3.5	3.7	2.9	3.4	7.4	---	4.0	1.2	5.0	4.1	3.6	3.8	---	3.7	4.0
Above Average Ratio	2.9	3.2	4.1	4.1	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.6	---	4.0	1.8	6.7	8.6	3.3	7.4	---	4.9	4.1
Total	5.6	6.4	7.2	7.6	7.0	6.1	5.8	15.0	---	8.0	3.0	11.7	12.7	6.9	11.2	---	8.6	8.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	84.4	2.0	4.2	0.5	5.5	0.9	0.4	13.5	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kiowa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One- Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 "	"	18	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
18 "	"	20	3	0	3	1	0	1	4
20 "	"	22	1	0	1	2	0	2	3
22 "	"	24	2	0	2	1	1	2	4
24 "	"	26	2	1	3	1	0	1	4
26 "	"	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28 "	"	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			1	1	2	0	0	0	2
Total Cases			11	2	13	6	1	7	20
Average Sales Ratio (%)			24.3	-----	24.6	20.7	-----	20.7	22.1
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			5.0	-----	5.3	1.7	-----	1.7	3.1
Above Average Ratio			6.6	-----	6.3	2.3	-----	2.3	1.6
Total			11.6	-----	11.6	4.0	-----	4.0	4.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			7.5	12.6	20.0	32.1	47.4	79.5	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kiowa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	3	1	4	4
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	3	4	4
16 " " 18	1	0	1	4	1	5	6
18 " " 20	4	0	4	2	0	2	6
20 " " 22	1	1	2	2	0	2	4
22 " " 24	6	0	6	4	1	5	11
24 " " 26	5	1	6	3	0	3	9
26 " " 28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28 " " 30	2	1	3	0	0	0	3
30 " " 32	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
32 " " 34	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	2	1	3	0	0	0	3
Total Cases	29	5	34	19	6	25	59
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.7	----	26.5	17.3	----	15.3	16.7
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	4.5	----	3.9	0.9	----	0.4	1.1
Above Average Ratio	6.0	----	4.2	5.8	----	5.8	5.3
Total	10.5	----	8.1	6.7	----	6.2	6.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.5	12.6	20.0	32.1	47.4	79.5	99.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kit Carson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4
12 " " 14	0	0	2	2	2	6	0	2	0	2	8
14 " " 16	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	1	1	2	6
16 " " 18	0	1	0	5	1	7	0	1	1	2	9
18 " " 20	0	4	1	4	1	10	0	0	1	1	11
20 " " 22	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
22 " " 24	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
24 " " 26	1	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
26 " " 28	1	1	0	2	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
28 " " 30	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
30 " " 32	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
32 " " 34	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	3
Total Cases	2	12	4	25	8	51	5	9	5	14	70
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	25.0	---	19.7	20.2	21.6	----	27.1	13.3	----	16.2
Measure of Variation ^a											
Below Average Ratio	---	6.0	---	3.6	5.0	3.1	----	3.6	3.1	----	3.9
Above Average Ratio	---	7.0	---	5.1	17.8	5.8	----	13.1	2.2	----	3.2
Total	---	13.0	---	8.7	22.8	8.9	----	16.7	5.3	----	7.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7	12.2	14.5	39.9	32.9	72.9	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kit Carson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	3	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	5	6	0	0	6	3	4	0	7	13
12 " " 14	0	0	2	8	5	15	0	0	15	0	5	0	5	20
14 " " 16	0	0	2	10	1	13	0	0	13	4	3	0	7	20
16 " " 18	1	3	0	10	3	17	0	0	17	1	2	0	3	20
18 " " 20	0	6	2	7	3	18	0	0	18	1	1	1	3	21
20 " " 22	3	2	0	3	1	9	0	1	10	0	0	1	1	11
22 " " 24	1	2	0	10	0	13	0	0	13	1	0	0	1	14
24 " " 26	3	4	0	4	0	11	0	0	11	0	1	0	1	12
26 " " 28	1	2	0	3	0	6	2	0	8	1	1	1	3	11
28 " " 30	1	4	1	3	2	11	1	0	12	0	2	0	2	14
30 " " 32	2	2	0	1	0	5	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	6
32 " " 34	0	2	0	3	2	7	1	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
34 " " 36	0	1	0	2	1	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
36 " " 38	0	1	2	2	0	5	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
38 " " 40	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	4
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
42 " " 44	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
60 and Over	1	1	0	2	1	5	3	1	9	0	0	0	0	9
Total Cases	13	32	9	74	26	154	15	7	176	11	22	3	36	212
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.1	25.3	18.9	19.9	17.0	21.9	38.1	---	28.4	14.6	14.4	---	14.5	16.7
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	3.6	5.6	4.7	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	---	5.1	2.3	3.2	---	2.8	3.2
Above Average Ratio	4.4	5.7	12.0	7.8	12.5	7.3	21.0	---	14.3	3.9	3.1	---	3.5	5.2
Total	8.0	11.3	16.7	11.8	16.9	11.5	25.2	---	19.4	6.2	6.3	---	6.3	8.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7	12.2	8.3	6.2	26.7	32.6	39.9	0.3	72.9	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	5	0	5
12 " " 14	3	0	3
14 " " 16	6	4	10
16 " " 18	0	0	0
18 " " 20	2	1	3
20 " " 22	3	1	4
22 " " 24	3	0	3
24 " " 26	3	0	3
26 " " 28	1	0	1
28 " " 30	2	0	2
30 " " 32	0	1	1
32 " " 34	1	2	3
34 " " 36	0	1	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	2	0	2
40 " " 42	1	0	1
42 " " 44	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	1	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	3	1	4
Total Cases	36	12	48
Average Sales Ratio (%)	21.3	22.9	21.4
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	7.0	7.4	7.0
Above Average Ratio	7.7	11.1	7.9
Total	14.7	18.5	14.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	93.1	5.5	98.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		8	1	9
10 and	"	12		14	2	16
12 "	"	14		14	0	14
14 "	"	16		19	5	24
16 "	"	18		10	1	11
18 "	"	20		8	2	10
20 "	"	22		11	4	15
22 "	"	24		12	5	17
24 "	"	26		9	4	13
26 "	"	28		7	0	7
28 "	"	30		7	0	7
30 "	"	32		2	2	4
32 "	"	34		1	3	4
34 "	"	36		0	8	8
36 "	"	38		1	1	2
38 "	"	40		4	1	5
40 "	"	42		3	0	3
42 "	"	44		1	0	1
44 "	"	46		3	0	3
46 "	"	48		2	1	3
48 "	"	50		0	0	0
50 "	"	55		3	0	3
55 "	"	60		0	0	0
60 and Over				10	1	11
Total Cases				149	41	190
Average Sales Ratio (%)				21.3	15.5	20.9
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				7.2	---	6.4
Above Average Ratio				6.6	---	7.5
Total				13.8	---	13.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				93.1	5.5	98.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

La Plata County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	4	2	2	0	6	10	
12 " " 14	1	0	0	1	4	6	0	6	2	6	4	12	18	
14 " " 16	0	1	0	0	3	4	2	6	1	6	1	10	16	
16 " " 18	0	1	2	3	1	7	0	7	0	3	2	5	12	
18 " " 20	0	2	0	2	4	8	0	8	1	2	0	3	11	
20 " " 22	4	6	3	6	7	26	0	26	2	2	2	6	32	
22 " " 24	1	5	1	2	5	14	0	14	0	2	0	2	16	
24 " " 26	14	3	0	0	3	20	2	22	2	3	2	8	30	
26 " " 28	37	4	0	0	0	41	2	43	2	2	0	4	47	
28 " " 30	22	2	0	0	0	24	0	24	0	2	1	3	27	
30 " " 32	9	2	0	2	1	14	1	15	0	2	2	4	19	
32 " " 34	4	2	0	2	1	9	0	9	0	1	1	2	11	
34 " " 36	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	4	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
60 and Over	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	5	
Total Cases	95	30	7	23	34	189	9	198	13	37	20	4	74	272
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	24.7	20.2	20.8	20.4	23.6	----	24.3	21.1	19.7	19.1	---	20.3	22.2
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	1.2	3.5	2.0	3.0	4.7	2.8	----	6.2	7.9	5.3	5.6	---	6.5	6.4
Above Average Ratio	1.9	3.8	2.5	10.4	3.4	3.7	----	3.4	4.7	8.1	11.9	---	7.9	5.8
Total	3.1	7.3	4.5	13.4	8.1	6.5	----	9.6	12.6	13.4	17.5	---	14.4	12.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4	21.0	50.5	24.7	17.6	3.3	2.7	48.2	98.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

La Plata County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	4	2	1	1	2	6	10
10 and " 12	0	0	1	5	3	9	0	0	9	2	0	7	5	14	23
12 " " 14	1	1	1	6	7	16	2	0	18	4	1	9	11	25	43
14 " " 16	2	1	1	1	9	14	1	1	16	3	2	13	7	25	41
16 " " 18	0	2	7	8	10	27	0	0	27	0	0	10	7	17	44
18 " " 20	0	6	3	9	11	29	2	0	31	1	2	10	2	15	46
20 " " 22	10	10	5	6	11	42	0	0	42	3	1	7	4	15	57
22 " " 24	16	10	3	5	6	40	0	1	41	0	0	6	1	7	48
24 " " 26	66	12	1	0	5	84	4	0	88	5	2	7	4	18	106
26 " " 28	101	9	0	1	2	113	2	2	117	3	0	6	0	9	126
28 " " 30	53	6	0	0	3	62	1	0	63	0	1	5	1	7	70
30 " " 32	27	5	2	2	2	38	0	2	40	2	0	5	2	9	49
32 " " 34	11	2	0	2	2	17	1	1	19	2	1	2	1	6	25
34 " " 36	5	2	0	0	1	8	0	1	9	0	0	1	0	1	10
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	4	0	0	4	0	4	8
38 " " 40	0	1	0	1	2	4	0	0	4	1	0	1	1	3	7
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	4
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	3	4
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
48 " " 50	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	2	0	1	0	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
60 and Over	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	3	1	5	7
Total Cases	295	71	25	49	77	517	19	8	544	30	12	100	52	194	738
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.0	25.1	19.5	18.6	19.6	22.8	26.0	---	24.2	22.3	19.9	20.1	16.8	20.8	22.4
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	1.6	3.5	2.6	4.1	4.2	2.9	11.0	---	5.6	8.5	3.9	4.9	3.7	6.5	6.1
Above Average Ratio	2.0	3.7	3.0	3.7	4.3	3.2	5.1	---	3.9	5.4	8.3	7.6	7.0	6.6	5.3
Total	3.6	7.2	5.6	7.8	8.5	6.1	16.1	---	9.5	13.9	12.2	12.5	10.7	13.1	11.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4	18.2	2.8	50.5	24.7	2.7	17.6	3.3	48.2	98.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Larimer County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. With Impts.	Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48											
Under 10	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	3	0	8	2	1	11	14
10 and " 12	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	0	0	4	2	13	3	0	18	22
12 " " 14	1	6	4	11	12	34	0	0	0	34	0	14	5	1	20	54
14 " " 16	6	4	2	9	19	40	0	0	0	40	2	16	2	0	20	60
16 " " 18	2	7	4	17	29	57	0	1	0	58	3	16	6	0	25	83
18 " " 20	4	14	7	23	29	77	0	2	0	79	2	15	3	0	20	99
20 " " 22	11	17	7	29	35	99	2	1	1	103	1	10	7	0	18	121
22 " " 24	25	30	4	25	25	109	0	2	0	111	4	11	3	0	18	129
24 " " 26	65	30	3	12	13	123	0	0	0	123	1	12	8	0	21	144
26 " " 28	87	26	3	10	10	136	1	2	0	139	2	7	1	0	10	149
28 " " 30	98	21	1	3	6	129	3	0	0	132	2	10	3	0	15	147
30 " " 32	71	13	1	3	6	94	3	3	0	100	2	6	5	0	13	113
32 " " 34	38	8	0	1	8	55	3	1	1	60	1	6	5	0	12	72
34 " " 36	8	4	1	1	2	16	3	0	0	19	0	1	1	0	2	21
36 " " 38	5	1	1	1	6	14	1	1	0	16	0	1	2	0	3	19
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	3	6
40 " " 42	1	0	0	2	2	5	0	0	0	5	0	2	4	0	6	11
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
44 " " 46	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Total Cases	424	184	39	148	209	1,004	17	13	4	1,038	22	152	63	2	239	1,277
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.1	25.0	20.8	20.8	21.1	23.8	31.2	27.2	---	24.5	23.6	19.7	23.7	---	22.4	23.7
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.4	3.3	3.4	2.9	3.8	3.0	2.4	6.7	---	4.7	6.6	5.3	6.5	---	6.5	5.3
Above Average Ratio	2.4	3.4	3.4	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.3	4.0	---	4.7	3.9	6.1	8.0	---	3.5	4.4
Total	4.8	6.7	6.8	5.9	7.4	6.0	5.7	10.7	---	9.4	10.5	11.4	14.5	---	10.0	9.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	8.2	42.2	0.8	12.7	9.9	65.6	30.3	0.4	0.4	2.1	33.3	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Larimer County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	2	0	0	1	2	5	0	1	1	7	2	3	13	3	21	28
10 and " 12	0	1	2	6	9	18	0	0	0	18	3	2	22	6	33	51
12 " " 14	3	7	5	21	35	71	0	1	0	72	3	2	31	6	42	114
14 " " 16	7	7	7	32	53	106	1	2	0	109	5	2	34	6	47	156
16 " " 18	2	13	6	55	79	155	2	4	0	161	6	1	37	9	53	214
18 " " 20	8	21	10	75	77	191	0	5	0	196	3	0	43	6	52	248
20 " " 22	19	35	16	71	91	232	3	3	2	240	11	2	31	17	61	301
22 " " 24	40	70	13	63	77	263	2	3	1	269	10	1	37	9	57	326
24 " " 26	118	81	15	50	55	319	1	7	0	327	4	0	31	12	47	374
26 " " 28	192	59	13	31	37	332	2	5	0	339	6	0	27	2	35	374
28 " " 30	217	69	3	18	23	330	6	3	0	339	7	0	23	5	35	374
30 " " 32	211	48	3	10	21	293	9	5	0	307	4	0	21	11	36	343
32 " " 34	135	31	2	7	19	194	8	3	1	206	5	0	21	11	37	243
34 " " 36	59	19	2	3	8	91	9	1	0	101	2	0	6	5	13	114
36 " " 38	41	9	1	3	14	68	5	1	1	75	3	0	12	5	20	95
38 " " 40	9	7	2	3	5	26	4	2	0	32	0	1	9	1	11	43
40 " " 42	7	2	0	3	3	15	0	2	1	18	1	0	4	6	11	29
42 " " 44	1	1	0	2	3	7	1	1	0	9	0	0	2	0	2	11
44 " " 46	2	1	0	1	2	6	1	0	0	7	1	1	2	1	5	12
46 " " 48	1	0	0	2	1	4	0	3	0	7	0	0	1	1	2	9
48 " " 50	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	1	3	7
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	1	3	6
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4
60 and Over	1	1	0	1	1	4	0	4	1	9	0	0	3	2	5	14
Total Cases	1,078	483	100	458	619	2,738	54	57	9	2,858	76	15	414	127	632	3,490
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.3	26.3	22.7	21.1	21.4	24.6	31.4	26.2	25.4	25.1	23.9	14.6	22.0	23.5	22.9	24.3
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.6	5.2	3.7	3.1	3.9	3.5	2.6	5.4	4.2	3.9	5.9	3.8	5.8	4.9	5.6	4.5
Above Average Ratio	1.8	3.7	3.5	3.8	4.1	3.2	6.0	9.3	17.6	6.5	5.2	6.6	6.4	9.1	5.4	6.1
Total	4.4	8.9	7.2	6.9	8.0	6.7	8.6	14.7	21.8	10.4	11.1	10.4	12.2	14.0	11.0	10.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	8.2	42.2	0.8	12.7	9.9	65.6	30.3	2.1	0.4	0.4	33.3	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Las Animas County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48								
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	1	3
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	1	3	1	0	4	0	2	2	6
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	2
18 " " 20	0	0	0	1	4	5	1	1	7	1	0	1	8
20 " " 22	0	0	1	2	3	6	0	0	6	0	2	2	8
22 " " 24	0	0	0	1	6	7	0	0	7	1	0	1	8
24 " " 26	0	0	1	1	4	6	0	0	6	0	2	2	8
26 " " 28	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	3	2	1	3	6
28 " " 30	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	0	5	1	1	2	7
30 " " 32	1	0	1	2	4	8	0	0	8	2	0	2	10
32 " " 34	0	1	0	0	5	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
34 " " 36	2	0	0	2	6	10	0	0	10	1	1	2	12
36 " " 38	4	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
38 " " 40	0	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
44 " " 46	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	3
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	4
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	0	0	0	2	4	6	5	0	11	1	0	1	12
Total Cases	8	2	6	20	54	90	9	2	101	10	12	22	123
Average Sales Ratio (%)	36.0	---	29.6	25.4	29.7	28.9	54.3	---	34.3	26.5	----	20.7	24.9
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	1.0	---	8.6	5.4	6.2	5.2	14.3	---	7.1	0.0	----	5.3	5.9
Above Average Ratio	1.5	---	7.4	13.8	9.3	9.6	16.6	---	11.0	8.5	----	14.4	13.3
Total	2.5	---	16.0	19.2	15.5	14.8	30.9	---	18.1	8.5	----	19.7	19.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.8	1.6	1.2	8.1	12.1	26.6	14.1	1.9	42.6	3.4	52.5	55.9	98.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Las Animas County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	4	5
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	1	5	7
12 " " 14	0	0	1	2	3	6	2	0	8	4	2	1	0	7	15
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	5	6	1	0	7	2	3	0	0	5	12
16 " " 18	0	0	0	5	8	13	0	1	14	1	0	1	0	2	16
18 " " 20	0	0	0	1	10	11	1	1	13	1	1	1	0	3	16
20 " " 22	0	0	2	5	9	16	0	0	16	1	0	1	1	3	19
22 " " 24	0	0	0	5	11	16	0	0	16	0	1	2	0	3	19
24 " " 26	4	0	3	7	12	26	0	0	26	0	2	3	1	6	32
26 " " 28	0	3	1	6	6	16	0	0	16	0	0	2	1	3	19
28 " " 30	1	0	0	3	11	15	0	0	15	0	4	1	0	5	20
30 " " 32	1	1	2	2	13	19	0	0	19	0	1	3	0	4	23
32 " " 34	0	1	0	0	5	6	0	0	6	0	1	1	0	2	8
34 " " 36	4	1	1	3	9	18	0	0	18	2	0	1	0	3	21
36 " " 38	4	0	2	0	1	7	1	0	8	0	0	1	0	1	9
38 " " 40	0	0	2	0	5	7	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	1	8
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	6	7	0	1	8	0	2	0	1	3	11
42 " " 44	0	0	1	4	5	10	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	1	11
44 " " 46	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	5
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	0	4	1	2	1	0	4	8
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
60 and Over	0	0	0	4	14	18	6	1	25	1	1	3	0	5	30
Total Cases	15	6	16	53	141	231	13	4	248	15	25	28	5	73	321
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.4	30.2	30.9	25.1	27.4	27.3	39.5	---	30.4	15.9	18.6	21.7	---	17.4	21.4
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	3.8	3.2	6.2	4.2	5.6	4.8	21.5	---	9.1	3.0	3.8	0.3	---	3.2	5.1
Above Average Ratio	6.2	2.8	7.1	9.4	11.3	9.4	28.4	---	14.6	15.2	22.2	16.3	---	15.3	14.9
Total	10.0	6.0	13.3	13.6	16.9	14.2	49.9	---	23.7	18.2	26.0	16.6	---	18.5	20.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.8	1.6	1.2	8.1	12.1	26.6	14.1	1.9	42.6	36.6	8.1	3.4	7.9	55.9	98.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lincoln County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3	1	4
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	4
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
18 " " 20	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
20 " " 22	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4
22 " " 24	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	4	0	4
26 " " 28	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	2
28 " " 30	1	1	0	0	1	3	1	4	0	4
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2
Total Cases	3	9	0	7	9	28	3	31	6	37
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	26.3	---	21.7	22.2	23.8	---	25.3	11.2	12.7
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	5.2	---	6.9	6.6	5.7	---	4.5	1.0	1.4
Above Average Ratio	---	5.7	---	21.3	10.8	15.5	---	10.0	2.9	3.6
Total	---	10.9	---	28.2	17.4	21.2	---	14.5	3.9	5.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.8	2.2	0.5	3.9	2.7	12.1	8.9	21.0	78.2	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lincoln County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	1	1	3	5	0	0	5	1	1	0	2	7
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2	8	8
14 " " 16	0	0	0	6	2	8	0	0	8	0	4	0	4	12
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	1	6	2	2	0	4	10
18 " " 20	1	0	0	2	1	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	2	6
20 " " 22	0	6	0	4	1	11	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
22 " " 24	2	2	3	1	1	9	0	0	9	0	1	0	1	10
24 " " 26	2	1	0	3	3	9	1	0	10	1	0	0	1	11
26 " " 28	1	2	0	2	3	8	0	1	9	0	0	1	1	10
28 " " 30	2	1	0	2	1	6	1	0	7	0	1	0	1	8
30 " " 32	0	0	0	4	2	6	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	3
34 " " 36	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
36 " " 38	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
40 " " 42	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
60 and Over	0	1	0	2	2	5	3	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Total Cases	10	16	4	33	31	94	9	4	107	9	13	5	27	134
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	26.1	---	24.2	23.9	24.7	32.1	---	27.3	13.1	14.1	---	13.7	15.4
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	3.9	4.8	---	6.0	6.5	5.1	4.1	---	4.7	1.0	0.0	---	0.6	1.2
Above Average Ratio	2.1	7.9	---	7.2	13.6	7.7	36.7	---	18.1	3.7	4.7	---	4.3	5.8
Total	6.0	12.7	---	13.2	20.1	12.8	40.8	---	22.8	4.7	4.7	---	4.9	7.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.8	2.2	0.5	3.9	2.7	12.2	8.7	0.2	21.1	42.0	34.3	1.9	78.2	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Logan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	
12 " " 14	0	1	0	3	0	4	0	4	1	0	2	3	7	
14 " " 16	0	2	0	6	2	10	0	10	1	2	2	7	17	
16 " " 18	0	0	1	9	4	14	0	14	1	3	2	6	20	
18 " " 20	2	1	1	14	4	22	0	22	3	0	1	4	26	
20 " " 22	0	2	5	11	3	21	1	22	3	2	1	6	28	
22 " " 24	3	5	1	11	3	23	1	24	4	0	1	5	29	
24 " " 26	4	5	1	4	3	17	1	18	0	0	2	2	20	
26 " " 28	14	9	0	2	1	26	1	27	2	0	1	3	30	
28 " " 30	25	3	0	6	3	37	0	37	0	0	4	4	41	
30 " " 32	15	1	0	2	1	19	0	19	0	0	3	3	22	
32 " " 34	9	3	0	2	0	14	2	16	2	0	2	5	21	
34 " " 36	3	1	1	2	0	7	1	8	0	0	5	5	13	
36 " " 38	3	0	0	1	0	4	0	4	1	0	1	2	6	
38 " " 40	1	0	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	
60 and Over	0	0	0	3	0	3	2	5	0	1	2	3	8	
Total Cases	79	33	10	80	26	228	10	238	18	9	30	5	62	300
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.4	25.8	21.4	21.9	21.5	24.8	----	27.6	22.2	17.8	27.6	---	20.7	23.4
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	1.9	2.9	1.2	3.6	3.3	2.8	----	3.4	3.2	2.6	8.6	---	3.2	3.3
Above Average Ratio	2.1	2.1	1.6	6.1	5.5	4.1	----	13.0	4.3	3.0	6.6	---	3.8	7.4
Total	4.0	5.0	2.8	9.7	8.8	6.9	----	16.4	7.5	5.6	15.2	---	7.0	10.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9	17.8	45.8	33.9	17.8	2.1	-c-	53.7	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Logan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages					With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2
10 and " 12	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	3	6
12 " " 14	0	2	0	7	4	13	0	1	0	14	1	3	3	1	8	22
14 " " 16	0	3	3	21	6	33	0	0	0	33	2	4	2	3	11	44
16 " " 18	0	3	2	24	9	38	0	0	0	38	2	4	5	2	13	51
18 " " 20	5	1	5	33	19	63	0	0	0	63	8	3	4	1	16	79
20 " " 22	2	4	9	27	15	57	1	1	0	59	4	2	2	2	10	69
22 " " 24	7	7	1	26	7	48	0	1	0	49	6	0	4	0	10	59
24 " " 26	10	12	5	16	7	50	0	1	1	52	6	0	5	1	12	64
26 " " 28	38	18	0	9	2	67	1	0	0	68	6	1	3	1	11	79
28 " " 30	86	12	1	11	6	116	2	0	1	119	5	2	5	0	12	131
30 " " 32	51	2	0	8	7	68	2	0	1	71	1	0	4	0	5	76
32 " " 34	19	6	1	5	3	34	1	2	1	38	4	0	2	1	7	45
34 " " 36	11	1	1	6	2	21	1	2	0	24	3	1	5	0	9	33
36 " " 38	7	1	1	4	0	13	0	0	0	13	2	0	1	0	3	16
38 " " 40	2	0	0	3	1	6	1	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	1	8
40 " " 42	3	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	5	1	0	2	0	3	8
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	1	3	6
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
50 " " 55	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	5
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	0	1	0	6	1	8	1	4	0	13	0	1	3	0	4	17
Total Cases	241	76	29	214	93	653	11	18	4	686	54	23	53	15	145	831
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.4	25.9	21.4	21.8	21.6	24.8	31.2	37.5	---	27.9	24.4	17.7	26.6	18.7	21.7	24.2
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	1.5	6.5	2.5	3.7	3.4	2.7	2.4	5.0	---	3.3	4.5	3.3	7.5	3.5	4.1	3.8
Above Average Ratio	1.9	3.1	0.3	5.6	0.2	3.9	6.8	21.3	---	6.7	5.4	3.5	7.2	7.8	4.7	5.5
Total	3.4	9.6	2.8	9.3	3.6	6.6	9.2	26.3	---	10.0	9.9	6.8	14.7	11.3	8.8	9.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9	0.8	10.8	6.2	45.8	33.9	17.8	2.1	-c-	53.7	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Mesa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	3
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	3	6	9
14 " " 16	0	1	0	5	3	9	1	0	0	10	1	1	0	0	2	12
16 " " 18	0	4	1	2	5	12	0	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	2	14
18 " " 20	1	1	5	5	6	18	1	1	0	20	1	2	1	1	5	25
20 " " 22	0	5	6	7	10	28	1	0	0	29	1	0	2	0	3	32
22 " " 24	3	8	4	8	21	44	1	1	0	46	1	0	2	1	4	50
24 " " 26	7	19	6	8	6	46	1	1	0	48	6	0	7	0	13	61
26 " " 28	31	22	9	3	6	71	2	0	0	73	3	1	3	0	7	80
28 " " 30	50	14	6	4	10	84	1	1	0	86	3	2	6	0	11	97
30 " " 32	53	16	3	7	5	84	0	1	0	85	0	0	5	0	5	90
32 " " 34	42	26	2	2	1	73	1	1	0	75	3	1	2	0	6	81
34 " " 36	27	11	3	0	9	50	1	0	0	51	2	0	4	0	6	57
36 " " 38	33	5	1	0	2	41	1	0	0	42	1	1	2	0	4	46
38 " " 40	19	3	1	0	2	25	0	1	0	26	2	0	4	0	6	32
40 " " 42	8	2	0	2	0	12	0	1	0	13	0	0	1	0	1	14
42 " " 44	7	2	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	2	0	2	12
44 " " 46	2	3	0	2	1	8	0	0	0	8	1	0	1	0	2	10
46 " " 48	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
48 " " 50	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	3	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
55 " " 60	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
60 and Over	1	0	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	5
Total Cases	289	145	48	61	91	634	11	8	0	653	30	9	44	7	90	743
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.1	29.4	26.1	24.8	24.6	29.2	28.0	30.5	---	29.0	27.4	21.4	30.8	12.5	27.0	28.2
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.9	3.6	4.1	4.2	3.4	3.3	6.5	6.5	---	4.5	3.2	3.8	5.4	0.7	3.8	4.3
Above Average Ratio	4.1	4.0	3.6	6.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	5.5	---	4.3	7.1	9.0	5.2	5.2	6.8	5.3
Total	7.0	7.6	7.7	10.2	8.4	7.8	10.5	12.0	---	8.8	10.3	12.8	10.6	5.9	10.6	9.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4	4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mesa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	With Impts.						Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	3
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	3	1	1	4	4	10	13	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	5	5	10	0	0	0	10	2	3	4	10	19	29	
14 " " 16	0	2	2	9	11	24	1	0	0	25	6	2	2	8	18	43	
16 " " 18	2	5	2	17	20	46	0	0	0	46	9	2	10	0	21	67	
18 " " 20	4	2	8	18	22	54	1	2	0	57	13	3	7	6	29	86	
20 " " 22	2	12	12	21	21	68	1	0	0	69	16	3	15	9	43	112	
22 " " 24	8	20	15	18	47	108	2	3	0	113	6	2	23	5	36	149	
24 " " 26	19	36	21	13	22	111	2	5	0	118	15	1	27	5	48	166	
26 " " 28	70	49	20	12	21	172	4	0	0	176	11	3	29	5	48	224	
28 " " 30	120	35	14	8	29	206	4	3	0	213	12	3	39	1	55	268	
30 " " 32	165	49	9	12	15	250	1	1	0	252	7	0	40	1	48	300	
32 " " 34	123	51	6	5	10	195	3	2	0	200	10	1	43	3	57	257	
34 " " 36	87	29	5	2	16	139	2	0	0	141	12	1	54	0	67	208	
36 " " 38	76	16	1	3	4	100	2	0	1	103	4	2	30	0	36	139	
38 " " 40	44	12	2	1	9	68	1	2	0	71	2	0	22	0	24	95	
40 " " 42	24	6	1	4	5	40	1	1	0	42	3	0	14	0	17	59	
42 " " 44	23	4	0	1	2	30	1	0	0	31	4	1	14	2	21	52	
44 " " 46	8	3	0	4	2	17	0	0	0	17	3	1	6	0	10	27	
46 " " 48	6	3	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	10	2	0	3	0	5	15	
48 " " 50	6	2	0	1	0	9	0	1	0	10	0	0	1	1	2	12	
50 " " 55	7	1	1	2	1	12	0	0	0	12	1	2	5	0	8	20	
55 " " 60	0	1	0	1	2	4	0	0	0	4	1	0	2	0	3	7	
60 and Over	2	2	1	3	2	10	0	0	0	10	2	0	4	1	7	17	
Total Cases	796	340	120	161	269	1,686	26	20	1	1,733	143	31	398	63	635	2,368	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.4	29.9	26.1	23.2	24.9	29.3	29.5	26.9	---	29.1	26.1	22.8	31.8	17.8	26.9	28.2	
Measure of Variation ^a																	
Below Average Ratio	2.8	3.6	3.3	4.3	4.2	3.4	4.0	2.9	---	3.5	5.6	5.0	5.6	3.8	5.5	4.4	
Above Average Ratio	3.5	8.3	3.3	6.5	5.3	4.9	5.0	6.1	---	5.1	7.5	9.4	4.3	7.5	7.0	5.8	
Total	6.3	11.9	6.6	10.8	9.5	8.3	9.0	9.0	---	8.6	13.1	14.4	9.9	11.3	12.5	10.2	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4	4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0
16 " " 18	1	0	1
18 " " 20	1	0	1
20 " " 22	0	3	3
22 " " 24	2	0	2
24 " " 26	1	0	1
26 " " 28	1	0	1
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1
42 " " 44	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0
Total Cases	10	3	13
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.7	----	21.1
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	5.7	----	0.9
Above Average Ratio	6.3	----	2.6
Total	12.0	----	3.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.3	72.7	99.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		0	2	2
10 and	"	12		0	0	0
12 "	"	14		1	0	1
14 "	"	16		1	1	2
16 "	"	18		1	0	1
18 "	"	20		1	0	1
20 "	"	22		1	3	4
22 "	"	24		3	0	3
24 "	"	26		2	0	2
26 "	"	28		1	0	1
28 "	"	30		0	0	0
30 "	"	32		2	0	2
32 "	"	34		0	0	0
34 "	"	36		0	0	0
36 "	"	38		0	0	0
38 "	"	40		1	0	1
40 "	"	42		2	0	2
42 "	"	44		1	0	1
44 "	"	46		1	0	1
46 "	"	48		0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0
50 "	"	55		1	0	1
55 "	"	60		1	0	1
60 and Over				3	1	4
Total Cases				23	7	30
Average Sales Ratio (%)				32.6	16.2	18.7
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				10.1	5.9	6.5
Above Average Ratio				11.9	5.3	6.3
Total				22.0	11.2	12.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				26.3	72.7	99.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Moffat County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	1	1	4
12 " " 14	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	1	1	2	4
14 " " 16	1	2	1	3	2	9	0	9	1	0	1	10
16 " " 18	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
18 " " 20	1	1	3	3	0	8	1	9	0	1	1	10
20 " " 22	2	2	2	2	0	8	1	9	1	0	1	10
22 " " 24	4	0	0	1	0	5	0	5	1	0	1	6
24 " " 26	12	2	0	0	0	14	1	15	0	0	0	15
26 " " 28	11	1	0	0	0	12	0	12	2	1	3	15
28 " " 30	5	2	0	1	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
30 " " 32	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
32 " " 34	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	38	13	10	14	3	78	4	82	6	4	10	92
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.8	24.6	19.3	16.9	---	21.1	----	20.6	21.4	----	18.9	19.8
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	1.6	6.1	2.3	2.6	---	3.1	----	2.1	6.4	----	4.8	3.1
Above Average Ratio	1.7	4.2	2.2	3.6	---	3.1	----	10.7	5.1	----	6.8	9.3
Total	3.3	10.3	4.5	6.2	---	6.2	----	12.8	11.5	----	11.6	12.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	0.1	22.3	28.6	51.0	10.0	37.2	47.3	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Moffat County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	4	3	7	0	1	8	1	2	3	0	6	14
12 " " 14	2	1	1	5	2	11	1	0	12	2	1	0	1	4	16
14 " " 16	1	2	4	7	3	17	1	0	18	0	1	0	0	1	19
16 " " 18	0	2	4	3	0	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
18 " " 20	1	4	4	6	0	15	2	0	17	0	0	1	0	1	18
20 " " 22	4	6	4	5	0	19	1	0	20	0	1	1	0	2	22
22 " " 24	7	5	0	2	0	14	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	1	15
24 " " 26	18	7	0	0	0	25	0	1	26	1	0	0	0	1	27
26 " " 28	25	5	0	2	0	32	0	0	32	1	3	0	1	5	37
28 " " 30	8	4	0	1	0	13	1	0	14	1	0	0	0	1	15
30 " " 32	5	5	2	1	0	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	1	1	14
32 " " 34	2	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
34 " " 36	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	74	47	20	37	8	186	8	2	196	6	10	6	3	25	221
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.1	24.0	19.6	17.8	13.2	21.2	20.4	----	20.8	18.8	18.5	18.8	----	20.1	20.5
Measure of Variation^a															
Below Average Ratio	1.7	3.9	3.6	3.7	1.9	3.0	3.6	----	3.2	6.3	7.0	7.8	----	7.6	5.7
Above Average Ratio	1.7	5.6	1.4	3.3	1.5	3.4	15.6	----	8.8	8.2	7.8	2.2	----	5.8	7.1
Total	3.4	9.5	5.0	7.0	3.4	6.4	19.2	----	12.0	14.5	14.8	10.0	----	13.4	12.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	1.0	22.3	16.8	11.8	50.9	3.9	10.1	20.6	12.7	47.3	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montezuma County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48									
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	4	5
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	4	1	1	1	3	7
18 " " 20	1	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	4	0	1	3	4	8
20 " " 22	1	3	0	2	0	6	0	0	6	0	1	1	2	8
22 " " 24	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
24 " " 26	6	2	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	1	0	1	2	10
26 " " 28	5	0	3	1	0	9	0	1	10	0	1	0	1	11
28 " " 30	7	0	1	0	0	8	1	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
30 " " 32	8	0	0	1	0	9	0	1	10	1	0	1	2	12
32 " " 34	6	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
34 " " 36	5	1	0	1	0	7	2	0	9	1	0	0	1	10
36 " " 38	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
40 " " 42	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
42 " " 44	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
Total Cases	44	7	6	8	4	69	6	5	80	8	7	10	25	105
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.0	23.9	27.5	26.6	---	25.2	29.0	---	26.4	17.4	25.0	---	18.5	21.3
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	3.2	2.7	1.2	5.6	---	2.8	11.5	---	5.6	4.4	5.5	---	4.5	4.8
Above Average Ratio	3.2	7.2	1.5	11.4	---	4.6	6.5	---	5.2	10.6	11.0	---	10.1	8.3
Total	6.4	9.9	2.7	17.0	---	7.4	18.0	---	10.8	15.0	16.5	---	14.6	13.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.0	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.8	28.5	15.1	0.0	43.6	41.7	9.3	4.4	55.4	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montezuma County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	1	6	7
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	4	6	1	0	7	5	1	1	2	9	16
14 " " 16	1	1	0	2	1	5	0	0	5	2	2	1	2	7	12
16 " " 18	0	1	0	2	4	7	2	1	10	5	1	2	0	8	18
18 " " 20	2	2	2	3	3	12	1	0	13	0	2	2	1	5	18
20 " " 22	2	4	1	2	2	11	1	0	12	3	1	3	1	8	20
22 " " 24	8	3	3	0	2	16	0	1	17	1	0	3	0	4	21
24 " " 26	19	4	1	2	2	28	2	0	30	3	1	0	1	5	35
26 " " 28	29	3	5	2	1	40	1	2	43	1	0	2	0	3	46
28 " " 30	19	3	2	0	1	25	1	1	27	0	0	0	0	0	27
30 " " 32	20	2	3	1	1	27	0	1	28	2	2	0	0	4	32
32 " " 34	15	3	0	0	0	18	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	1	19
34 " " 36	8	3	0	1	0	12	2	0	14	1	0	1	0	2	16
36 " " 38	5	0	1	2	1	9	0	1	10	0	0	0	1	1	11
38 " " 40	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
40 " " 42	2	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	6
42 " " 44	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
44 " " 46	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	4
48 " " 50	1	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	4
Total Cases	134	31	21	22	25	233	18	8	259	26	11	21	11	69	328
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	25.9	26.8	24.2	19.7	25.7	27.4	---	26.3	18.5	20.8	22.1	19.9	19.2	21.8
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	2.8	4.0	4.0	5.9	3.6	3.8	6.4	---	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.9	6.1	4.9	4.8
Above Average Ratio	3.2	6.3	3.7	12.3	6.1	5.6	17.6	---	9.6	6.5	8.3	4.7	14.1	6.4	7.5
Total	6.0	10.3	7.7	18.2	9.7	9.4	24.0	---	14.3	11.2	13.3	10.6	20.2	11.3	12.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.0	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.8	28.5	15.1	0.0	43.6	41.7	4.0	9.3	0.3	55.4	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montrose County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48									
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	2	1	4	0	0	4	2	2	0	4	8
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
14 " " 16	0	0	1	1	3	5	1	0	6	1	2	2	5	11
16 " " 18	1	0	2	0	3	6	0	0	6	4	3	0	7	13
18 " " 20	0	3	3	0	8	14	0	2	16	2	4	0	6	22
20 " " 22	0	3	1	2	1	7	0	0	7	3	4	1	8	15
22 " " 24	4	3	1	0	4	12	0	0	12	0	2	0	2	14
24 " " 26	5	2	1	2	1	11	0	0	11	3	4	0	7	18
26 " " 28	3	1	2	1	2	9	0	0	9	3	2	0	5	14
28 " " 30	7	1	2	1	2	13	0	0	13	1	2	0	3	16
30 " " 32	2	0	1	0	1	4	0	1	5	0	3	0	3	8
32 " " 34	5	2	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	1	0	2	3	10
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	3	4
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
Total Cases	29	16	17	10	29	101	7	5	113	20	31	8	59	172
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.0	23.3	22.8	21.8	20.3	22.9	55.4	---	27.9	20.6	21.9	----	20.5	23.4
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	3.1	2.6	4.6	6.8	2.8	4.1	6.0	---	4.5	3.6	4.7	----	3.7	4.1
Above Average Ratio	3.8	4.7	6.0	5.2	5.2	5.0	60.2	---	14.0	5.4	4.3	----	9.3	11.0
Total	6.9	7.3	10.6	12.0	8.0	9.1	66.2	---	18.5	9.0	9.0	----	13.0	15.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	5.5	3.1	7.4	6.6	29.1	13.2	2.6	44.9	34.7	6.7	11.7	53.2	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montrose County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
10 and " 12	0	0	2	3	1	6	0	0	0	6	2	2	4	0	8	14
12 " " 14	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	5	0	7	9
14 " " 16	0	0	4	2	6	12	1	0	0	13	9	4	3	0	16	29
16 " " 18	2	4	5	3	6	20	1	0	0	21	6	2	4	1	13	34
18 " " 20	2	6	4	1	14	27	0	3	0	30	10	0	9	0	19	49
20 " " 22	1	6	6	5	6	24	0	0	0	24	5	0	6	1	12	36
22 " " 24	8	8	4	4	8	32	2	0	0	34	7	1	8	0	16	50
24 " " 26	11	6	2	3	3	25	1	1	0	27	8	1	7	1	17	44
26 " " 28	16	2	5	2	6	31	0	0	0	31	7	1	7	0	15	46
28 " " 30	14	3	2	3	7	29	1	0	0	30	6	0	5	0	11	41
30 " " 32	12	1	3	1	4	21	0	1	0	22	2	0	6	2	10	32
32 " " 34	6	5	2	1	2	16	0	0	0	16	4	0	2	2	8	24
34 " " 36	3	2	0	1	2	8	0	0	0	8	2	0	1	0	3	11
36 " " 38	2	1	0	3	1	7	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	2	9
38 " " 40	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	2	5
40 " " 42	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
44 " " 46	2	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
46 " " 48	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
48 " " 50	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	1	0	3	5
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2
60 and Over	2	3	0	1	0	6	7	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	13
Total Cases	83	49	42	35	72	281	16	8	0	305	73	17	68	9	167	472
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.1	24.2	22.0	23.9	22.3	24.1	46.4	28.2	---	28.4	22.3	20.2	22.9	21.7	22.1	24.6
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.7	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.7	3.7	22.4	8.9	---	7.4	4.5	5.6	4.7	1.7	4.7	5.8
Above Average Ratio	3.3	7.3	5.8	6.6	7.6	6.0	33.6	13.8	---	11.4	5.3	19.3	4.5	11.1	7.1	8.8
Total	6.0	11.1	10.0	10.6	11.3	9.7	56.0	22.7	---	18.8	9.8	24.9	9.2	12.8	11.8	14.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	5.5	3.1	7.4	6.6	29.1	13.2	2.3	0.3	44.9	34.7	6.7	11.5	0.2	53.2	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Morgan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	1	3	1	5	0	0	5	1	1	0	1	3	8
12 " " 14	0	0	0	6	2	8	0	0	8	1	1	1	0	3	11
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	5	7	1	0	8	2	1	1	0	4	12
16 " " 18	1	1	1	5	4	12	1	0	13	1	2	1	0	4	17
18 " " 20	0	1	0	10	5	16	1	1	18	4	0	1	0	5	23
20 " " 22	3	0	1	10	6	20	1	0	21	1	0	2	0	3	24
22 " " 24	3	6	1	10	2	22	0	1	23	5	1	2	0	8	31
24 " " 26	7	10	0	4	2	23	0	0	23	1	1	1	0	3	26
26 " " 28	11	3	0	4	2	20	0	1	21	2	0	0	0	2	23
28 " " 30	21	7	1	5	1	35	0	0	35	0	1	1	0	2	37
30 " " 32	8	2	0	3	1	14	2	2	18	0	1	0	0	1	19
32 " " 34	13	4	1	4	1	23	0	0	23	1	2	0	0	3	26
34 " " 36	11	3	1	2	0	17	0	0	17	0	1	0	0	1	18
36 " " 38	8	1	0	2	1	12	0	1	13	1	0	0	0	1	14
38 " " 40	2	2	0	1	0	5	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
40 " " 42	1	3	0	1	0	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
42 " " 44	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
44 " " 46	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
46 " " 48	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total Cases	92	44	9	75	34	254	8	9	271	21	12	11	1	45	316
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.0	28.3	24.5	22.4	19.8	----	24.1	---	26.0	22.0	25.1	18.8	---	21.6	23.3
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	3.4	3.7	4.5	3.8	3.6	----	6.1	---	4.3	3.9	9.1	3.3	---	4.4	4.3
Above Average Ratio	3.4	5.2	14.9	6.5	4.7	----	12.1	---	7.3	3.5	6.9	4.4	---	4.1	5.4
Total	6.8	8.9	19.4	10.3	8.3	----	18.2	---	11.6	7.4	16.0	7.7	---	8.5	9.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	14.2	3.2	1.8	8.1	2.8	30.0	10.1	3.8	43.8	36.2	7.3	11.9	c	55.4	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Morgan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	With Impts.						Without Impts.					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	3	
10 and " 12	0	0	1	4	2	7	0	0	0	7	1	2	4	3	10	17	
12 " " 14	0	0	1	8	8	17	0	1	0	18	3	4	3	0	10	28	
14 " " 16	0	0	1	12	17	30	0	1	0	31	4	3	2	0	9	40	
16 " " 18	1	1	1	14	18	35	0	1	0	36	1	2	4	0	7	43	
18 " " 20	2	2	0	24	15	43	1	1	1	46	8	3	4	0	15	61	
20 " " 22	6	1	2	32	17	58	0	2	0	60	6	0	4	0	10	70	
22 " " 24	7	9	4	27	13	60	0	3	1	64	8	2	7	0	17	81	
24 " " 26	17	17	3	20	9	66	0	0	0	66	2	2	7	0	11	77	
26 " " 28	29	8	3	15	4	59	2	1	1	63	2	0	6	0	8	71	
28 " " 30	53	14	4	10	6	87	0	0	0	87	3	2	6	0	11	98	
30 " " 32	43	14	3	9	6	75	1	2	1	79	2	1	4	0	7	86	
32 " " 34	48	12	3	6	3	72	0	3	0	75	2	2	3	0	7	82	
34 " " 36	38	7	2	3	2	52	0	1	1	54	0	1	1	0	2	56	
36 " " 38	18	4	2	3	3	30	1	1	0	32	2	0	1	0	3	35	
38 " " 40	12	7	0	3	1	23	0	0	2	25	0	0	1	0	1	26	
40 " " 42	7	7	0	2	0	16	1	2	0	19	1	1	0	0	2	21	
42 " " 44	3	1	0	4	0	8	0	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	2	10	
44 " " 46	3	2	0	1	0	6	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	
46 " " 48	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	
48 " " 50	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	c	0	3	
50 " " 55	5	1	1	1	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	
55 " " 60	0	1	0	3	1	5	0	1	0	6	0	0	1	0	1	7	
60 and Over	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	5	1	9	0	0	1	0	1	10	
Total Cases	296	110	32	203	125	766	7	25	9	807	47	26	60	4	137	944	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.6	30.6	26.2	22.6	20.3	26.9	32.8	27.3	36.9	27.6	22.5	20.6	24.0	---	22.5	24.5	
Measure of Variation ^a																	
Below Average Ratio	3.1	4.9	3.2	3.6	3.8	3.5	6.0	5.1	13.9	4.3	3.8	6.8	5.5	---	4.5	4.4	
Above Average Ratio	3.2	4.7	6.5	4.9	4.5	4.3	7.2	15.9	4.5	7.2	5.7	7.9	5.0	---	5.9	6.4	
Total	6.3	9.6	9.7	8.5	8.3	7.8	13.2	21.0	18.4	11.5	9.5	14.7	10.5	---	10.4	10.8	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	14.2	3.2	1.8	8.1	2.8	30.0	1.2	10.1	2.6	43.8	36.2	7.3	11.9	-c-	55.4	99.2	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Otero County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48									
Under 10	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	3
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
12 " " 14	0	0	0	3	5	8	1	0	9	1	1	1	3	12
14 " " 16	0	1	0	1	9	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
16 " " 18	0	0	0	3	5	8	2	0	10	1	1	0	2	12
18 " " 20	0	1	0	4	10	15	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15
20 " " 22	0	2	0	5	13	20	0	1	21	0	1	2	3	24
22 " " 24	1	3	1	2	10	17	1	1	19	1	1	0	2	21
24 " " 26	3	6	1	6	12	28	1	0	29	2	2	0	4	33
26 " " 28	10	6	4	8	4	32	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	32
28 " " 30	12	6	0	2	9	29	0	0	29	1	0	1	2	31
30 " " 32	12	7	0	8	4	31	0	1	32	1	1	1	3	35
32 " " 34	7	4	2	10	3	26	0	0	26	2	1	0	3	29
34 " " 36	4	9	1	4	4	22	0	0	22	1	0	1	2	24
36 " " 38	2	3	0	1	2	8	2	0	10	3	0	0	3	13
38 " " 40	2	0	2	2	0	6	1	0	7	1	0	0	1	8
40 " " 42	1	2	0	2	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
42 " " 44	2	0	0	3	0	5	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
44 " " 46	1	0	0	1	1	3	2	1	6	1	0	0	1	7
46 " " 48	1	1	0	1	4	7	0	0	7	0	1	0	1	8
48 " " 50	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	1	0	1	1	3	4	1	8	0	0	0	0	8
Total Cases	58	57	12	68	102	297	14	5	316	17	10	7	34	350
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.4	30.8	30.8	28.5	27.3	28.7	33.4	---	30.1	33.7	27.8	---	30.2	30.1
Measure of Variation^a														
Below Average Ratio	2.3	4.7	4.3	6.5	4.8	4.9	10.4	---	6.5	8.5	6.8	---	7.9	7.0
Above Average Ratio	3.2	4.5	6.0	5.1	5.7	5.0	34.1	---	11.0	4.1	5.2	---	4.5	8.3
Total	5.5	9.2	10.3	11.6	10.5	9.9	44.5	---	17.5	12.6	12.0	---	12.4	15.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	14.4	41.4	12.5	4.0	58.0	35.2	4.9	1.0	41.1	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Otero County: Number of Conveyances By Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	3
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	2	5
12 " " 14	0	0	0	4	1	5	0	1	0	6	1	3	1	2	7	13
14 " " 16	0	1	0	3	8	12	0	1	0	13	1	1	0	1	3	16
16 " " 18	1	0	1	9	17	28	0	2	0	30	2	0	2	0	4	34
18 " " 20	1	3	0	12	21	37	0	1	0	38	2	1	3	0	6	44
20 " " 22	0	2	1	9	22	34	1	0	1	36	4	2	5	0	11	47
22 " " 24	4	4	4	21	42	75	1	1	0	77	2	2	5	0	9	86
24 " " 26	14	7	2	23	42	88	0	1	0	89	4	1	6	0	11	100
26 " " 28	23	15	5	17	37	97	0	1	1	99	1	0	3	0	4	103
28 " " 30	37	11	1	21	27	97	1	0	0	98	4	1	3	0	8	106
30 " " 32	30	18	0	18	24	90	1	0	1	92	3	0	4	1	8	100
32 " " 34	21	21	4	26	20	92	1	2	0	95	5	1	4	0	10	105
34 " " 36	8	20	1	10	19	58	2	1	0	61	4	0	3	2	9	70
36 " " 38	10	10	0	4	12	36	1	4	1	42	5	0	3	0	8	50
38 " " 40	6	7	3	10	15	41	0	1	0	42	1	0	0	1	2	44
40 " " 42	2	8	1	6	12	29	1	1	1	32	1	0	0	0	1	33
42 " " 44	3	5	2	7	3	20	0	0	0	20	4	0	2	0	6	26
44 " " 46	1	1	0	2	2	6	0	2	1	9	3	1	1	0	5	14
46 " " 48	1	1	1	1	6	10	0	3	0	13	1	0	2	0	3	16
48 " " 50	1	4	0	0	1	6	1	1	0	8	0	1	1	0	2	10
50 " " 55	1	0	0	2	6	9	1	1	0	11	1	0	1	0	2	13
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	2	0	5	0	1	1	0	2	7
60 and Over	0	3	2	4	7	16	2	9	1	28	2	1	3	1	7	35
Total Cases	164	142	28	212	347	893	13	35	7	948	51	18	53	10	132	1,080
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.3	32.4	31.7	28.3	27.5	28.9	37.3	39.4	48.4	31.4	32.4	22.9	28.9	33.2	30.8	31.2
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.5	3.9	6.7	5.0	4.7	4.4	6.8	6.6	---	5.2	8.0	9.2	6.0	20.7	8.1	6.5
Above Average Ratio	2.9	4.3	7.6	5.2	6.3	5.3	12.2	26.2	---	8.9	6.1	10.1	7.6	2.3	6.8	8.0
Total	5.4	8.2	14.3	10.2	11.0	9.7	19.0	32.8	---	14.1	14.1	19.3	13.6	23.0	14.9	14.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	14.4	41.4	2.2	12.5	1.8	58.0	35.2	4.9	1.0	-c-	41.1	99.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	2	1	3
12 " " 14	2	0	2
14 " " 16	1	1	2
16 " " 18	2	0	2
18 " " 20	2	0	2
20 " " 22	5	0	5
22 " " 24	1	0	1
24 " " 26	2	0	2
26 " " 28	1	1	2
28 " " 30	0	1	1
30 " " 32	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	1	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0
36 " " 38	2	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	2	0	2
50 " " 55	1	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0
Total Cases	25	5	30
Average Sales Ratio (%)	19.2	----	14.3
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	2.0	----	----
Above Average Ratio	13.2	----	----
Total	15.2	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.6	68.3	98.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	2	5	7
12 " " 14	2	1	3
14 " " 16	3	3	6
16 " " 18	4	1	5
18 " " 20	2	0	2
20 " " 22	7	1	8
22 " " 24	5	1	6
24 " " 26	3	0	3
26 " " 28	1	2	3
28 " " 30	3	2	5
30 " " 32	5	0	5
32 " " 34	0	1	1
34 " " 36	1	0	1
36 " " 38	2	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1
42 " " 44	2	0	2
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	2	0	2
50 " " 55	3	0	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	4	0	4
Total Cases	52	17	69
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.5	13.9	16.0
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	4.5	2.2	2.6
Above Average Ratio	12.5	12.9	12.9
Total	17.0	15.1	15.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.6	68.3	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class or property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
18 " " 20	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	3	0	1	1	4
20 " " 22	2	0	0	3	3	8	0	8	2	2	4	12
22 " " 24	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	3	1	0	1	4
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	0	1	3
26 " " 28	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
36 " " 38	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
40 " " 42	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	2	4
42 " " 44	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	0	1	3
Total Cases	8	1	6	6	12	33	1	34	10	4	14	48
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.1	---	30.1	33.4	24.6	28.0	---	27.1	28.4	----	24.0	25.5
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	4.1	---	11.1	12.4	3.3	7.2	---	6.3	6.9	----	3.0	4.6
Above Average Ratio	9.9	---	11.4	19.1	7.4	11.0	---	11.9	12.1	----	6.6	8.2
Total	14.0	---	22.5	31.5	10.7	18.2	---	18.2	19.0	----	9.6	12.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	2.4	3.4	1.7	2.1	13.1	4.4	17.5	8.8	62.6	71.4	88.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	
10 and " 12	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	7	9	10	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	3	4	8	12	15	
14 " " 16	2	0	1	2	1	6	0	6	6	9	15	21	
16 " " 18	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	3	3	5	9	12	
18 " " 20	0	1	2	2	2	7	1	8	3	7	12	20	
20 " " 22	3	0	1	3	5	12	0	12	5	12	17	29	
22 " " 24	3	1	0	1	2	7	0	7	3	9	12	19	
24 " " 26	0	0	0	2	3	5	0	5	1	12	13	18	
26 " " 28	2	1	1	1	3	8	0	8	4	15	19	27	
28 " " 30	1	1	0	0	2	4	2	6	1	7	10	16	
30 " " 32	0	1	3	1	2	7	0	7	2	1	3	10	
32 " " 34	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	4	3	9	12	16	
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	0	1	3	
36 " " 38	1	1	0	1	0	3	1	4	2	0	2	6	
38 " " 40	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	
40 " " 42	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	3	2	4	6	9	
42 " " 44	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	
44 " " 46	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	3	0	1	1	4	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	5	6	8	
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	3	
60 and Over	0	0	2	4	4	10	0	10	2	10	12	22	
Total Cases	16	6	17	24	30	93	5	98	48	124	6	178	276
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.9	27.3	29.2	26.9	28.2	26.8	---	28.2	23.2	23.1	----	27.8	27.9
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	3.2	4.3	10.0	7.9	6.8	6.2	---	5.9	7.2	4.8	----	7.6	7.3
Above Average Ratio	6.1	3.7	13.2	12.1	5.3	8.7	---	9.1	10.1	8.9	----	2.4	3.7
Total	9.3	8.0	23.2	20.0	12.1	14.9	---	15.0	17.3	13.7	----	10.0	11.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	2.4	3.4	1.7	2.1	13.1	4.4	17.5	8.8	6.7	55.9	71.4	88.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	
12 " " 14	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	2	
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	3	1	4	
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
18 " " 20	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	3	
20 " " 22	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	1	3	
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	3	
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	
26 " " 28	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	3	0	3	
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	3	0	3	
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
32 " " 34	0	2	0	2	0	4	0	0	4	0	4	
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
Total Cases	0	3	2	5	10	20	6	1	27	8	35	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	---	22.0	23.6	30.6	---	25.8	19.4	20.3	
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	---	4.0	4.4	7.6	---	5.4	2.4	2.8	
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	---	10.8	8.7	18.4	---	11.7	5.6	6.6	
Total	---	---	---	---	14.8	13.1	26.0	---	17.1	8.0	9.4	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8	12.2	6.1	8.3	26.6	73.2	99.7	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48								
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	1	3
12 " " 14	1	0	2	2	1	6	1	0	7	0	0	0	7
14 " " 16	0	0	1	3	1	5	1	0	6	0	1	1	7
16 " " 18	0	0	0	5	2	7	0	0	7	1	0	1	8
18 " " 20	1	1	0	12	0	14	0	0	14	2	1	3	17
20 " " 22	1	0	0	9	2	12	0	0	12	4	0	4	16
22 " " 24	0	0	0	4	1	5	1	0	6	0	1	1	7
24 " " 26	1	1	0	2	3	7	0	0	7	1	0	1	8
26 " " 28	2	1	1	1	4	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
28 " " 30	2	1	0	0	4	7	1	0	8	0	0	0	8
30 " " 32	1	0	1	1	1	4	0	0	4	1	1	2	6
32 " " 34	1	4	0	3	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
34 " " 36	1	1	1	1	1	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
36 " " 38	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
38 " " 40	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
40 " " 42	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	2	3	1	0	4	0	1	1	5
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	4
Total Cases	11	15	8	44	25	103	9	2	114	9	7	16	130
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.5	31.9	29.2	20.5	24.0	23.7	33.5	---	26.3	20.4	----	19.1	20.2
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	4.5	2.1	15.2	2.3	4.2	3.6	12.5	---	6.0	1.2	----	1.3	2.0
Above Average Ratio	4.0	6.6	7.6	2.5	5.4	3.8	21.5	---	8.4	2.2	----	3.5	4.3
Total	8.5	8.7	22.8	4.8	9.6	7.4	34.0	---	14.4	3.4	----	4.8	6.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8	12.2	6.1	8.3	26.6	39.9	33.3	73.2	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pitkin County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	2	0	2	5
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	5	6	0	6	1	1	2	8
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	4	1	0	1	5
16 " " 18	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
18 " " 20	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	4	0	0	0	4
20 " " 22	2	0	0	1	1	4	0	4	2	0	2	6
22 " " 24	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
24 " " 26	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	3
26 " " 28	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	3
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	10	3	0	3	14	30	2	32	6	3	9	41
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.6	---	---	---	14.5	17.6	----	17.1	12.0	----	21.1	18.4
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	1.4	---	----	---	2.3	1.9	----	1.7	0.5	----	6.1	3.1
Above Average Ratio	1.9	---	----	---	1.2	1.4	----	1.9	8.5	----	4.1	2.7
Total	3.3	---	----	---	3.5	3.3	----	3.6	9.0	----	10.2	5.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.8	1.7	0.1	0.1	12.8	25.5	19.8	45.2	3.4	49.3	52.7	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pitkin County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48								
Under 10	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	8	0	8	10
10 and " 12	1	0	1	0	14	16	0	0	16	4	0	4	20
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	8	10	0	0	10	3	1	4	14
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	6	6	2	0	8	2	0	2	10
16 " " 18	2	3	0	0	2	7	1	1	9	1	1	2	11
18 " " 20	8	3	0	1	2	14	1	0	15	0	0	0	15
20 " " 22	3	1	0	1	2	7	0	0	7	3	1	4	11
22 " " 24	6	1	0	0	1	8	1	0	9	0	0	0	9
24 " " 26	5	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	1	1	7
26 " " 28	3	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	4	0	1	1	5
28 " " 30	1	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	4
30 " " 32	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
32 " " 34	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	3
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2
Total Cases	31	10	1	5	45	92	10	1	103	21	5	26	129
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.4	22.5	---	---	15.1	18.0	23.2	---	19.8	9.0	----	18.2	19.2
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	3.2	4.8	---	---	3.8	3.7	6.2	---	4.5	1.8	----	4.4	4.5
Above Average Ratio	2.9	0.5	---	---	4.7	3.9	16.3	---	8.4	5.8	----	4.7	6.8
Total	6.1	5.3	---	---	8.5	7.6	22.5	---	12.9	7.6	----	9.1	11.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.8	1.7	0.1	0.1	12.8	25.5	18.2	1.6	45.2	3.4	49.3	52.7	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Prowers County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	1	0	1	2	4	0	0	4	0	3	0	3	7
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	3	0	1	2	3	6
16 " " 18	0	0	1	4	2	7	2	0	9	0	1	0	1	10
18 " " 20	0	2	1	4	6	13	0	0	13	2	1	0	3	16
20 " " 22	1	4	1	5	3	14	1	0	15	0	1	0	1	16
22 " " 24	1	9	2	2	4	18	0	0	18	1	0	0	1	19
24 " " 26	5	3	0	6	4	18	0	0	18	1	1	0	2	20
26 " " 28	2	3	1	1	3	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10
28 " " 30	0	2	1	2	4	9	0	1	10	1	0	0	1	11
30 " " 32	3	2	0	2	4	11	0	0	11	1	0	1	2	13
32 " " 34	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	3	4
34 " " 36	3	0	1	0	2	6	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
36 " " 38	0	1	0	1	4	6	1	0	7	3	0	0	3	10
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	4
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
42 " " 44	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
50 " " 55	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
Total Cases	15	29	10	33	45	132	8	1	141	15	10	5	30	171
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.0	23.9	26.4	22.3	25.6	24.6	25.0	---	24.7	32.8	16.7	---	26.8	25.9
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	2.3	1.8	5.4	3.7	5.4	3.5	5.8	---	4.3	6.8	3.7	---	5.6	5.0
Above Average Ratio	4.5	3.9	6.6	5.2	9.2	5.7	18.0	---	10.0	5.4	4.3	---	5.0	7.0
Total	6.8	5.7	12.0	8.9	14.6	9.2	23.8	---	14.3	12.2	8.0	---	10.6	12.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5	23.1	12.2	4.3	39.6	45.7	13.8	-c-	59.5	99.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Prowers County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	3	4
12 " " 14	0	1	0	3	4	8	1	0	9	0	7	0	1	8	17
14 " " 16	0	0	0	6	5	11	0	0	11	0	4	1	1	6	17
16 " " 18	0	0	2	8	5	15	2	0	17	3	1	0	0	4	21
18 " " 20	2	4	2	6	12	26	0	0	26	3	1	0	0	4	30
20 " " 22	3	6	4	9	14	36	1	0	37	0	3	0	0	3	40
22 " " 24	7	13	3	14	10	47	0	0	47	2	1	0	0	3	50
24 " " 26	11	9	2	18	12	52	0	0	52	2	1	2	0	5	57
26 " " 28	12	13	1	11	11	48	0	0	48	3	0	0	0	3	51
28 " " 30	5	7	1	6	9	28	1	1	30	2	1	0	0	3	33
30 " " 32	5	5	0	6	8	24	1	0	25	3	0	1	0	4	29
32 " " 34	1	1	1	4	2	9	0	0	9	2	0	1	0	3	12
34 " " 36	3	1	3	2	4	13	1	0	14	1	0	0	0	1	15
36 " " 38	1	1	0	1	6	9	2	1	12	5	0	0	0	5	17
38 " " 40	0	0	0	2	2	4	2	0	6	4	0	0	0	4	10
40 " " 42	0	1	0	3	5	9	2	0	11	1	0	1	0	2	13
42 " " 44	0	1	1	1	1	4	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	2	6
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
46 " " 48	0	2	0	2	0	4	1	1	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
50 " " 55	0	1	1	3	2	7	0	0	7	1	1	0	0	2	9
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
60 and Over	0	4	0	3	3	10	5	1	16	2	0	1	0	3	19
Total Cases	50	71	22	109	117	369	24	4	397	36	23	9	2	70	467
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.1	26.0	24.2	24.2	25.0	25.2	31.5	---	27.0	32.1	17.0	---	---	26.6	26.8
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	4.5	3.3	----	---	1.8	7.1	4.2	---	---	6.0	4.5
Above Average Ratio	2.9	4.1	8.9	6.0	6.4	5.2	----	---	11.7	6.4	3.8	---	---	5.5	7.7
Total	4.9	7.1	12.8	9.3	10.9	8.5	----	---	13.5	13.5	8.0	---	---	11.5	12.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5	23.1	12.2	4.3	39.6	45.7	13.8	0.0	0.0	59.4	99.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pueblo County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	1	1	0	3	19	24	0	0	0	24	4	0	0	4	28
10 and " 12	0	2	0	3	35	40	0	1	0	41	8	13	1	22	63
12 " " 14	1	2	6	12	42	63	0	0	0	63	9	14	1	24	87
14 " " 16	1	5	7	31	30	74	0	0	0	74	10	15	0	25	99
16 " " 18	3	8	4	33	44	92	0	1	1	94	17	14	1	32	126
18 " " 20	10	31	13	26	33	113	0	0	0	113	8	8	0	16	129
20 " " 22	20	33	12	30	24	119	0	0	1	120	17	19	0	36	156
22 " " 24	49	56	13	31	15	164	0	2	0	166	9	8	0	17	183
24 " " 26	76	55	8	21	13	173	2	1	1	177	15	9	0	24	201
26 " " 28	89	50	4	8	6	157	0	0	1	158	15	7	0	22	180
28 " " 30	116	23	5	2	5	151	4	1	0	156	16	6	1	23	179
30 " " 32	93	11	3	8	7	122	1	1	0	124	20	9	0	29	153
32 " " 34	26	11	1	0	4	42	2	0	0	44	12	2	0	14	58
34 " " 36	5	4	0	1	1	11	1	3	0	15	5	2	0	7	22
36 " " 38	2	4	0	0	4	10	0	0	1	11	1	0	0	1	12
38 " " 40	2	2	0	1	2	7	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	2	9
40 " " 42	3	3	0	0	2	8	0	1	0	9	3	0	0	3	12
42 " " 44	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	4	6
44 " " 46	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	3	1	2	0	3	6
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
50 " " 55	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
55 " " 60	1	1	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
60 and Over	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	4	0	1	0	1	5
Total Cases	500	307	76	213	291	1,387	10	16	6	1,419	175	131	4	310	1,729
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.7	24.6	21.5	19.8	16.9	22.8	30.0	37.5	27.8	25.4	25.0	19.6	---	22.3	24.3
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	2.6	2.9	3.2	3.5	4.0	3.2	1.8	13.5	6.8	4.9	7.5	4.8	---	6.3	5.4
Above Average Ratio	2.5	2.9	3.0	3.6	4.4	3.2	2.5	8.5	9.2	4.2	5.3	6.0	---	7.3	5.3
Total	5.1	5.8	6.2	7.1	8.4	6.4	4.3	22.0	16.0	9.1	12.8	10.8	---	13.6	10.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5	15.5	1.7	65.7	24.7	0.3	7.5	32.7	98.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pueblo County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	2	2	0	3	24	31	0	0	0	31	4	0	7	16	27	58
10 and " 12	1	5	0	8	77	91	0	2	0	93	2	3	16	33	54	147
12 " " 14	2	4	11	32	91	140	0	0	0	140	2	3	20	51	76	216
14 " " 16	4	11	10	73	93	191	0	2	2	195	2	0	25	31	58	253
16 " " 18	5	20	7	76	103	211	1	1	1	214	2	2	34	32	70	284
18 " " 20	23	54	25	68	75	245	2	2	0	249	2	1	27	22	52	301
20 " " 22	63	87	30	87	68	335	2	3	1	341	0	1	33	56	90	431
22 " " 24	122	146	29	62	56	415	3	5	1	424	1	0	26	21	48	472
24 " " 26	232	147	23	42	34	478	3	3	1	485	1	2	30	32	65	550
26 " " 28	348	118	13	25	23	527	1	1	1	530	2	1	26	14	43	573
28 " " 30	430	68	7	12	14	531	4	4	0	539	1	0	23	18	42	581
30 " " 32	273	35	5	21	16	350	4	2	1	357	1	0	29	55	85	442
32 " " 34	111	35	1	6	7	160	5	3	0	168	0	1	23	7	31	199
34 " " 36	29	12	2	2	6	51	6	6	1	64	0	0	12	11	23	87
36 " " 38	13	8	2	2	6	31	2	1	1	35	1	0	5	3	9	44
38 " " 40	11	3	2	4	6	26	2	2	0	30	0	1	10	2	13	43
40 " " 42	8	11	2	4	5	30	0	3	0	33	1	0	6	0	7	40
42 " " 44	4	6	0	1	0	11	0	4	0	15	0	0	4	2	6	21
44 " " 46	2	4	1	1	2	10	0	1	0	11	0	0	4	3	7	18
46 " " 48	1	1	1	3	1	7	0	2	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
48 " " 50	2	6	0	0	0	8	0	1	0	9	0	0	2	0	2	11
50 " " 55	3	1	0	2	3	9	0	2	0	11	0	0	3	0	3	14
55 " " 60	2	2	0	1	1	6	1	2	0	9	0	0	2	0	2	11
60 and Over	7	4	1	1	4	17	0	3	2	22	0	0	10	1	10	32
Total Cases	1,698	790	172	536	715	3,911	36	55	12	4,014	22	15	376	410	823	4,837
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.1	25.0	22.2	20.1	17.8	23.4	30.3	34.9	39.0	25.8	17.7	16.6	24.3	20.2	22.3	24.5
Measure of Variation^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.4	2.8	3.0	3.6	4.1	3.2	5.6	11.4	---	4.9	6.2	4.1	6.7	6.0	6.5	5.5
Above Average Ratio	2.2	3.0	3.3	3.7	4.4	3.1	4.4	7.7	---	3.9	8.8	8.6	6.8	7.7	7.4	5.2
Total	4.6	5.8	6.3	7.3	8.5	6.3	10.0	19.1	---	8.8	15.0	12.7	13.5	13.7	13.9	10.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5	15.5	1.7	65.7	7.2	0.4	24.7	0.3	32.7	98.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Blanco County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
16 " " 18	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	2
18 " " 20	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	3
20 " " 22	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
22 " " 24	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
24 " " 26	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4
26 " " 28	1	5	0	0	1	7	0	7	0	7
28 " " 30	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	4
30 " " 32	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
34 " " 36	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2
Total Cases	6	17	0	1	5	29	5	34	7	41
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.1	25.7	---	---	---	27.8	----	34.8	15.6	29.2
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	4.1	1.6	---	---	---	3.3	----	5.3	1.2	4.9
Above Average Ratio	3.9	2.2	---	---	---	3.8	----	11.9	15.0	11.9
Total	8.0	3.8	---	---	---	7.1	----	17.2	16.2	16.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	7.9	2.6	3.3	2.9	23.1	13.2	36.3	61.2	97.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Blanco County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
16 " " 18	0	2	1	3	2	8	0	8	2	0	2	10
18 " " 20	0	3	0	0	2	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
20 " " 22	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
22 " " 24	0	5	2	1	1	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
24 " " 26	0	8	1	0	1	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
26 " " 28	2	7	1	1	2	13	2	15	0	1	1	16
28 " " 30	6	9	0	0	0	15	1	16	0	1	1	17
30 " " 32	4	3	0	0	0	7	0	7	1	0	1	8
32 " " 34	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	2	3	7
34 " " 36	1	3	1	0	0	5	0	5	1	1	2	7
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	4
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	1	1	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	0	1	0	0	1	2	3	5	0	0	0	5
Total Cases	22	48	6	6	10	92	10	102	8	9	17	119
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.0	26.1	24.1	20.0	24.8	25.9	----	31.8	15.4	----	10.3	21.6
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	2.8	3.3	1.6	3.7	6.3	3.5	----	5.0	2.4	----	3.0	4.1
Above Average Ratio	3.0	3.2	2.9	3.0	2.7	3.0	----	9.7	16.6	----	29.2	19.0
Total	5.8	6.5	4.5	6.7	9.0	6.5	----	14.7	19.0	----	32.2	23.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	7.8	2.6	3.3	2.9	23.1	13.2	36.3	2.9	58.3	61.2	97.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Grande County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages							
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
16 " " 18	0	1	1	1	1	4	1	5	1	0	0	1	6
18 " " 20	0	0	3	1	3	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
20 " " 22	1	1	2	3	2	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
22 " " 24	0	1	1	1	3	6	0	6	1	1	2	4	10
24 " " 26	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	3
26 " " 28	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
28 " " 30	4	0	0	1	4	9	0	9	2	2	0	4	13
30 " " 32	2	2	0	0	2	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
32 " " 34	2	1	1	0	2	6	0	6	1	1	0	2	8
34 " " 36	2	1	1	0	0	4	0	4	2	1	0	3	7
36 " " 38	1	1	0	1	1	4	0	4	1	1	0	2	6
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	1	0	1	1	3	2	5	0	0	0	0	5
Total Cases	12	17	11	12	23	75	4	79	9	8	4	21	100
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.9	32.6	24.0	26.6	27.2	28.2	----	24.7	31.3	30.2	---	31.1	28.7
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	1.9	8.1	4.8	5.9	5.4	5.1	----	5.4	4.2	6.2	---	4.5	4.8
Above Average Ratio	3.1	8.9	10.5	18.2	6.0	9.3	----	25.3	4.5	3.8	---	4.4	12.3
Total	5.0	17.0	15.3	24.1	11.4	14.4	----	30.7	8.7	10.0	---	8.9	17.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.6	20.3	11.6	31.9	54.2	8.9	4.3	67.4	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Grande County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	3
16 " " 18	0	1	2	1	3	7	1	0	8	2	1	0	1	4	12
18 " " 20	0	0	3	2	6	11	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
20 " " 22	1	1	2	5	7	16	1	0	17	0	0	1	0	1	18
22 " " 24	0	2	1	7	8	18	0	1	19	2	2	2	0	6	25
24 " " 26	0	2	2	4	1	9	1	0	10	0	1	1	0	2	12
26 " " 28	1	3	1	5	6	16	1	1	18	2	1	0	0	3	21
28 " " 30	8	5	0	7	9	29	0	0	29	4	4	0	0	8	37
30 " " 32	5	4	1	2	11	23	0	0	23	2	3	0	0	5	28
32 " " 34	4	5	1	2	9	21	0	0	21	2	4	1	0	7	28
34 " " 36	4	5	1	1	4	15	0	0	15	2	3	0	0	5	20
36 " " 38	2	1	0	2	3	8	0	0	8	2	2	0	0	4	12
38 " " 40	1	4	0	0	3	8	0	0	8	2	1	0	0	3	11
40 " " 42	0	2	1	0	1	4	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
42 " " 44	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
44 " " 46	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	1	5
46 " " 48	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	0	1	2	2	3	8	3	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
Total Cases	27	40	21	45	79	212	10	5	227	22	24	7	2	55	282
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.5	31.8	25.9	26.1	28.2	28.6	24.5	---	27.3	31.8	30.2	23.1	---	31.5	30.0
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	2.3	3.8	6.4	4.0	5.5	4.3	5.5	---	4.9	4.3	4.2	1.6	---	4.3	4.5
Above Average Ratio	3.1	6.2	15.6	3.8	5.4	5.7	38.0	---	17.5	4.7	3.8	7.9	---	4.6	9.2
Total	5.4	10.0	22.0	7.8	10.9	10.0	43.5	---	22.4	9.0	8.0	9.5	---	8.9	13.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.7	20.3	10.2	1.4	31.9	54.2	8.9	0.3	4.0	67.4	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Routt County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3	1	4
18 " " 20	0	0	0	3	2	5	0	5	1	6
20 " " 22	0	3	0	2	3	8	1	9	0	9
22 " " 24	0	4	1	0	4	9	0	9	1	10
24 " " 26	0	0	1	1	2	4	1	5	3	8
26 " " 28	1	1	2	0	2	6	0	6	3	9
28 " " 30	1	2	0	1	0	4	0	4	0	4
30 " " 32	0	2	0	1	1	4	0	4	0	4
32 " " 34	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
34 " " 36	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	4	0	4
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2
40 " " 42	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	3
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	3	0	3
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3
Total Cases	2	17	6	17	22	64	4	68	13	81
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	27.2	27.0	25.7	24.4	26.4	----	25.2	22.5	23.2
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	0.7	4.6	6.2	4.1	4.1	---	3.4	3.9	3.7
Above Average Ratio	---	1.3	6.3	26.2	6.6	12.8	---	8.9	3.6	5.1
Total	---	2.0	10.9	32.4	10.7	16.9	---	12.3	7.5	8.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.3	4.6	2.5	6.6	2.0	19.0	9.8	28.8	70.6	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Routt County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
16 " " 18	0	0	1	3	2	6	0	0	6	1	0	1	0	2	8
18 " " 20	0	3	1	6	2	12	0	0	12	1	2	0	1	4	16
20 " " 22	0	4	0	3	7	14	1	0	15	2	1	0	0	3	18
22 " " 24	1	5	4	1	7	18	1	0	19	1	2	3	0	6	25
24 " " 26	0	7	1	3	5	16	1	0	17	4	0	2	1	7	24
26 " " 28	1	3	3	3	3	13	0	0	13	2	0	1	2	5	18
28 " " 30	2	4	4	4	3	17	1	0	18	0	2	1	0	3	21
30 " " 32	0	4	3	2	2	11	0	0	11	0	1	1	1	3	14
32 " " 34	0	3	2	3	2	10	0	0	10	0	1	2	0	3	13
34 " " 36	0	3	2	1	1	7	1	2	10	0	1	0	0	1	11
36 " " 38	0	0	1	2	1	4	2	0	6	0	1	3	0	4	10
38 " " 40	0	0	1	2	2	5	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	6
40 " " 42	0	2	0	1	3	6	1	0	7	1	0	1	0	2	9
42 " " 44	0	2	0	3	0	5	1	0	6	3	0	0	0	3	9
44 " " 46	0	1	1	1	2	5	2	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	8
46 " " 48	0	2	1	0	2	5	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	2	7
48 " " 50	0	0	3	1	1	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
50 " " 55	0	0	1	5	0	6	1	0	7	0	0	1	0	1	8
55 " " 60	0	1	0	3	2	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
60 and Over	0	0	4	18	2	24	4	0	28	0	0	0	2	2	30
Total Cases	4	44	33	67	50	198	16	2	216	16	11	19	9	55	271
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	28.1	31.5	32.9	26.8	29.8	30.5	---	30.0	24.4	23.8	31.7	28.6	24.8	26.1
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	---	4.5	4.7	7.7	4.7	15.2	1.5	---	3.0	2.4	2.3	5.9	11.1	2.8	2.9
Above Average Ratio	---	5.9	16.0	29.2	12.7	14.4	34.5	---	20.8	17.6	8.7	6.8	10.0	16.2	17.4
Total	---	10.4	20.7	36.9	17.4	29.6	36.0	---	23.8	20.0	11.0	12.7	21.1	19.0	20.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.3	4.6	2.5	6.5	2.0	19.0	9.1	0.7	28.8	59.1	5.1	4.0	2.5	70.6	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Saguache County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20 " " 22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
24 " " 26	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	3	1	4
26 " " 28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
28 " " 30	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	3
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	1	2	1	0	4	0	4	0	4
Total Cases	0	4	3	3	1	11	0	11	7	18
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	---	---	24.9	---	25.0	19.4	20.3
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	----	----
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	----	----
Total	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.7	2.1	4.7	2.0	13.4	6.6	20.0	79.5	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Saguache County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	3
18 " " 20	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
20 " " 22	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	1	5	6
22 " " 24	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
24 " " 26	0	3	1	3	1	8	0	0	8	1	0	0	1	9
26 " " 28	0	2	0	2	0	4	0	1	5	3	1	0	4	9
28 " " 30	0	1	0	2	2	5	0	0	5	0	1	0	1	6
30 " " 32	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
32 " " 34	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
34 " " 36	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	1	4	1	0	0	1	5
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	4	2	0	0	2	6
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
60 and Over	0	3	4	2	4	13	0	1	14	1	0	0	1	15
Total Cases	2	14	9	19	13	57	8	3	68	15	9	2	26	94
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	29.6	27.7	32.0	52.6	32.7	27.6	---	30.6	21.2	20.0	---	21.1	22.5
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	---	4.6	4.8	5.2	19.4	4.5	0.8	---	2.9	1.2	2.8	---	----	----
Above Average Ratio	---	4.1	56.1	14.5	24.3	21.8	29.1	---	24.8	17.0	7.5	---	----	----
Total	---	8.7	60.9	19.7	43.7	26.3	29.9	---	27.7	18.2	10.3	---	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.7	2.1	4.7	2.0	13.4	6.6	0.0	20.0	69.7	7.5	2.4	79.5	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0
16 " " 18	0	0	0
18 " " 20	0	0	0
20 " " 22	0	0	0
22 " " 24	0	0	0
24 " " 26	0	0	0
26 " " 28	2	0	2
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0
Total Cases	4	0	4
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.5	----	----
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	2.7	----	----
Above Average Ratio	11.7	----	----
Total	14.4	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.8	68.1	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	1	0	1
12 " " 14	1	0	1
14 " " 16	2	0	2
16 " " 18	1	0	1
18 " " 20	1	0	1
20 " " 22	2	0	2
22 " " 24	0	0	0
24 " " 26	1	0	1
26 " " 28	5	0	5
28 " " 30	1	0	1
30 " " 32	3	0	3
32 " " 34	1	0	1
34 " " 36	4	0	4
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1
40 " " 42	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	2	0	2
46 " " 48	1	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	1
55 " " 60	1	0	1
60 and Over	1	0	1
Total Cases	31	0	31
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	----	----
Measure of Variation^a			
Below Average Ratio	6.5	----	----
Above Average Ratio	9.2	----	----
Total	15.7	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	30.8	68.1	98.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	1	1
16 "	" 18	0	0	0	0	0
18 "	" 20	0	0	0	0	0
20 "	" 22	2	0	2	0	2
22 "	" 24	2	0	2	0	2
24 "	" 26	1	0	1	0	1
26 "	" 28	1	0	1	0	1
28 "	" 30	3	0	3	0	3
30 "	" 32	2	0	2	1	3
32 "	" 34	3	0	3	0	3
34 "	" 36	2	0	2	0	2
36 "	" 38	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	" 40	1	0	1	0	1
40 "	" 42	1	0	1	0	1
42 "	" 44	1	0	1	0	1
44 "	" 46	1	0	1	0	1
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	" 50	2	0	2	0	2
50 "	" 55	2	0	2	0	2
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over		2	1	3	0	3
Total Cases		26	1	27	2	29
Average Sales Ratio (%)		----	---	33.2	----	----
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio		----	---	4.9	----	----
Above Average Ratio		----	---	11.8	----	----
Total		----	---	16.7	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		16.5	5.2	21.7	78.0	99.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	1	1
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	2	2
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	0	0
20 "	"	22	4	0	4	0	4
22 "	"	24	6	1	7	0	7
24 "	"	26	5	0	5	1	6
26 "	"	28	5	0	5	1	6
28 "	"	30	9	0	9	1	10
30 "	"	32	6	1	7	4	11
32 "	"	34	4	0	4	0	4
34 "	"	36	4	1	5	0	5
36 "	"	38	2	0	2	1	3
38 "	"	40	3	0	3	0	3
40 "	"	42	2	0	2	0	2
42 "	"	44	3	1	4	0	4
44 "	"	46	2	0	2	1	3
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	3	0	3	0	3
50 "	"	55	5	0	5	0	5
55 "	"	60	1	0	1	0	1
60 and Over			9	4	13	0	13
Total Cases			73	8	81	12	93
Average Sales Ratio (%)			33.0	---	33.2	26.1	27.4
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			5.7	---	4.6	4.9	4.9
Above Average Ratio			13.2	---	18.6	5.4	7.7
Total			18.9	---	23.2	10.3	12.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			16.5	5.2	21.7	78.0	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sedgwick County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 " " 18	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	1	3
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
20 " " 22	0	2	0	2	1	5	0	5	1	6
22 " " 24	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	3	1	4
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3	1	4
26 " " 28	0	1	1	3	0	5	0	5	1	6
28 " " 30	1	2	0	1	0	4	1	5	0	5
30 " " 32	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	4	0	4
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3
Total Cases	1	6	2	15	4	28	6	34	7	41
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	25.9	---	25.2	---	25.5	----	30.7	20.1	23.6
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	---	4.4	---	3.4	---	3.5	----	5.2	1.6	3.1
Above Average Ratio	---	3.6	---	5.3	---	4.3	----	8.6	3.9	4.9
Total	---	8.0	---	8.7	---	7.8	----	13.8	5.5	8.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.2	2.3	1.4	5.7	0.8	13.4	18.4	31.8	67.7	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sedgwick County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48								
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	3
16 " " 18	0	1	0	5	0	6	0	0	6	5	0	5	11
18 " " 20	0	0	3	4	0	7	0	0	7	1	0	1	8
20 " " 22	0	2	1	8	1	12	1	0	13	3	1	4	17
22 " " 24	1	1	1	6	2	11	0	0	11	2	0	2	13
24 " " 26	0	0	1	4	2	7	0	1	8	0	1	1	9
26 " " 28	2	5	3	9	2	21	1	1	23	1	0	1	24
28 " " 30	2	5	0	1	1	9	1	0	10	0	0	0	10
30 " " 32	1	1	0	2	1	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
32 " " 34	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	4
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	1	0	3	0	4	2	0	6	0	0	0	6
38 " " 40	0	2	0	1	0	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	4
40 " " 42	0	0	1	2	0	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	4
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	7	0	0	0	7
Total Cases	6	19	11	53	11	100	13	5	118	12	5	17	135
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.1	28.6	25.1	24.0	28.7	25.8	28.3	----	29.8	19.2	----	18.3	20.8
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	0.6	2.3	5.3	3.7	4.9	3.0	2.8	----	3.4	2.0	----	3.0	3.0
Above Average Ratio	2.4	1.9	2.4	5.5	6.3	2.9	23.6	----	16.2	2.8	----	8.1	10.0
Total	3.0	4.2	7.7	9.2	11.2	5.9	26.4	----	19.6	4.8	----	11.1	13.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.2	2.3	1.4	5.7	0.8	13.4	6.5	11.9	31.9	26.6	41.1	67.7	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Summit County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	2	0	2	2	0	2	4
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
16 "	"	18	1	0	1	4	0	4	5
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20 "	"	22	2	1	3	1	1	2	5
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24 "	"	26	1	0	1	3	0	3	4
26 "	"	28	2	0	2	10	0	10	12
28 "	"	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	2	1	3	3
34 "	"	36	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases			11	1	12	25	3	28	40
Average Sales Ratio (%)			22.9	---	23.1	25.2	----	25.3	24.9
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			4.6	---	4.8	8.1	----	3.6	3.5
Above Average Ratio			10.5	---	10.3	2.2	----	5.7	6.3
Total			15.1	---	15.1	10.3	----	9.3	9.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			8.5	7.0	15.5	15.2	68.7	83.9	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Summit County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	4
10 and " 12	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	2	4
12 " " 14	5	0	0	5	0	2	0	2	7
14 " " 16	5	1	0	6	0	2	0	2	8
16 " " 18	5	0	0	5	1	4	0	5	10
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
20 " " 22	6	1	0	7	2	2	0	4	11
22 " " 24	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
24 " " 26	2	1	0	3	1	4	0	5	8
26 " " 28	3	0	0	3	0	10	0	10	13
28 " " 30	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
30 " " 32	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
32 " " 34	0	1	0	1	1	5	0	6	7
34 " " 36	4	0	0	4	2	2	0	4	8
36 " " 38	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
38 " " 40	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
Total Cases	40	8	0	48	9	41	1	51	99
Average Sales Ratio (%)	21.2	29.2	---	24.1	29.4	23.9	----	28.0	27.3
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio	5.7	11.2	---	7.7	8.2	7.3	----	8.0	7.9
Above Average Ratio	9.0	22.5	---	14.0	5.4	4.0	----	5.0	6.6
Total	14.7	33.7	---	21.7	13.6	11.3	----	13.0	14.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.5	5.2	1.8	15.5	54.6	15.2	14.1	83.9	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Teller County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	1	2	0	3	5
12 " " 14	0	1	0	1	1	3	2	5	1	2	0	3	8
14 " " 16	2	2	1	2	1	8	0	8	1	3	0	4	12
16 " " 18	1	0	2	1	4	8	0	8	1	1	0	2	10
18 " " 20	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
20 " " 22	1	1	1	1	2	6	0	6	0	2	0	2	8
22 " " 24	3	2	1	2	1	9	0	9	0	1	0	1	10
24 " " 26	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	2	0	2	5
26 " " 28	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
30 " " 32	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	3	0	1	0	1	4
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	4
Total Cases	10	7	5	11	26	59	5	64	6	15	0	21	85
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.9	17.8	---	17.8	25.1	20.5	----	21.8	15.1	18.2	----	15.9	18.5
Measure of Variation ^a													
Below Average Ratio	3.9	3.0	---	4.3	6.1	3.9	----	6.2	2.1	4.4	----	2.7	4.2
Above Average Ratio	4.1	5.4	---	4.4	19.9	8.4	----	12.1	3.9	6.0	----	4.4	7.7
Total	8.0	8.4	---	8.7	26.0	12.3	----	18.3	6.0	10.4	----	7.1	11.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.2	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.3	23.5	11.3	34.8	23.2	9.5	27.4	60.1	94.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Teller County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Aces	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	1	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	3	0	1	2	3	6
10 and " 12	0	0	1	3	2	6	0	0	6	1	3	0	4	10
12 " " 14	1	3	0	3	2	9	2	0	11	1	5	2	8	19
14 " " 16	6	4	1	2	8	21	0	0	21	2	3	0	5	26
16 " " 18	5	4	5	2	9	25	0	0	25	1	4	1	6	31
18 " " 20	3	0	0	2	2	7	0	0	7	1	1	0	2	9
20 " " 22	4	2	2	3	3	14	1	0	15	1	7	0	8	23
22 " " 24	7	3	1	2	3	16	0	0	16	0	2	0	2	18
24 " " 26	1	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	5	0	3	1	4	9
26 " " 28	3	3	0	1	4	11	0	0	11	0	1	0	1	12
28 " " 30	1	0	0	2	4	7	2	0	9	0	1	0	1	10
30 " " 32	1	1	0	0	4	6	1	0	7	0	1	0	1	8
32 " " 34	1	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	5
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
38 " " 40	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	4	4	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	0	5	0	3	0	3	8
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
60 and Over	0	1	2	0	6	9	5	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
Total Cases	36	22	12	25	76	171	16	0	187	8	38	6	52	239
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.6	18.5	18.4	17.9	23.5	20.4	30.0	---	22.8	15.5	19.8	----	17.9	19.4
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	4.2	3.3	2.0	4.4	6.2	4.3	1.0	---	3.5	1.5	5.3	----	6.1	5.3
Above Average Ratio	3.4	7.8	3.6	9.6	22.0	9.9	52.5	---	20.4	4.5	5.9	----	3.4	8.8
Total	7.6	11.1	5.6	14.0	28.2	14.2	53.5	---	23.9	6.0	11.2	----	9.5	14.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.2	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.3	23.5	11.3	0.1	34.8	23.2	9.5	27.4	60.1	94.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Washington County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages						
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	1	1	4
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	4	5
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	1	2	4
18 " " 20	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	3	2	0	2	5
20 " " 22	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	1	1	4
22 " " 24	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
26 " " 28	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
28 " " 30	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
30 " " 32	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	1	1	5
Total Cases	4	2	1	11	4	22	7	29	6	7	13	42
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	---	19.1	---	21.4	---	31.1	17.8	----	16.6	17.4
Measure of Variation ^a												
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	1.6	---	3.4	---	----	2.3	----	1.7	2.3
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	5.1	---	5.8	---	----	1.7	----	2.2	1.8
Total	---	---	---	6.7	---	9.2	---	----	4.0	----	3.9	4.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.7	0.9	0.4	2.6	0.6	6.2	4.5	10.7	51.7	37.1	88.8	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Washington County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages				With Impts.	Without Impts.				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	3	5
12 " " 14	1	0	0	2	2	5	0	0	5	2	4	1	0	7	12
14 " " 16	0	1	0	2	1	4	0	0	4	3	5	1	0	9	13
16 " " 18	0	0	0	11	3	14	0	0	14	4	5	0	0	9	23
18 " " 20	1	0	3	6	0	10	0	0	10	1	2	0	0	3	13
20 " " 22	1	0	0	5	0	6	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	2	8
22 " " 24	3	1	0	2	4	10	0	0	10	1	1	0	0	2	12
24 " " 26	1	1	1	3	1	7	0	0	7	2	3	0	0	5	12
26 " " 28	4	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	4	0	1	1	0	2	6
28 " " 30	1	1	0	2	1	5	0	0	5	1	2	2	0	5	10
30 " " 32	2	0	0	1	1	4	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
32 " " 34	2	0	0	3	1	6	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
34 " " 36	2	0	1	1	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	1	1	2	0	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
40 " " 42	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	1	1	1	0	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	7
Total Cases	21	7	7	41	17	93	8	2	103	18	26	6	0	50	153
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.8	27.4	24.0	20.1	20.3	23.1	70.8	---	31.5	17.5	17.3	---	---	17.4	18.3
Measure of Variation ^a															
Below Average Ratio	4.3	10.4	4.8	3.0	4.1	4.5	26.8	---	8.4	2.5	3.1	---	---	2.9	3.3
Above Average Ratio	6.0	9.1	14.0	6.3	9.2	7.4	129.2	---	28.9	7.0	5.7	---	---	6.2	7.5
Total	10.3	19.5	18.8	9.3	13.3	11.9	156.0	---	37.3	9.5	8.8	---	---	9.1	10.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.7	0.9	0.4	2.5	0.6	6.2	4.1	0.4	10.7	37.1	51.7	0.0	0.0	88.8	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Weld County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
Under 10	0	0	0	4	3	7	0	0	0	7	7	2	4	0	13	20
10 and " 12	0	2	1	3	1	7	0	0	0	7	1	1	3	0	5	12
12 " " 14	0	2	2	12	11	27	0	0	0	27	5	0	2	1	8	35
14 " " 16	4	3	1	14	12	34	0	1	0	35	2	3	4	1	10	45
16 " " 18	2	7	2	12	10	33	0	1	2	36	2	4	3	0	9	45
18 " " 20	5	5	7	16	19	52	1	1	1	55	5	0	3	0	8	63
20 " " 22	19	21	3	19	26	88	0	2	0	90	7	0	3	0	10	100
22 " " 24	23	37	8	10	17	95	2	2	0	99	2	0	4	0	6	105
24 " " 26	54	26	7	9	12	108	1	4	1	114	6	2	1	1	10	124
26 " " 28	66	18	3	5	11	103	1	2	0	106	2	1	0	4	7	113
28 " " 30	124	14	2	0	2	142	0	1	2	145	0	0	3	0	3	148
30 " " 32	94	4	1	3	8	110	2	1	0	113	0	0	2	0	2	115
32 " " 34	56	7	0	0	5	68	0	1	0	69	0	0	0	1	1	70
34 " " 36	7	4	0	1	1	13	1	1	0	15	0	1	1	0	2	17
36 " " 38	6	3	1	0	3	13	0	1	0	14	0	0	0	1	1	15
38 " " 40	3	1	1	1	0	6	1	0	0	7	6	1	0	0	7	14
40 " " 42	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
42 " " 44	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	2	4
44 " " 46	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	3	2	2	0	0	4	7
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	2	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
Total Cases	470	155	39	111	144	919	9	20	8	956	52	17	33	9	111	1,067
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	24.4	22.5	19.1	21.3	23.6	26.5	26.1	24.5	24.1	21.0	18.5	19.1	20.6	20.4	21.6
Measure of Variation ^a																
Below Average Ratio	2.6	2.5	3.4	3.9	3.5	3.2	3.3	4.1	6.5	3.4	7.0	3.7	5.9	1.9	6.4	5.3
Above Average Ratio	2.3	3.1	3.0	3.5	4.2	3.1	5.9	5.9	11.7	4.1	7.0	10.5	4.3	7.6	7.1	6.1
Total	4.9	5.6	6.4	7.4	7.7	6.3	9.2	10.0	18.2	7.5	14.0	14.2	10.2	9.5	13.5	11.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.8	4.5	2.2	5.8	4.9	27.2	0.3	8.4	1.1	37.0	46.0	8.4	7.8	0.2	62.4	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Weld County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	With Impts.						Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	6	5	11	0	0	0	11	9	4	4	1	18	29	
10 and " 12	0	2	1	7	11	21	0	2	0	23	6	3	11	1	21	44	
12 " " 14	1	4	2	30	30	67	0	0	0	67	15	5	7	1	28	95	
14 " " 16	6	6	5	31	50	98	0	4	0	102	10	6	7	4	27	129	
16 " " 18	6	15	2	44	40	107	0	3	2	112	13	9	12	6	40	152	
18 " " 20	12	16	15	43	59	145	1	6	1	153	18	3	9	5	35	188	
20 " " 22	39	34	12	46	72	203	0	3	1	207	18	2	4	8	32	239	
22 " " 24	69	79	17	42	52	259	3	7	1	270	22	7	9	11	49	319	
24 " " 26	137	47	17	35	46	282	2	10	3	297	12	6	6	5	29	326	
26 " " 28	189	74	9	18	41	331	3	7	1	342	11	2	4	5	22	364	
28 " " 30	302	47	10	15	16	390	3	3	3	399	11	1	7	10	29	428	
30 " " 32	314	30	8	13	22	387	7	4	0	398	14	1	30	5	50	448	
32 " " 34	286	22	6	10	22	346	2	3	0	351	7	1	10	2	20	371	
34 " " 36	61	15	1	7	6	90	3	7	1	101	9	3	6	1	19	120	
36 " " 38	19	13	4	1	10	47	1	4	0	52	4	0	0	1	5	57	
38 " " 40	13	5	1	3	2	24	2	2	1	29	3	1	3	0	7	36	
40 " " 42	5	4	1	0	7	17	0	4	0	21	2	1	0	0	3	24	
42 " " 44	4	3	1	3	2	13	0	3	3	19	1	0	0	0	1	20	
44 " " 46	4	2	0	2	1	9	0	4	0	13	1	2	0	0	3	16	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	2	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	7	
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	3	9	2	2	1	0	5	14	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	3	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	
60 and Over	2	4	0	2	3	11	1	10	3	25	2	0	2	1	5	30	
Total Cases	1,470	422	112	362	503	2,869	29	94	25	3,017	191	59	132	68	450	3,467	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.7	26.2	24.4	20.9	21.7	24.8	28.9	27.1	35.0	25.6	22.7	18.5	24.6	21.5	22.2	23.4	
Measure of Variation^a																	
Below Average Ratio	2.7	3.5	3.9	4.1	4.2	3.5	2.1	3.5	10.7	3.7	5.5	3.6	7.9	1.9	5.4	4.9	
Above Average Ratio	2.5	3.5	4.4	4.4	4.9	3.8	5.6	15.9	17.9	6.7	17.0	7.3	6.7	7.3	7.0	6.9	
Total	5.2	7.0	8.3	8.5	9.1	7.3	7.7	19.4	28.6	10.4	22.5	10.9	14.6	9.2	12.4	11.8	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	9.8	4.5	2.2	5.8	4.9	27.2	0.3	8.4	1.1	37.0	46.0	8.4	7.8	0.2	62.4	99.4	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Yuma County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1962

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	3
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	4	0	4
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	4
16 " " 18	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	4	0	4
18 " " 20	0	1	0	1	2	4	1	5	5	10
20 " " 22	1	1	0	6	3	11	0	11	1	12
22 " " 24	0	1	0	1	2	4	1	5	1	6
24 " " 26	0	0	1	0	2	3	1	4	0	4
26 " " 28	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	3
28 " " 30	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	3
30 " " 32	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	2
32 " " 34	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	3
34 " " 36	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	2
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
Total Cases	7	4	5	10	22	48	6	54	11	65
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.9	---	---	19.0	19.8	21.3	---	21.3	18.1	18.6
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio	2.1	---	---	2.5	4.0	1.7	---	1.7	2.8	2.7
Above Average Ratio	2.3	---	---	4.0	5.7	3.7	---	3.7	5.0	4.7
Total	4.4	---	---	6.5	9.7	5.4	---	5.4	7.8	7.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7	8.3	23.0	76.9	99.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Yuma County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1960-62 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
12 " " 14	0	0	1	2	4	7	0	0	7	1	2	0	3	10
14 " " 16	0	1	0	4	13	18	0	0	18	2	1	1	4	22
16 " " 18	0	0	1	3	7	11	0	0	11	3	1	0	4	15
18 " " 20	0	1	0	4	4	9	0	1	10	3	5	1	9	19
20 " " 22	1	1	0	12	3	17	0	0	17	2	0	2	4	21
22 " " 24	0	2	0	6	6	14	2	0	16	3	1	1	5	21
24 " " 26	0	4	1	4	2	11	0	1	12	0	1	0	1	13
26 " " 28	4	1	0	4	2	11	0	1	12	0	1	1	2	14
28 " " 30	2	1	0	1	1	5	0	0	5	0	1	0	1	6
30 " " 32	3	2	0	2	1	8	0	0	8	1	0	0	1	9
32 " " 34	3	2	0	3	2	10	0	0	10	0	2	0	2	12
34 " " 36	1	1	1	2	2	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
36 " " 38	1	0	1	1	2	5	0	1	6	0	1	1	2	8
38 " " 40	0	0	0	3	2	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
40 " " 42	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
48 " " 50	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	4
Total Cases	16	19	6	55	57	153	6	7	166	17	17	7	41	207
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.2	26.0	16.3	23.0	19.6	23.3	36.1	---	26.6	20.9	18.5	---	20.2	21.4
Measure of Variation ^a														
Below Average Ratio	2.7	2.2	3.3	3.1	4.2	3.2	12.6	---	5.6	4.7	2.0	---	3.9	4.2
Above Average Ratio	3.1	7.2	18.7	7.2	9.9	2.0	11.4	---	8.8	1.6	9.0	---	3.9	4.8
Total	5.8	9.4	22.0	10.3	14.1	5.2	24.0	---	14.4	6.3	11.0	---	7.8	9.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7	8.0	0.3	23.0	54.5	21.5	0.8	76.9	99.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.